

## International Vocabulary of Metrology

### Fourth edition – Committee Draft (VIM4 CD) – VIM4 vs VIM3 version 11 January 2021

**Please note that the contents of this document shall not be quoted in any publication**

For ease of comparison, in this version the right-hand side column contains the sources from which the CD has been prepared, i.e., the chapters 1-5 of the third edition of the VIM (VIM3) and selected parts of the *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences* (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017; VIN). The left-hand side column contains the CD, in which the changes with respect to the VIM3 or the VIN are highlighted with **yellow background** for easier comparison, and the bibliographical references are highlighted in **red text**.

Please note that this CD still does not include the French text of the entries.

## Introduction

The International Vocabulary of Metrology (VIM) is a guidance document that aims at disseminating scientific and technological knowledge about metrology by harmonizing worldwide the related fundamental terminology. While developed for being as understandable as possible to the wide readership of researchers and practitioners, its institutional task is to constitute a recommendation that JCGM member organizations are encouraged to implement, each according to its own purposes and in reference to its own scope.

The third edition of the VIM (denoted herein as the “VIM3”) was published by the JCGM in 2008, and republished with minor corrections in 2012. After publication, the JCGM Working Group responsible for VIM maintenance and revision (JCGM/WG 2) began considering feedback it had received. In the meantime, and most significantly, several JCGM member organizations pointed out the increasing importance of a metrologically-aware treatment of nominal properties, and therefore the need for more entries in the VIM about nominal properties and the process of their examination. This led WG 2 to the reconsideration of some key concepts of the VIM, starting from the ones related to the very distinction between quantitative and non-quantitative properties.

This fourth edition of the VIM (VIM4) has been designed to address these issues, with the awareness that metrology is an active body of knowledge, that is called to maintain its key role in an everchanging world. For measurement to remain a reliable source of public trust, its basic concept system, as provided by the VIM, must be open to consistently encompass innovation, while guaranteeing faithfulness to the long societal and scientific tradition of measurement.

Any new edition of the VIM has been an opportunity for providing an interpretation of how the body of knowledge about metrology is structured, and the VIM4 follows this path by providing a revised organization of its chapters.

\* Chapter 1, “Quantities and units” introduces the key entities of metrology: quantities, units, values, and scales.

\* Chapter 2, “Measurement” focuses on measurement as both an experimental and mathematical process, by also including the entries related to measurement models.

\* Chapter 3, “Measurement quality”, is about what characterizes the quality of measurement processes and procedures, measurement instruments and systems, and of course measurement results, thus first of all measurement uncertainty but also measurement error, accuracy, and so on.

\* Chapter 4, “Measuring devices and their properties” is about measuring instruments and systems, their components and their properties.

\* Chapter 5, “Measurement standards (etalons) and metrological traceability” expands the context by dealing with metrological systems, including measurement standards and calibration: what is required for guaranteeing the metrological traceability of measurement results.

A major change in the VIM4 with respect to the VIM3 is the new

\* Chapter 6, “Nominal properties and examinations”, devoted to nominal properties and their examination. While the VIM3 included an entry for ‘nominal property’ (i.e., non-quantitative, only classificatory properties), a number of related additional entries have been introduced into the VIM4, mainly taken from the *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences* (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017; G.Nordin et al, Pure Appl. Chem. 90, 5, 2018), and adapted for making them consistent with the VIM. This required revising the entries that the VIM3 had introduced about ordinal properties, for which an order but not a unit is defined. The controversial issue is whether order is sufficient for making a property quantitative, and therefore whether the term “ordinal quantity” should be used for designating such properties. After a careful analysis, also taking into account that a scientific community of stakeholders interested in ordinal properties was not found, it was decided for the VIM4 to minimize the changes on this matter with respect to the VIM3, and to maintain the assumption that ordinal properties are (measurable) quantities.

A consequence of the more extensive treatment of nominal properties (and, to a lesser extent, ordinal quantities) in the VIM4 was that the definitions of ‘measurement’ and ‘metrology’ were considered more carefully. In particular, the question arose of whether the definitions of either or both should be expanded beyond quantities to include nominal property examination. An inquiry among JCGM member organizations was conducted, yielding sometimes strong opinions on both sides of the question. It was therefore decided that for now the time is not right to make such a change, but notes have been added to some relevant entries to indicate that the debate exists and could influence future versions of the VIM on this matter.

This systematic revision of the entries related to properties, thus including those about units, scales, and values, has led to focusing on the ambiguity of the term “quantity” (the same applies to “ordinal quantity” and “nominal property”), used to refer to both quantities in the general sense (such as length and mass) and individual properties (such as given lengths and given masses). In the context of a vocabulary of fundamental metrology the differences are significant: for example, comparisons in terms of equivalence and order apply to individual, not general, quantities, and values are attributed to individual, not general, quantities; vice versa, the distinction between base and derived quantities in a system of quantities refers to general, and not individual, quantities. While for linguistic simplicity just the term “quantity” is maintained in many occurrences in this vocabulary, two entries have been introduced, for ‘general quantity’, and ‘individual quantity’, and notes have been added to indicate whether ‘general quantity’ or ‘individual quantity’ is intended if there is any chance for ambiguity. This led us to provide an operational definition of ‘general quantity’ – “property whose instances can be compared by ratio or only by order” – that made it possible to avoid reference to magnitudes (in recognition of the sometimes incompatible ways in which this concept is understood, and also because the term “magnitude” is not cleanly translatable into some languages).

Another key change made in the VIM4 relates to the cluster of entities related to the characterization of the quality of measurement and

its results: measurement uncertainty, measurement error, measurement accuracy, measurement trueness, true value of a quantity, and so on. The VIM3 explicitly acknowledged the existence of different interpretations about this fundamental subject – summarily presented as an opposition between an Error Approach (sometimes called Traditional Approach or True Value Approach) and an Uncertainty Approach – which led to difficulties to provide definitions that could be widely acceptable. An encompassing position has been chosen in the VIM4, by adopting operational definitions whenever possible, by phrasing the relevant definitions in terms of reference values, of which true values are specific cases when it applies, where the definitions are complemented with notes describing when and under which conditions the reference values are in fact true values, and when they are not. This approach is considered to provide for maximum flexibility for the differing perspectives on the necessity and usefulness of ‘true value’. With the introduction of the revised International System of units (SI) in 2019, it is worth mentioning that in the VIM4 all relevant entries have been revised for guaranteeing their consistency with the new approach, in which units are individual quantities defined in reference to constants of nature.

Finally, the entire text of the VIM3 was revised with the aim of 1) improving readability of the definitions, the notes, and the examples, 2) adding some further clarifications through new notes and examples, and 3) reducing the explicit emphasis on terminology, perceived as a source of complexity. Furthermore, the VIM4 incorporates all annotations that were developed to the VIM3 to provide interim clarifications and simplified language. This means that the new definitions and notes have been drafted using simpler language, while maintaining strict compliance with basic terminological rules, in particular the condition that the definitions must be non-circular with each other.

*NOTE: In the context of the current endeavor of providing increasingly sophisticated online access to measurement-related data and information, it is anticipated that the content of the VIM4 will be made accessible via internet in both human readable and machine readable formats.*

# 1 Quantities and units

**1.1** [VIM3: 1.1; VIM2: 1.1; VIM1: 1.01]

**quantity** <general>

general quantity

quantity in the general sense

kind of quantity

property whose instances can be compared by ratio or only by order

NOTE 1 The same term “quantity” is commonly used to refer to both quantities in the general sense, such as length and mass, and **individual quantities**, such as any given length and any given mass. Acknowledging the importance of this distinction, separate definitions are given in this Vocabulary for quantities in the general sense and individual quantities, but the short term “quantity” is used whenever the linguistic context is sufficient to identify the intended meaning.

The following table exemplifies the distinction between quantities in the general sense and individual quantities. In some cases a general quantity (such as length, in the table) can be classified into more specific general quantities (such as radius, wavelength, etc).

General quantity		Individual quantity
length, $l$	radius, $r$	radius of circle $a$ , $r_a$ or $r(a)$
	wavelength, $\lambda$	wavelength of the sodium D radiation, $\lambda_D$ or $\lambda(D; Na)$
energy, $E$	kinetic energy, $T$	kinetic energy of particle $i$ in a given system, $T_i$
	heat, $Q$	heat of vaporization of sample $i$ of water, $Q_i$
electric charge, $Q$		electric charge of the proton, $e$
electric resistance, $R$		electric resistance of resistor $i$ in a given circuit, $R_i$

# 1 Quantities and units

**1.1 (1.1)**

**quantity**

property of a phenomenon, body, or substance, where the property has a magnitude that can be expressed as a number and a reference

NOTE 1 The generic concept ‘quantity’ can be divided into several levels of specific concepts, as shown in the following table. The left hand side of the table shows specific concepts under ‘quantity’. These are generic concepts for the individual quantities in the right hand.

length, $l$	radius, $r$ wavelength, $\lambda$	radius of circle $A$ , $r_A$ or $r(A)$ wavelength of the sodium D radiation, $\lambda_D$ or $\lambda(D; Na)$
energy, $E$	kinetic energy, $T$ heat, $Q$	kinetic energy of particle $i$ in a given system, $T_i$ heat of vaporization of sample $i$ of water, $Q_i$
electric charge, $Q$ electric resistance, $R$		electric charge of the proton, $e$ electric resistance of resistor $i$ in a given circuit, $R_i$
amount-of-substance concentration of entity $B$ , $c_B$ number concentration of entity $B$ , $C_B$ Rockwell C hardness, HRC		amount-of-substance concentration of ethanol in wine sample $i$ , $c_i(C_2H_5OH)$ number concentration of erythrocytes in blood sample $i$ , $C(Erys; B_i)$ Rockwell C hardness of steel sample $i$ , $HRC_i$

	circuit, $R_i$
amount-of-substance concentration of entity B, $c_B$	amount-of-substance concentration of ethanol in wine sample $i$ , $c_i(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH})$
number concentration of entity B, $C_B$	number concentration of erythrocytes in blood sample $i$ , $C(\text{Erys}; B_i)$
Rockwell C hardness, HRC	Rockwell C hardness of steel sample $i$ , $\text{HRC}_i$

NOTE 2 Any individual quantity is an instance of a general quantity, so that for example the radius of circle  $a$  is an instance of length. Individual quantities that are instances of the same general quantity are comparable, and are said to be “quantities of the same kind”.

NOTE 3 The same term “quantity” is commonly used to refer to both quantities having a unit, such as length and mass, and **ordinal quantities**. A quantity having a unit is such that its instances can be compared:

– by ratio (for example one length may be found to be twice another length), and it is sometimes called a “ratio quantity”;

– by ratio of differences (for example the difference of two Celsius temperatures may be found to be twice the difference of two other Celsius temperatures), and it is sometimes called an “interval quantity”.

An ordinal quantity has no unit and its instances can be compared by order only.

NOTE 4 Symbols for quantities are given in the ISO 80000 and IEC 80000 series of standards *Quantities and units*. The symbols for quantities are written in italics. A given symbol can indicate different quantities.

NOTE 5 The preferred IUPAC and IFCC format for quantities in laboratory medicine is “System—Component; kind-of-quantity” (see U. Magdal Petersen, R. Dybkaer, H. Olesen (2012). *Properties and units in the clinical laboratory sciences. Part XXIII. The NPU terminology, principles, and implementation: A user’s guide (IUPAC Technical Report)*. Pure Appl. Chem. 84(1): 137-165).

EXAMPLE The glucose concentration in blood plasma in a given person at a given time is described by “Plasma(Blood)—Glucose; amount-of-substance concentration equal to 5.1 mmol/l”.

NOTE 6 A quantity as defined here is a scalar. However, a vector or a tensor, the

NOTE 2 A reference can be a **measurement unit**, a **measurement procedure**, a **reference material**, or a combination of such.

NOTE 3 Symbols for quantities are given in the ISO 80000 and IEC 80000 series *Quantities and units*. The symbols for quantities are written in italics. A given symbol can indicate different quantities.

NOTE 4 The preferred IUPAC—IFCC format for designations of quantities in laboratory medicine is “System—Component; kind-of-quantity”.

EXAMPLE “Plasma (Blood)—Sodium ion; amount-of-substance concentration equal to 143 mmol/l in a given person at a given time”.

NOTE 5 A quantity as defined here is a scalar. However, a vector or a tensor, the

components of which are quantities, is also considered to be a **multi-dimensional quantity**, i.e., a quantity in a broader sense.

NOTE 7 Quantities can be classified as, for example, physical quantities, chemical quantities, and biological quantities, or as **base quantities** and **derived quantities**.

## 1.2 [VIM3: 1.1; VIM2: 1.1; VIM1: 1.01]

### quantity <individual>

individual quantity

instance of a general quantity

NOTE 1 **Measurement units, measurands, values of quantities, and measured values** are all examples of individual quantities.

NOTE 2 In English sometimes “magnitude” is used to refer to individual quantities. Due to the fact that the term has different, incompatible meanings and that it is not always easy to translate it into other languages, the term “magnitude” is not used in this vocabulary.

EXAMPLE Examples of individual quantities, together with the general quantities of which they are instances, are presented in the table in the Note 1 to the entry 1.1.

[included in 1.1]

### kind of quantity

components of which are quantities, is also considered to be a quantity.

NOTE 6 The concept ‘quantity’ may be generically divided into, e.g. ‘physical quantity’, ‘chemical quantity’, and ‘biological quantity’, or **base quantity** and **derived quantity**.

## 1.2 (1.1, Note 2)

### kind of quantity

kind

aspect common to mutually comparable **quantities**

NOTE 1 The division of ‘quantity’ according to ‘kind of quantity’ is to some extent arbitrary.

EXAMPLE 1 The quantities diameter, circumference, and wavelength are generally considered to be quantities of the same kind, namely of the kind of quantity called length.

EXAMPLE 2 The quantities heat, kinetic energy, and potential energy are generally considered to be quantities of the same kind, namely of the kind of quantity called energy.

NOTE 2 Quantities of the same kind within a given **system of quantities** have the same **quantity dimension**. However, quantities of the same dimension are not necessarily of the same kind.

EXAMPLE The quantities moment of force and energy are, by convention, not regarded as being of the same kind, although they have the same dimension.

**1.3 [VIM3: 1.3; VIM2: 1.2]****system of quantities**

set of quantities together with a set of **non-contradictory** equations relating those quantities

**NOTE 1** The quantity mentioned in the definition is a general quantity having a unit.

**NOTE 2** **Ordinal quantities**, such as Rockwell C hardness, are usually not considered to be part of a system of quantities because they are related to other quantities through empirical relations only.

**1.4 [VIM3: 1.4; VIM2: 1.3; VIM1: 1.02]****base quantity**

quantity in a conventionally chosen subset of a given system of quantities, where no **quantity in the subset** can be expressed in terms of the others

**NOTE 1** The quantity mentioned in the definition is a general quantity having a unit.

**NOTE 2** The subset mentioned in the definition is termed the “set of base quantities”.

EXAMPLE The set of base quantities in the **International System of Quantities** (ISQ) is given in 1.6.

**NOTE 3** Base quantities are referred to as being mutually independent since a base quantity cannot be expressed as a product of powers of the other base quantities.

**NOTE 4** The quantity *number of entities* can be regarded as a base quantity in any system of quantities.

**1.5 [VIM3: 1.5; VIM2: 1.4; VIM1: 1.03]****derived quantity**

quantity, in a system of quantities, defined in terms of the base

Similarly for heat capacity and entropy, as well as for number of entities, relative permeability, and mass fraction.

**NOTE 3** In English, the terms for quantities in the left half of the table in 1.1, Note 1, are often used for the corresponding ‘kinds of quantity’. In French, the term “nature” is only used in expressions such as “grandeurs de même nature” (in English, “quantities of the same kind”).

**1.3 (1.2)****system of quantities**

set of quantities together with a set of noncontradictory equations relating those quantities

**NOTE** **Ordinal quantities**, such as Rockwell C hardness, are usually not considered to be part of a system of quantities because they are related to other quantities through empirical relations only.

**1.4 (1.3)****base quantity**

quantity in a conventionally chosen subset of a given system of quantities, where no subset quantity can be expressed in terms of the others

**NOTE 1** The subset mentioned in the definition is termed the “set of base quantities”.

EXAMPLE The set of base quantities in the **International System of Quantities** (ISQ) is given in 1.6.

**NOTE 2** Base quantities are referred to as being mutually independent since a base quantity cannot be expressed as a product of powers of the other base quantities.

**NOTE 3** ‘Number of entities’ can be regarded as a base quantity in any system of quantities.

**1.5 (1.4)****derived quantity**

quantity, in a system of quantities, defined in terms of the base quantities of



## quantities of that system

NOTE The quantity mentioned in the definition is a general quantity having a unit.

EXAMPLE In a system of quantities having length and mass as base quantities, mass density is a derived quantity defined as the quotient of mass and volume (length to the third power).

### 1.6 [VIM3: 1.6]

#### International System of Quantities

ISQ

system of quantities based on the seven base quantities: time, length, mass, electric current, thermodynamic temperature, amount of substance, and luminous intensity

NOTE 1 This system of quantities is published in the ISO 80000 and IEC 80000 series of International Standards *Quantities and units*.

NOTE 2 The base units of the SI are chosen in accordance with the base quantities of the ISQ.

NOTE 3 The term “time” is sometimes used for referring to an instant of time (for example 7:00 UTC) and sometimes to a duration, i.e., the range of a time interval.

### 1.7 [VIM3: 1.7; VIM2: 1.5; VIM1: 1.04]

#### quantity dimension

dimension of a quantity

dimension

relation of a quantity to the base quantities of a system of quantities as a product of powers of factors corresponding to the base quantities, omitting any numerical factor

NOTE 1 The quantity mentioned in the definition is a general quantity having a unit.

that system

EXAMPLE In a system of quantities having the base quantities length and mass, mass density is a derived quantity defined as the quotient of mass and volume (length to the third power).

### 1.6

#### International System of Quantities

ISQ

system of quantities based on the seven base quantities: length, mass, time, electric current, thermodynamic temperature, amount of substance, and luminous intensity

NOTE 1 This system of quantities is published in the ISO 80000 and IEC 80000 series *Quantities and units*.

NOTE 2 The International System of Units (SI) (see 1.16) is based on the ISQ.

### 1.7 (1.5)

#### quantity dimension

dimension of a quantity

dimension

expression of the dependence of a quantity on the base quantities of a system of quantities as a product of powers of factors corresponding to the base quantities, omitting any numerical factor

EXAMPLE 1 In the ISQ, the quantity dimension of force is denoted by  $\dim F = \text{LMT}^{-2}$ .

EXAMPLE 2 In the same system of quantities,  $\dim \rho_B = \text{ML}^{-3}$  is the quantity dimension of mass concentration of component B, and  $\text{ML}^{-3}$  is also the quantity dimension of mass density,  $\rho$  (volumic mass).

EXAMPLE 3 The period  $T$  of a pendulum of length  $l$  at a place with the local acceleration

NOTE 2 A power of a factor is the factor raised to an exponent. Each factor is the dimension of a base quantity.

NOTE 3 The conventional symbolic representation of the dimension of a base quantity is a single upper-case letter in roman (upright) sans-serif type. The conventional symbolic representation of the dimension of a derived quantity is the product of powers of the dimensions of the base quantities according to the definition of the derived quantity. The dimension of a quantity  $Q$  is denoted by  $\dim Q$ .

EXAMPLE 1 In the ISQ, the dimension of force is denoted by  $\dim F = T^{-2} L M$ .

EXAMPLE 2 In the same system of quantities,  $\dim \rho_B = L^{-3} M$  is the dimension of mass concentration of component B, and  $L^{-3} M$  is also the dimension of mass density,  $\rho$  (volumic mass).

EXAMPLE 3 The period  $T$  of a pendulum of length  $l$  at a place with the local acceleration of free fall  $g$  is

$$T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{l}{g}} \quad \text{or} \quad T = C(g) \sqrt{l}$$

where

$$C(g) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{g}}$$

Hence  $\dim C(g) = T L^{-1/2}$ .

NOTE 4 In deriving the dimension of a quantity, no account is taken of whether the quantity is scalar, vector, or tensor.

NOTE 5 In a given system of quantities,

- quantities of the same kind have the same dimension,
- quantities of different dimensions are always of different kinds, and
- quantities having the same dimension are not necessarily of the same kind.

NOTE 6 Symbols for the dimensions of the base quantities in the ISQ are:

of free fall  $g$  is

$$T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{l}{g}} \quad \text{or} \quad T = C(g) \sqrt{l}$$

where

$$C(g) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{g}}$$

Hence  $\dim C(g) = L^{-1/2} T$ .

NOTE 1 A power of a factor is the factor raised to an exponent. Each factor is the dimension of a base quantity.

NOTE 2 The conventional symbolic representation of the dimension of a base quantity is a single upper case letter in roman (upright) sans-serif type. The conventional symbolic representation of the dimension of a derived quantity is the product of powers of the dimensions of the base quantities according to the definition of the derived quantity. The dimension of a quantity  $Q$  is denoted by  $\dim Q$ .

NOTE 3 In deriving the dimension of a quantity, no account is taken of its scalar, vector, or tensor character.

NOTE 4 In a given system of quantities,

- quantities of the same kind have the same quantity dimension,
- quantities of different quantity dimensions are always of different kinds, and
- quantities having the same quantity dimension are not necessarily of the same kind.

NOTE 5 Symbols representing the dimensions of the base quantities in the ISQ are:

Base quantity	Symbol for dimension
time	T
length	L
mass	M
electric current	I
thermodynamic temperature	Θ
amount of substance	N
luminous intensity	J

Thus, the dimension of a quantity  $Q$  is denoted by  $\dim Q = T^{\alpha} L^{\beta} M^{\gamma} I^{\delta} \Theta^{\epsilon} N^{\zeta} J^{\eta}$  where the exponents, named “dimensional exponents”, are positive, negative, or zero.

### 1.8 [VIM3: 1.8; VIM2: 1.6; VIM1: 1.05]

#### quantity with unit one

dimensionless quantity

quantity for which all the exponents of the factors in its dimension are zero

NOTE 1 The quantity mentioned in the definition is a general quantity having a unit.

NOTE 2 The term “dimensionless quantity” is still commonly used and is kept here for historical reasons. However, all quantities, including *number of entities*, have a dimension. The term “quantity of dimension one” used in the previous edition of the VIM reflects the convention in which the symbolic representation of the dimension for such quantities was the symbol “1”.

NOTE 3 The **units** and **values** of quantities with unit one are numbers.

NOTE 4 Some quantities with unit one are defined as the ratios of two quantities of the same kind.

EXAMPLES Plane angle, solid angle, refractive index, relative permeability, mass fraction, friction factor, Mach number.

NOTE 5 In reporting the values of quantities defined as the ratios of two quantities of the same kind, the relevant units should be specified when there is possibility of

Base quantity	Symbol for dimension
length	L
mass	M
time	T
electric current	I
thermodynamic temperature	Θ
amount of substance	N
luminous intensity	J

Thus, the dimension of a quantity  $Q$  is denoted by  $\dim Q = L^{\alpha} M^{\beta} T^{\gamma} I^{\delta} \Theta^{\epsilon} N^{\zeta} J^{\eta}$  where the exponents, named dimensional exponents, are positive, negative, or zero.

### 1.8 (1.6)

#### quantity of dimension one

dimensionless quantity

quantity for which all the exponents of the factors corresponding to the **base quantities** in its **quantity dimension** are zero

NOTE 1 The term “dimensionless quantity” is commonly used and is kept here for historical reasons. It stems from the fact that all exponents are zero in the symbolic representation of the dimension for such quantities. The term “quantity of dimension one” reflects the convention in which the symbolic representation of the dimension for such quantities is the symbol 1 (see ISO 31-0:1992, 2.2.6).

NOTE 2 The **measurement units** and **values** of quantities of dimension one are numbers, but such quantities convey more information than a number.

NOTE 3 Some quantities of dimension one are defined as the ratios of two quantities of the same **kind**.

EXAMPLES Plane angle, solid angle, refractive index, relative permeability, mass fraction, friction factor, Mach number.

ambiguity.

EXAMPLES mg/kg (for mass fraction) or  $\mu\text{mol/mol}$  (for amount-of-substance fraction).

NOTE 6 *Number of entities* is a quantity with unit one.

EXAMPLES Number of turns in a coil, number of molecules in a given sample, degeneracy of the energy levels of a quantum system.

### 1.9 [VIM3: 1.9; VIM2: 1.7; VIM1: 1.06]

#### measurement unit

unit of measurement

unit

real scalar individual quantity, defined and adopted by convention, with which any other quantity of the same kind can be compared by ratio, resulting in a number

NOTE 1 Ordinal quantities have no units because they cannot be compared by ratio.

NOTE 2 **Units** are designated by conventionally assigned names and symbols.

NOTE 3 **Units** of quantities of the same **dimension** may be designated by the same name and symbol even when the quantities are not of the same kind. For example, “joule per kelvin” and J/K are respectively the name and symbol of both a unit of heat capacity and a unit of entropy, which are generally not considered to be quantities of the same kind. However, in some cases special **unit** names are restricted to be used with quantities of a specific kind only. For example, the **unit second to the power minus one** (1/s) is called “hertz” (Hz) when used for frequencies and “becquerel” (Bq) when used for activities of radionuclides.

NOTE 4 **Units** of **quantities with unit one** are numbers. In some cases these **units** are given special names, for example “radian” and “steradian”, or are expressed by quotients such as millimole per mole equal to  $10^{-3}$  and microgram per kilogram equal to  $10^{-9}$ .

NOTE 5 For a given quantity, the short term “unit” is often combined with the **name of the quantity**, such as “mass unit” or “unit of mass”.

NOTE 4 Numbers of entities are quantities of dimension one.

EXAMPLES Number of turns in a coil, number of molecules in a given sample, degeneracy of the energy levels of a quantum system.

### 1.9 (1.7)

#### measurement unit

unit of measurement

unit

real scalar quantity, defined and adopted by convention, with which any other quantity of the same **kind** can be compared to express the ratio of the two quantities as a number

NOTE 1 Measurement units are designated by conventionally assigned names and symbols.

NOTE 2 Measurement units of quantities of the same **quantity dimension** may be designated by the same name and symbol even when the quantities are not of the same kind. For example, joule per kelvin and J/K are respectively the name and symbol of both a measurement unit of heat capacity and a measurement unit of entropy, which are generally not considered to be quantities of the same kind. However, in some cases special measurement unit names are restricted to be used with quantities of a specific kind only. For example, the measurement unit ‘second to the power minus one’ (1/s) is called hertz (Hz) when used for frequencies and becquerel (Bq) when used for activities of radionuclides.

NOTE 3 Measurement units of **quantities of dimension one** are numbers. In some cases these measurement units are given special names, e.g. radian, steradian, and decibel, or are expressed by quotients such as millimole per mole equal to  $10^{-3}$  and microgram per kilogram equal to  $10^{-9}$ .

NOTE 4 For a given quantity, the short term “unit” is often combined with the quantity name, such as “mass unit” or “unit of mass”.

**1.10** [VIM3: 1.10; VIM2: 1.13; VIM1: 1.11]**base unit**

measurement unit that is adopted by convention for a base quantity

NOTE 1 In each coherent **system of units**, there is only one base unit for each base quantity.

EXAMPLE In the **SI**, the metre is the base unit of length. In the CGS systems, the centimetre is the base unit of length.

NOTE 2 A base unit may also serve for a **derived quantity** of the same **dimension**.

EXAMPLE Rainfall, when defined as areic volume (volume per area), has the metre as a **coherent derived unit** in the SI.

NOTE 3 For **number of entities**, the number one, symbol "1", can be regarded as a base unit in any **system of units**.

**1.11** [VIM3: 1.11; VIM2: 1.14; VIM1: 1.12]**derived unit**

measurement unit for a derived quantity

EXAMPLES The metre per second, symbol m/s, and the centimetre per second, symbol cm/s, are derived units of speed in the **SI**. The kilometre per hour, symbol km/h, is a **unit** of speed outside the SI but accepted for use with the SI. The knot, equal to one nautical mile per hour, is a **unit** of speed outside the SI.

**1.12** [VIM3: 1.12; VIM2: 1.10; VIM1: 1.13]**coherent derived unit****coherent unit**

derived unit that, for a given system of quantities and for a chosen set of base units, is a product of powers of base units with no other proportionality factor than one

NOTE 1 A power of a base unit is the base unit raised to an exponent.

NOTE 2 **The coherence of a derived unit** can be determined only with respect to a particular system of quantities and a given set of base units.

EXAMPLES If the metre, the second, and the mole are base units, the metre per

**1.10 (1.13)****base unit**

measurement unit that is adopted by convention for a base quantity

NOTE 1 In each **coherent system of units**, there is only one base unit for each base quantity.

EXAMPLE In the **SI**, the metre is the base unit of length. In the CGS systems, the centimetre is the base unit of length.

NOTE 2 A base unit may also serve for a **derived quantity** of the same **quantity dimension**.

EXAMPLE Rainfall, when defined as areic volume (volume per area), has the metre as a **coherent derived unit** in the SI.

NOTE 3 For number of entities, the number one, symbol 1, can be regarded as a base unit in any **system of units**.

**1.11 (1.14)****derived unit**

measurement unit for a derived quantity

EXAMPLES The metre per second, symbol m/s, and the centimetre per second, symbol cm/s, are derived units of speed in the **SI**. The kilometre per hour, symbol km/h, is a measurement unit of speed outside the SI but accepted for use with the SI. The knot, equal to one nautical mile per hour, is a measurement unit of speed outside the SI.

**1.12 (1.10)****coherent derived unit**

derived unit that, for a given system of quantities and for a chosen set of base units, is a product of powers of base units with no other proportionality factor than one

NOTE 1 A power of a base unit is the base unit raised to an exponent.

NOTE 2 Coherence can be determined only with respect to a particular system of quantities and a given set of base units.

EXAMPLES If the metre, the second, and the mole are base units, the metre per

second is the coherent derived unit of velocity when velocity is defined by the **quantity equation**  $v = dr/dt$ , and the mole per cubic metre is the coherent derived unit of amount-of-substance concentration when amount-of-substance concentration is defined by the quantity equation  $c = n/V$ . The kilometre per hour and the knot, given as examples of derived units in 1.11, are not coherent derived units in such a system of quantities.

NOTE 3 A derived unit can be coherent with respect to one system of quantities but not to another.

EXAMPLE The centimetre per second is the coherent derived unit of speed in a CGS **system of units** but is not a coherent derived unit in the **SI**.

NOTE 4 The coherent derived unit for every derived **quantity with unit one** in a given system of units is the number one, symbol "1". The name and symbol of the **unit one** are generally not indicated.

### 1.13 [VIM3: 1.13; VIM2: 1.9; VIM1: 1.08] system of units

set of **base units** and **derived units**, together with their multiples and submultiples, defined in accordance with given rules, for a given **system of quantities**

### 1.14 [VIM3: 1.14; VIM2: 1.11; VIM1: 1.09] coherent system of units

**system of units**, based on a given **system of quantities**, in which the **measurement unit** for each **derived quantity** is a **coherent derived unit**

NOTE 1 A system of units can be coherent only with respect to a system of quantities and the adopted **base units**.

NOTE 2 For a coherent system of units, **numerical value equations** have the same form, including numerical factors, as the corresponding **quantity equations**.

second is the coherent derived unit of velocity when velocity is defined by the **quantity equation**  $v = dr/dt$ , and the mole per cubic metre is the coherent derived unit of amount-of-substance concentration when amount-of-substance concentration is defined by the quantity equation  $c = n/V$ . The kilometre per hour and the knot, given as examples of derived units in 1.11, are not coherent derived units in such a system of quantities.

NOTE 3 A derived unit can be coherent with respect to one system of quantities but not to another.

EXAMPLE The centimetre per second is the coherent derived unit of speed in a CGS **system of units** but is not a coherent derived unit in the **SI**.

NOTE 4 The coherent derived unit for every derived **quantity of dimension one** in a given system of units is the number one, symbol 1. The name and symbol of the **measurement unit one** are generally not indicated.

### 1.13 (1.9) system of units

set of **base units** and **derived units**, together with their multiples and submultiples, defined in accordance with given rules, for a given **system of quantities**

### 1.14 (1.11) coherent system of units

**system of units**, based on a given **system of quantities**, in which the **measurement unit** for each **derived quantity** is a **coherent derived unit**

EXAMPLE Set of coherent SI units and relations between them.

NOTE 1 A system of units can be coherent only with respect to a system of quantities and the adopted **base units**.

NOTE 2 For a coherent system of units, **numerical value equations** have the same form, including numerical factors, as the corresponding **quantity equations**.

**1.15 [VIM3: 1.15; VIM2: 1.15; VIM1: 1.14]****off-system measurement unit**

off-system unit

measurement unit that does not belong to a given system of unitsEXAMPLE 1 The electronvolt (about  $1.602\ 18 \cdot 10^{-19}$  J) is an off-system **unit** of energy with respect to the **SI**.EXAMPLE 2 Day, hour, minute are off-system **units** of time with respect to the SI.**1.16 [VIM3: 1.16; VIM2: 1.12; VIM1: 1.10]****International System of Units**

SI

system of units, based on a set of defining constants together with rules for their use, adopted by the 26<sup>th</sup> General Conference on Weights and Measures (CGPM)

NOTE 1 At the 26<sup>th</sup> CGPM (2018) the SI was revised. Effective from 20 May 2019, the SI is the system of units in which seven physical constants have stated fixed values. These seven defining constants are the hyperfine transition frequency of caesium 133, the speed of light in vacuum, the Planck constant, the elementary charge, the Boltzmann constant, the Avogadro constant, and the luminous efficacy, as defined in the current edition of the SI Brochure published by the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM) and available on the BIPM website. From such constants and their values, and in accordance with the ISQ, the definitions of the base units of the SI are deduced, as documented in the SI Brochure. The names of the seven base quantities of the ISQ and the English names and the symbols of the corresponding base units of the SI are listed in the following table.

Base quantity	Base unit	
Name	Name	Symbol
time	second	s
length	metre	m
mass	kilogram	kg

**1.15 (1.15)****off-system measurement unit**

off-system unit

measurement unit that does not belong to a given system of unitsEXAMPLE 1 The electronvolt (about  $1.602\ 18 \cdot 10^{-19}$  J) is an off-system measurement unit of energy with respect to the **SI**.

EXAMPLE 2 Day, hour, minute are off-system measurement units of time with respect to the SI.

**1.16 (1.12)****International System of Units**

SI

system of units, based on the International System of Quantities, their names and symbols, including a series of prefixes and their names and symbols, together with rules for their use, adopted by the General Conference on Weights and Measures (CGPM)NOTE 1 The SI is founded on the seven **base quantities** of the **ISQ** and the names and symbols of the corresponding **base units** that are contained in the following table.

Base quantity	Base unit	
	Name	Symbol
length	metre	m
mass	kilogram	kg
time	second	s
electric current	ampere	A
thermodynamic temperature	kelvin	K
amount of substance	mole	mol
luminous intensity	candela	cd

electric current	ampere	A
thermodynamic temperature	kelvin	K
amount of substance	mole	mol
luminous intensity	candela	cd

NOTE 2 The **base units** and the **coherent derived units** of the SI form a coherent set, called the “set of coherent SI units”.

NOTE 3 For a full description and explanation of the International System of Units, see the current edition of the *SI Brochure* published by the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM) and available on the BIPM website.

NOTE 4 In **quantity calculus**, the quantity **number of entities** is often considered to be a **base quantity**, with the base unit one, symbol “1”.

NOTE 5 The rules for the use of the SI units include the prefixes for **multiples of units** and **submultiples of units** listed in the following table, together with their English names and their symbols.

Factor	Prefix	
	Name	Symbol
$10^{24}$	yotta	Y
$10^{21}$	zetta	Z
$10^{18}$	exa	E
$10^{15}$	peta	P
$10^{12}$	tera	T
$10^9$	giga	G
$10^6$	mega	M
$10^3$	kilo	k
$10^2$	hecto	h
$10^1$	deca	da
$10^{-1}$	deci	d
$10^{-2}$	centi	c
$10^{-3}$	milli	m
$10^{-6}$	micro	$\mu$

NOTE 2 The base units and the **coherent derived units** of the SI form a coherent set, designated the “set of coherent SI units”.

NOTE 3 For a full description and explanation of the International System of Units, see the current edition of the SI brochure published by the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM) and available on the BIPM website.

NOTE 4 In **quantity calculus**, the quantity ‘number of entities’ is often considered to be a base quantity, with the base unit one, symbol 1.

NOTE 5 The SI prefixes for **multiples of units** and **submultiples of units** are:

Factor	Prefix	
	Name	Symbol
$10^{24}$	yotta	Y
$10^{21}$	zetta	Z
$10^{18}$	exa	E
$10^{15}$	peta	P
$10^{12}$	tera	T
$10^9$	giga	G
$10^6$	mega	M
$10^3$	kilo	k
$10^2$	hecto	h
$10^1$	deca	da
$10^{-1}$	deci	d
$10^{-2}$	centi	c
$10^{-3}$	milli	m
$10^{-6}$	micro	$\mu$
$10^{-9}$	nano	n
$10^{-12}$	pico	p
$10^{-15}$	femto	f
$10^{-18}$	atto	a
$10^{-21}$	zepto	z
$10^{-24}$	yocto	y



$10^{-9}$	nano	n
$10^{-12}$	pico	p
$10^{-15}$	femto	f
$10^{-18}$	atto	a
$10^{-21}$	zepto	z
$10^{-24}$	yocto	y

Source: the current edition of the *SI Brochure* published by the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM) and available on the BIPM website.

### 1.17 [VIM3: 1.17; VIM2: 1.16; VIM1: 1.15]

#### multiple of a unit

**measurement unit** obtained by multiplying a given measurement unit by an integer greater than one

EXAMPLE 1 The kilometre is a decimal multiple of the metre.

EXAMPLE 2 The hour is a non-decimal multiple of the second.

NOTE 1 **SI** prefixes for decimal multiples of **SI base units** and **SI derived units** are given in Note 5 of [entry](#) 1.16.

NOTE 2 **SI** prefixes refer strictly to powers of 10, and should not be used for powers of 2. For example, 1 kilobit should not be used to represent 1 024 bits ( $2^{10}$  bits), which is 1 kibibit.

Prefixes for binary multiples are:

Factor	Prefix	
	Name	Symbol
$(2^{10})^8$	yobi	Yi
$(2^{10})^7$	zebi	Zi
$(2^{10})^6$	exbi	Ei
$(2^{10})^5$	pebi	Pi
$(2^{10})^4$	tebi	Ti
$(2^{10})^3$	gibi	Gi

### 1.17 (1.16)

#### multiple of a unit

**measurement unit** obtained by multiplying a given measurement unit by an integer greater than one

EXAMPLE 1 The kilometre is a decimal multiple of the metre.

EXAMPLE 2 The hour is a non-decimal multiple of the second.

NOTE 1 **SI** prefixes for decimal multiples of **SI base units** and **SI derived units** are given in Note 5 of 1.16.

NOTE 2 **SI** prefixes refer strictly to powers of 10, and should not be used for powers of 2. For example, 1 kilobit should not be used to represent 1 024 bits ( $2^{10}$  bits), which is 1 kibibit.

Prefixes for binary multiples are:

Factor	Prefix	
	Name	Symbol
$(2^{10})^8$	yobi	Yi
$(2^{10})^7$	zebi	Zi
$(2^{10})^6$	exbi	Ei
$(2^{10})^5$	pebi	Pi
$(2^{10})^4$	tebi	Ti
$(2^{10})^3$	gibi	Gi
$(2^{10})^2$	mebi	Mi
$(2^{10})^1$	kibi	Ki

$(2^{10})^2$	mebi	Mi
$(2^{10})^1$	kibi	Ki

Source: IEC 80000-13, *Quantities and units – Part 13: Information science and technology*.

### 1.18 [VIM3: 1.18; VIM2: 1.17; VIM1: 1.16]

#### submultiple of a unit

**measurement unit** obtained by dividing a given measurement unit by an integer greater than one

EXAMPLE 1 The millimetre is a decimal submultiple of the metre.

EXAMPLE 2 For a plane angle, the second is a **non-decimal** submultiple of the minute.

NOTE **SI** prefixes for decimal submultiples of **SI base units** and **SI derived units** are given in Note 5 of **entry** 1.16.

### 1.19 [VIM3: 1.27; VIM2: 1.22; VIM1: 1.21]

#### measurement scale

**ordered set of individual quantities of the same kind, where each quantity is associated with an element of a set of ordered identifiers**

NOTE 1 For a quantity having a **unit** a measurement scale is an ordered set including a unit and all or some of its products by a real number  $k$ , where  $k$  is the **numerical value** with which each quantity is identified.

EXAMPLE Scale of length generated by taking the metre as the unit, where the length of  $k$  metres is associated with the identifier that is the number  $k$ .

NOTE 2 Measurement scales are usually defined in such a way that empirically distinguishable individual quantities are associated with distinct identifiers, and the association maintains the order, so that greater quantities are associated with greater identifiers.

NOTE 3 A measurement scale for **ordinal quantities** is an **ordinal scale**. A similar scale for **nominal properties** is a **reference set of nominal properties**.

Source: IEC 80000-13.

### 1.18 (1.17)

#### submultiple of a unit

**measurement unit** obtained by dividing a given measurement unit by an integer greater than one

EXAMPLE 1 The millimetre is a decimal submultiple of the metre.

EXAMPLE 2 For a plane angle, the second is a nondecimal submultiple of the minute.

NOTE SI prefixes for decimal submultiples of **SI base units** and **SI derived units** are given in Note 5 of 1.16.

### 1.27

#### quantity-value scale

**measurement scale**

ordered set of **quantity values** of **quantities** of a given **kind of quantity** used in ranking, according to magnitude, quantities of that kind

EXAMPLE 1 Celsius temperature scale.

EXAMPLE 2 Time scale.

EXAMPLE 3 Rockwell C hardness scale.

**1.20** [VIM3: 1.19; VIM2: 1.18; VIM1: 1.17]**value of a quantity**

quantity value

value &lt;quantity&gt;

**individual quantity identified as the product of a number and a measurement unit or on an ordinal scale**

EXAMPLE 1 5.34 m or 534 cm as the same length identified in two different ways

EXAMPLE 2 0.152 kg or 152 g as the same mass identified in two different ways

EXAMPLE 3 112 m<sup>-1</sup> as a curvature of arcs

EXAMPLE 4 -5 °C as a Celsius temperature

EXAMPLE 5 (7 + 3j) Ω as an electric impedance at a given frequency, where j is the imaginary unit

EXAMPLE 6 1.32 as a refractive index of samples

EXAMPLE 7 43.5 HRC as a Rockwell C hardness

EXAMPLE 8 3 µg/kg or 3 · 10<sup>-9</sup> as the same mass fraction identified in two different ways

EXAMPLE 9 1.76 µmol/kg as a molality

EXAMPLE 10 5.0 IU/l as amount-of-substance concentration, where "IU" stands for "WHO International Unit"

NOTE 1 A value identified on an ordinal scale is a **value of an ordinal quantity**.

NOTE 2 Values of quantities appear in relations such as

$$l_a = 5.34 \text{ m}$$

Such a relation is an actual equation, stating that the individual quantity identified as the length  $l$  of a given rod  $a$  and the individual quantity identified as 5.34 times the metre are the same.

NOTE 3 In some mathematical theories of measurement, values of quantities are more

**1.19** (1.18)**quantity value**

value of a quantity

value

number and reference together expressing magnitude of a **quantity**

EXAMPLE 1 Length of a given rod:

5.34 m or 534 cm

EXAMPLE 2 Mass of a given body:

0.152 kg or 152 g

EXAMPLE 3 Curvature of a given arc:

112 m<sup>-1</sup>

EXAMPLE 4 Celsius temperature of a given sample:

-5 °C

EXAMPLE 5 Electric impedance of a given circuit element at a given frequency, where j is the imaginary unit:

(7 + 3j) Ω

EXAMPLE 6 Refractive index of a given sample of glass:

1.32

EXAMPLE 7 Rockwell C hardness of a given sample:

43.5 HRC

EXAMPLE 8 Mass fraction of cadmium in a given sample of copper:

3 µg/kg or 3 · 10<sup>-9</sup>

EXAMPLE 9 Molality of Pb<sup>2+</sup> in a given sample of water:

1.76 µmol/kg

EXAMPLE 10 Arbitrary amount-of-substance concentration of lutropin in a given sample of human blood plasma (WHO International Standard 80/552 used as a calibrator):

5.0 IU/l, where "IU" stands for "WHO International Unit"

generally understood as symbols associated with quantities of objects for representation purposes. According to this understanding, in the equation in Note 1 the value 5.34 m is interpreted as a symbol representing the length  $l$  of rod  $a$ .

NOTE 4 According to the type of reference, a **value of a quantity** is either

- a product of a number and a **unit** (see Examples 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 and 9); **the measurement unit is generally not indicated for quantities with unit one** (see Examples 6 and 8), or

- a number and a reference to a **measurement procedure** (see Example 7), or

- a number and a reference to a **reference material** (see Example 10).

NOTE 5 The number **mentioned in the definition** can be complex (see Example 5).

NOTE 6 A **value** can be presented in more than one way (see Examples 1, 2 and 8).

NOTE 7 In the case of vector or tensor quantities, each component has a **value**.

EXAMPLE Force acting on a given particle, **for example** in Cartesian components  $(F_x; F_y; F_z) = (-31.5; 43.2; 17.0)$  N.

NOTE 8 The second term, "quantity value", may be used in order to take advantage of the adjectival use of a noun in the English language. When there is no possible ambiguity or confusion, the term "value" may be used.

## 1.21 [VIM3: 1.20; VIM2: 1.21; VIM1: 1.20]

### numerical value of a quantity

numerical quantity value

numerical value

### ratio of a quantity and a unit of the same kind

NOTE 1 The numerical value  $\{Q\}$  of a quantity  $Q$  is frequently denoted  $\{Q\} = Q/[Q]$ , where  $[Q]$  denotes the unit. Hence, for any given **value of a quantity**, the numerical value depends on the unit.

EXAMPLE For a value of 5.700 kg, the numerical value is  $(5.700 \text{ kg})/\text{kg} = 5.700$ . The same information can be expressed as 5 700 g in which case the numerical value is  $(5\ 700 \text{ g})/\text{g} = 5\ 700$ .

NOTE 2 For **quantities with unit one**, there is no difference between the value and the numerical value of the quantity, when the **coherent unit** one is used. For quantities defined as the ratio of two quantities of the same kind, the ratio of two units is sometimes used to indicate the kind of the two quantities and to provide a multiplicative

NOTE 1 According to the type of reference, a quantity value is either

- a product of a number and a **measurement unit** (see Examples 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 and 9); the measurement unit one is generally not indicated for **quantities of dimension one** (see Examples 6 and 8), or

- a number and a reference to a **measurement procedure** (see Example 7), or

- a number and a **reference material** (see Example 10).

NOTE 2 The number can be complex (see Example 5).

NOTE 3 A quantity value can be presented in more than one way (see Examples 1, 2 and 8).

NOTE 4 In the case of vector or tensor quantities, each component has a quantity value.

EXAMPLE Force acting on a given particle, e.g. in Cartesian components  $(F_x; F_y; F_z) = (-31.5; 43.2; 17.0)$  N.

## 1.20 (1.21)

### numerical quantity value

numerical value of a quantity

numerical value

number in the expression of a **quantity value**, other than any number serving as the reference

NOTE 2 For **quantities** that have a measurement unit (i.e. those other than **ordinal quantities**), the numerical value  $\{Q\}$  of a quantity  $Q$  is frequently denoted  $\{Q\} = Q/[Q]$ , where  $[Q]$  denotes the measurement unit.

EXAMPLE For a quantity value of 5.7 kg, the numerical quantity value is  $\{m\} = (5.7 \text{ kg})/\text{kg} = 5.7$ . The same quantity value can be expressed as 5 700 g in which case the numerical quantity value  $\{m\} = (5\ 700 \text{ g})/\text{g} = 5\ 700$ .

NOTE 1 For **quantities of dimension one**, the reference is a **measurement unit** which is a number and this is not considered as a part of the numerical quantity value.

factor for the numerical value.

EXAMPLE 1 For an efficiency (ratio of output power to input power) equal to 0.7, the numerical value is 0.7, but it is 70 when the value is expressed as 70%.

EXAMPLE 2 In an amount-of-substance fraction equal to 3 mmol/mol, the numerical value is 3 and the unit is mmol/mol. The unit mmol/mol is numerically equal to 0.001.

NOTE 3 **Ordinal quantities** have no numerical values. The numeral in an expression of the **value of an ordinal quantity** is not a numerical value of a quantity, but an identifier for the ordinal position of the quantity on the **ordinal scale**.

## 1.22 [VIM3: 2.11; VIM2: 1.19; VIM1: 1.18]

### true value of a quantity

true quantity value

true value

value consistent with a quantity, as it is defined

NOTE 1 True values are, in principle and in practice, unknowable. Some approaches consider that there is not a single true value but rather a set of true values, whereas some other approaches dispense altogether with true values and rely on **metrological compatibility** and **metrological traceability of measurement results** for assessing their validity.

NOTE 2 In the special case of a fundamental constant, the quantity is considered to have a **unique true value**.

NOTE 3 When the **definitional uncertainty** associated with the **measurand** is considered to be negligible compared to the other components of the **measurement uncertainty**, the measurand may be considered to have an “essentially unique” **true value**. This is the approach taken by the **GUM and associated documents**, where the word “true” is considered to be redundant.

EXAMPLE In an amount-of-substance fraction equal to 3 mmol/mol, the numerical quantity value is 3 and the unit is mmol/mol. The unit mmol/mol is numerically equal to 0.001, but this number 0.001 is not part of the numerical quantity value, which remains 3.

## 2.11 (1.19)

### true quantity value

true value of a quantity

true value

**quantity value** consistent with the definition of a **quantity**

NOTE 1 In the Error Approach to describing **measurement**, a true quantity value is considered unique and, in practice, unknowable. The Uncertainty Approach is to recognize that, owing to the inherently incomplete amount of detail in the definition of a quantity, there is not a single true quantity value but rather a set of true quantity values consistent with the definition. However, this set of values is, in principle and in practice, unknowable. Other approaches dispense altogether with the concept of true quantity value and rely on the concept of **metrological compatibility of measurement results** for assessing their validity.

NOTE 2 In the special case of a fundamental constant, the quantity is considered to have a single true quantity value.

NOTE 3 When the **definitional uncertainty** associated with the **measurand** is considered to be negligible compared to the other components of the **measurement uncertainty**, the measurand may be considered to have an “essentially unique” true quantity value. This is the approach taken by the **GUM and associated documents**, where the word “true” is considered to be redundant.

**1.23 [VIM3: 2.12]****conventional value of a quantity**

conventional quantity value

conventional value

**value** attributed by agreement to a **quantity**, for a given purpose

EXAMPLE 1 Standard acceleration of free fall (formerly called “standard acceleration due to gravity”),  $g_n = 9.806\ 65\ \text{ms}^{-2}$ .

EXAMPLE 2 **Conventional value** of the Josephson constant,  $K_{J-90} = 483\ 597.9\ \text{GHz V}^{-1}$ .

EXAMPLE 3 **Conventional value** of a given mass standard,  $m = 100.003\ 47\ \text{g}$ .

NOTE 1 The term “conventional true quantity value” is sometimes used for referring to **conventional values**, but its use is discouraged.

NOTE 2 Sometimes a **conventional value** is an estimate of a **true value**.

NOTE 3 A **conventional value** is sometimes considered to have an associated **measurement uncertainty**.

**1.24 [VIM3: 5.18]****reference value of a quantity**

reference quantity value

reference value

**value** used as a basis for comparison with values of **quantities** of the same kind

NOTE 1 Examples of reference values are **true values** of a **measurand**, in which case they are unknown, and **conventional values**, in which case they are known.

NOTE 2 A **reference value** with associated **measurement uncertainty** is usually provided with reference to

- a material, for example a **certified reference material**,
- a device, for example a stabilized laser,
- a **reference procedure**,
- a **measurement standard**.

**2.12****conventional quantity value**

conventional value of a quantity

conventional value

**quantity value** attributed by agreement to a **quantity** for a given purpose

EXAMPLE 1 Standard acceleration of free fall (formerly called “standard acceleration due to gravity”),  $g_n = 9.806\ 65\ \text{ms}^{-2}$ .

EXAMPLE 2 Conventional quantity value of the Josephson constant,  $K_{J-90} = 483\ 597.9\ \text{GHz V}^{-1}$ .

EXAMPLE 3 Conventional quantity value of a given mass standard,  $m = 100.003\ 47\ \text{g}$ .

NOTE 1 The term “conventional true quantity value” is sometimes used for this concept, but its use is discouraged.

NOTE 2 Sometimes a conventional quantity value is an estimate of a **true quantity value**.

NOTE 3 A conventional quantity value is generally accepted as being associated with a suitably small **measurement uncertainty**, which might be zero.

**5.18****reference quantity value**

reference value

**quantity value** used as a basis for comparison with values of **quantities** of the same **kind**

NOTE 1 A reference quantity value can be a **true quantity value** of a **measurand**, in which case it is unknown, or a **conventional quantity value**, in which case it is known.

NOTE 2 A reference quantity value with associated **measurement uncertainty** is usually provided with reference to

- material, e.g. a **certified reference material**,
- a device, e.g. a stabilized laser,
- a **reference measurement procedure**,
- a comparison of **measurement standards**.

### 1.25 [VIM3: 1.21] quantity calculus

**system** of mathematical rules and operations applied to **quantities**

NOTE 1 The quantities mentioned in the definition are general quantities having a unit.

NOTE 2 In quantity calculus, **quantity equations** are preferred to **numerical value equations** because quantity equations are independent of the choice of **units**, whereas numerical value equations are not.

### 1.26 [VIM3: 1.22] quantity equation

mathematical relation **among quantities** in a given **system of quantities**, independent of **measurement units**

NOTE 1 The quantities mentioned in the definition are either general or individual quantities having a unit.

NOTE 2 The quantities on the left-hand side and the right-hand side of a quantity equation must have the same dimension. Checking this is sometimes called the “dimensional analysis” of the equation.

EXAMPLE 1  $Q_1 = \zeta Q_2 Q_3$  where  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$  denote different quantities, and where  $\zeta$  is a numerical factor.

EXAMPLE 2  $T = (1/2) mv^2$  where  $T$  is the kinetic energy and  $v$  the speed of a specified particle of mass  $m$ .

EXAMPLE 3  $n = It/F$  where  $n$  is the amount of substance of a univalent component,  $I$  is the electric current and  $t$  the duration of the electrolysis, and where  $F$  is the Faraday constant.

### 1.27 [VIM3: 1.23] unit equation

mathematical relation **among measurement units**

### 1.21 quantity calculus

set of mathematical rules and operations applied to **quantities** other than **ordinal quantities**

NOTE In quantity calculus, **quantity equations** are preferred to **numerical value equations** because quantity equations are independent of the choice of **measurement units**, whereas numerical value equations are not (see ISO 31-0:1992, 2.2.2).

### 1.22 quantity equation

mathematical relation between **quantities** in a given **system of quantities**, independent of **measurement units**

EXAMPLE 1  $Q_1 = \zeta Q_2 Q_3$  where  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$  denote different quantities, and where  $\zeta$  is a numerical factor.

EXAMPLE 2  $T = (1/2) mv^2$  where  $T$  is the kinetic energy and  $v$  the speed of a specified particle of mass  $m$ .

EXAMPLE 3  $n = It/F$  where  $n$  is the amount of substance of a univalent component,  $I$  is the electric current and  $t$  the duration of the electrolysis, and where  $F$  is the Faraday constant.

### 1.23 unit equation

mathematical relation between **base units**, **coherent derived units** or other **measurement units**

EXAMPLE 1 For the **quantities** in Example 1 of entry 1.26,  $[Q_1] = [Q_2] [Q_3]$  where  $[Q_1]$ ,  $[Q_2]$ , and  $[Q_3]$  denote the **units** of  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ , and  $Q_3$ , respectively, and  $\zeta$  is a numerical factor, which equals 1 in a **coherent system of units**.

EXAMPLE 2  $J := \text{kg m}^2/\text{s}^2$ , where J, kg, m, and s are the symbols for the joule, kilogram, metre, and second, respectively (the symbol  $:=$  denotes “is by definition equal to” as given in the ISO 80000 and IEC 80000 series of International Standards *Quantities and units*).

EXAMPLE 3  $\text{km/h} = (1/3.6) \text{ m/s}$ .

### 1.28 [VIM3: 1.24]

#### conversion factor between units

ratio of two **measurement units** for **quantities** of the same kind

EXAMPLE  $\text{km/m} = 1\,000$  and thus  $1 \text{ km} = 1\,000 \text{ m}$ .

NOTE The **units** may belong to different **systems of units**.

EXAMPLE 1  $\text{h/s} = 3\,600$  and thus  $1 \text{ h} = 3\,600 \text{ s}$ .

EXAMPLE 2  $(\text{km/h})/(\text{m/s}) = (1/3.6)$  and thus  $1 \text{ km/h} = (1/3.6) \text{ m/s}$ .

### 1.29 [VIM3: 1.25]

#### numerical value equation

numerical quantity value equation

mathematical relation among **numerical values**, based on a given **quantity equation** and **the related measurement units**

EXAMPLE 1 For the **quantities** in Example 1 in entry 1.26,  $\{Q_1\} = \zeta \{Q_2\} \{Q_3\}$  where  $\{Q_1\}$ ,  $\{Q_2\}$ , and  $\{Q_3\}$  denote the numerical values of  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ , and  $Q_3$ , respectively, provided that they are expressed in either **base units** or **coherent derived units** or both.

EXAMPLE 2 In the quantity equation for kinetic energy of a particle,  $T = (1/2) mv^2$ , if  $m = 2 \text{ kg}$  and  $v = 3 \text{ m/s}$ , then  $\{T\} = (1/2) \cdot 2 \cdot 3^2$  is a numerical value equation giving the numerical value 9 of  $T$  in joules.

EXAMPLE 1 For the **quantities** in Example 1 of item 1.22,  $[Q_1] = [Q_2] [Q_3]$  where  $[Q_1]$ ,  $[Q_2]$ , and  $[Q_3]$  denote the measurement units of  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ , and  $Q_3$ , respectively, provided that these measurement units are in a **coherent system of units**.

EXAMPLE 2  $J := \text{kg m}^2/\text{s}^2$ , where J, kg, m, and s are the symbols for the joule, kilogram, metre, and second, respectively. (The symbol  $:=$  denotes “is by definition equal to” as given in the ISO 80000 and IEC 80000 series.)

EXAMPLE 3  $1 \text{ km/h} = (1/3.6) \text{ m/s}$ .

### 1.24

#### conversion factor between units

ratio of two **measurement units** for **quantities** of the same **kind**

EXAMPLE  $\text{km/m} = 1\,000$  and thus  $1 \text{ km} = 1\,000 \text{ m}$ .

NOTE The measurement units may belong to different **systems of units**.

EXAMPLE 1  $\text{h/s} = 3\,600$  and thus  $1 \text{ h} = 3\,600 \text{ s}$ .

EXAMPLE 2  $(\text{km/h})/(\text{m/s}) = (1/3.6)$  and thus  $1 \text{ km/h} = (1/3.6) \text{ m/s}$ .

### 1.25

#### numerical value equation

numerical quantity value equation

mathematical relation between **numerical quantity values**, based on a given **quantity equation** and specified **measurement units**

EXAMPLE 1 For the **quantities** in Example 1 in item 1.22,  $\{Q_1\} = \zeta \{Q_2\} \{Q_3\}$  where  $\{Q_1\}$ ,  $\{Q_2\}$ , and  $\{Q_3\}$  denote the numerical values of  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ , and  $Q_3$ , respectively, provided that they are expressed in either **base units** or **coherent derived units** or both.

EXAMPLE 2 In the quantity equation for kinetic energy of a particle,  $T = (1/2) mv^2$ , if  $m = 2 \text{ kg}$  and  $v = 3 \text{ m/s}$ , then  $\{T\} = (1/2) \cdot 2 \cdot 3^2$  is a numerical value equation giving the numerical value 9 of  $T$  in joules.



**1.30 [VIM3: 1.26]****ordinal quantity** <general>

general ordinal quantity

ordinal quantity in the general sense

quantity whose instances can be compared by order but not by ratio

EXAMPLE 1 Rockwell C hardness.

EXAMPLE 2 Octane number for petroleum fuel.

EXAMPLE 3 Earthquake strength on the Richter scale.

EXAMPLE 4 Subjective level of abdominal pain on a scale from zero to five.

NOTE Ordinal quantities are sometimes defined by the procedure according to which they are measured.

**1.31 [VIM3: 1.26]****ordinal quantity** <individual>

individual ordinal quantity

instance of a general ordinal quantity

NOTE 1 Ordinal quantities can enter into empirical relations only and have neither **units** nor **dimensions**. Differences and ratios of ordinal quantities have no physical meaning.NOTE 2 Ordinal quantities are arranged according to **ordinal scales**.**1.26****ordinal quantity****quantity**, defined by a conventional **measurement procedure**, for which a total ordering relation can be established, according to magnitude, with other quantities of the same **kind**, but for which no algebraic operations among those quantities exist

EXAMPLE 1 Rockwell C hardness.

EXAMPLE 2 Octane number for petroleum fuel.

EXAMPLE 3 Earthquake strength on the Richter scale.

EXAMPLE 4 Subjective level of abdominal pain on a scale from zero to five.

NOTE 1 Ordinal quantities can enter into empirical relations only and have neither **measurement units** nor **quantity dimensions**. Differences and ratios of ordinal quantities have no physical meaning.NOTE 2 Ordinal quantities are arranged according to **ordinal quantity-value scales** (see 1.28).

**1.32** [VIM3: 1.28; VIM2: 1.22; VIM1: 1.21]

### **ordinal scale**

measurement scale, accepted by agreement, whose elements are related by order only

NOTE 1 A ordinal scale is an ordered set of explicitly chosen individual quantities, where each quantity is associated with an ordinal identifier.

EXAMPLE 1 Mohs scale of mineral hardness, where the hardness of talc is associated with the ordinal identifier 1, the hardness of gypsum is associated with the ordinal identifier 2, and so on.

EXAMPLE 2 Scale of octane numbers for petroleum fuel.

NOTE 2 An ordinal scale may be established by **measurements** according to a **measurement procedure**.

**1.33** [new]

### **value of an ordinal quantity**

value <ordinal quantity>

individual quantity identified on an ordinal scale

NOTE **Ordinal quantities** have no **numerical values**. The numeral in an expression of the value of an ordinal quantity is not a numerical value of a quantity, but an identifier for the ordinal position of the individual quantity on the scale.

[deleted]

### **conventional reference scale**

**1.28** (1.22)

### **ordinal quantity-value scale**

ordinal value scale

**quantity-value scale** for **ordinal quantities**

EXAMPLE 1 Rockwell C hardness scale.

EXAMPLE 2 Scale of octane numbers for petroleum fuel.

NOTE An ordinal quantity-value scale may be established by **measurements** according to a **measurement procedure**.

**1.29**

### **conventional reference scale**

**quantity-value scale** defined by formal agreement

## 2 Measurement

### 2.1 [VIM3: 2.1; VIM2: 2.1; VIM1: 2.01]

#### measurement

process of experimentally obtaining one or more **values** that can reasonably be attributed to a **quantity** together with any other available relevant information

NOTE 1 The quantity mentioned in the definition is an individual quantity.

NOTE 2 The relevant information mentioned in the definition may be about the values obtained by the measurement, such that some may be more representative of the **measurand** than others.

NOTE 3 Measurement is sometimes considered to apply to **nominal properties**, but not in this Vocabulary, where the process of obtaining values of nominal properties is called "**examination**".

NOTE 4 Measurement requires both experimental comparison of quantities or experimental counting of entities at some step of the process and the use of models and calculations that are based on conceptual considerations.

NOTE 5 The conditions of reasonable attribution mentioned in the definition take into account a description of the quantity commensurate with the intended use of a **measurement result**, a **measurement procedure**, and a calibrated **measuring system** operating according to the specified measurement procedure, including the measurement conditions. Moreover, a **maximum permissible error** and/or a **target uncertainty** may be specified, and the measurement procedure and the measuring system should then be chosen in order not to exceed these measuring system specifications.

### 2.2 [VIM3: 2.2; VIM2: 2.2; VIM1: 2.02]

#### metrology

science of **measurement** and its application

NOTE Metrology includes all theoretical and practical aspects of measurement,

## 2 Measurement

### 2.1 (2.1)

#### measurement

process of experimentally obtaining one or more **quantity values** that can reasonably be attributed to a **quantity**

NOTE 1 Measurement does not apply to **nominal properties**.

NOTE 2 Measurement implies comparison of quantities or counting of entities.

NOTE 3 Measurement presupposes a description of the quantity commensurate with the intended use of a **measurement result**, a **measurement procedure**, and a calibrated **measuring system** operating according to the specified measurement procedure, including the measurement conditions.

### 2.2 (2.2)

#### metrology

science of **measurement** and its application

NOTE Metrology includes all theoretical and practical aspects of measurement, whatever

whatever the **measurement uncertainty** and field of application.

### 2.3 [VIM3: 2.3; VIM2: 2.6; VIM1: 2.09]

#### measurand

quantity intended to be measured

NOTE 1 The quantity mentioned in the definition is an individual quantity.

NOTE 2 The specification of a measurand requires knowledge of the **kind of quantity**, description of the state of the phenomenon, body, or substance carrying the quantity, including any quantity having a relevant effect on the quantity being measured, and, if required, the chemical entities involved.

NOTE 3 In some cases the measurand is specified additionally by a documented and accepted measurement procedure. ISO REMCO refers to this as an operationally defined measurand (see ISO 17034, *General requirements for the competence of reference material producers*, definition 3.7). In laboratory medicine the IUPAC-IFCC format for a procedure defined measurand is "System—Component; kind-of-quantity(procedure)".

EXAMPLE The activity of the enzyme alanine transaminase (ALAT) in blood plasma, as specified by the IFCC Committee on Reference Systems for Enzymes, is:

Plasma—Alanine transaminase; catalytic concentration(IFCC 2002) is equal to 1,2  $\mu\text{kat/L}$

(IFCC Scientific Division. Committee on Reference Systems for Enzymes (2002). *Part 4. Reference procedure for the measurement of catalytic concentration of alanine aminotransferase*. Clin. Chem. Lab. Med. 40(7): 718-724).

In these cases only results obtained by the same procedure can be compared.

NOTE 4 In the past the term "measurand" was used to refer to both the quantity intended to be measured and the quantity being measured., i.e., the quantity with which the **measuring system** interacts. Given that, despite the best efforts of the measurer, the quantity intended to be measured might not be the same as the quantity being measured, this ambiguity was removed, by calling "measurand" only the former.

NOTE 5 The **measurement**, along with the measuring system and the conditions under which the measurement is carried out, might change the phenomenon, body, or substance such that the quantity being measured may differ from the measurand as defined. In this case, adequate **correction** to the **measured value** may be necessary depending on the target uncertainty.

the **measurement uncertainty** and field of application.

### 2.3 (2.6)

#### measurand

quantity intended to be measured

NOTE 1 The specification of a measurand requires knowledge of the **kind of quantity**, description of the state of the phenomenon, body, or substance carrying the quantity, including any relevant component, and the chemical entities involved.

NOTE 2 In the second edition of the VIM and in IEC 60050-300:2001, the measurand is defined as the 'particular quantity subject to measurement'.

NOTE 3 The **measurement**, including the **measuring system** and the conditions under which the measurement is carried out, might change the phenomenon, body, or substance such that the quantity being measured may differ from the measurand as defined. In this case, adequate **correction** is necessary.

EXAMPLE 1 The potential difference between the terminals of a battery may decrease when using a voltmeter with a significant internal conductance to perform the measurement. Given the knowledge of the internal resistances of the battery and the voltmeter, the open-circuit potential difference can be calculated from such resistances by applying suitable theoretical considerations.

EXAMPLE 2 If the measurand is the length of a steel rod in equilibrium with the ambient Celsius temperature of 20 °C, but the actual ambient temperature is 23 °C, then a correction is necessary.

NOTE 6 The term “analyte”, or the name of a substance or compound, is sometimes (for example in chemistry) used for measurands. This usage is not consistent with the present Vocabulary because these terms do not refer to quantities.

## 2.4 [VIM3: 2.4; VIM2: 2.3; VIM1: 2.05]

### measurement principle

principle of measurement

phenomenon or process serving as a basis of a measurement

EXAMPLE 1 Thermoelectric effect applied to the measurement of temperature.

EXAMPLE 2 Energy absorption applied to the measurement of amount-of-substance concentration.

EXAMPLE 3 Lowering of the concentration of glucose in blood in a fasting rabbit applied to the measurement of insulin concentration in a preparation.

## 2.5 [VIM3: 2.5; VIM2: 2.4; VIM1: 2.06]

### measurement method

method of measurement

generic description of a logical organization of operations used in a measurement

NOTE 1 Measurement methods may be qualified in various ways such as:

- substitution measurement method,
- differential measurement method, and
- null measurement method;

or

EXAMPLE 1 The potential difference between the terminals of a battery may decrease when using a voltmeter with a significant internal conductance to perform the measurement. The open-circuit potential difference can be calculated from the internal resistances of the battery and the voltmeter.

EXAMPLE 2 The length of a steel rod in equilibrium with the ambient Celsius temperature of 23 °C will be different from the length at the specified temperature of 20 °C, which is the measurand. In this case, a correction is necessary.

NOTE 4 In chemistry, “analyte”, or the name of a substance or compound, are terms sometimes used for 'measurand'. This usage is erroneous because these terms do not refer to quantities.

## 2.4 (2.3)

### measurement principle

principle of measurement

phenomenon serving as a basis of a measurement

EXAMPLE 1 Thermoelectric effect applied to the measurement of temperature.

EXAMPLE 2 Energy absorption applied to the measurement of amount-of-substance concentration.

EXAMPLE 3 Lowering of the concentration of glucose in blood in a fasting rabbit applied to the measurement of insulin concentration in a preparation.

NOTE The phenomenon can be of a physical, chemical, or biological nature.

## 2.5 (2.4)

### measurement method

method of measurement

generic description of a logical organization of operations used in a measurement

NOTE Measurement methods may be qualified in various ways such as:

- substitution measurement method,
- differential measurement method, and
- null measurement method;

or

- direct measurement method, and

- direct measurement method, and
- indirect measurement method.

See IEC 60050-300, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary – Electrical and electronic measurements and measuring instruments*.

NOTE 2 The use of the terms “measurement method” and “measurement procedure” differs, for historical reasons, in different areas of **metrology**. In general, a measurement method specifies a broader category of operations than does a measurement procedure, which requires a detailed set of instructions.

## 2.6 [new]

### primary method of measurement

primary measurement method

primary method

measurement method used to obtain a measurement result without reference to a measurement standard for a quantity of the same kind

NOTE 1 According to the current edition of the SI Brochure, primary methods are the highest-level experimental methods used for the realization of units using the equations of physics. The essential characteristic of a primary method is that it allows a quantity to be measured in a particular unit by using only measurements of quantities that do not involve that unit.

NOTE 2 The Joint Committee for Traceability in Laboratory Medicine (JCTLM) uses the term “primary reference measurement procedure” for primary methods of measurement.

## 2.7 [VIM3: 2.6; VIM2: 2.5; VIM1: 2.07]

### measurement procedure

detailed description of a measurement according to one or more measurement principles and to a given measurement method, based on a measurement model and including any calculation to obtain a measurement result

NOTE 1 A measurement procedure is usually documented in sufficient detail to enable an operator to perform a measurement.

NOTE 2 A measurement procedure **may** include a statement concerning a **target**

- indirect measurement method.
- See IEC 60050-300:2001.

## 2.6 (2.5)

### measurement procedure

detailed description of a measurement according to one or more measurement principles and to a given measurement method, based on a measurement model and including any calculation to obtain a measurement result

NOTE 1 A measurement procedure is usually documented in sufficient detail to enable an operator to perform a measurement.

NOTE 2 A measurement procedure can include a statement concerning a **target**

**uncertainty.**

NOTE 3 A measurement procedure is sometimes called a "standard operating procedure", abbreviated as SOP.

NOTE 4 The use of the terms "measurement method" and "measurement procedure" differs, for historical reasons, in different areas of metrology. In general, a measurement method specifies a broader category of operations than does a measurement procedure, which requires a detailed set of instructions.

**2.8 [VIM3: 2.7]****reference measurement procedure****reference procedure**

measurement procedure used in the process of providing measurement results for assessing trueness of values obtained from other measurement procedures for quantities of the same kind

NOTE Reference measurement procedures are often used in **calibration** and in attributing values to properties of **reference materials**.

**2.9 [VIM3: 2.8]****primary reference measurement procedure**

primary reference procedure

reference measurement procedure used to obtain a measurement result without relation to a measurement standard for a quantity of the same kind

EXAMPLE The volume of water delivered by a 50 ml pipette at 20 °C is measured by weighing the water delivered by the pipette into a beaker, taking the mass of beaker plus water minus the mass of the initially empty beaker, and correcting the mass difference for the actual water temperature using the volumic mass (mass density).

NOTE 1 The Consultative Committee for Amount of Substance – Metrology in Chemistry and Biology (CCQM) uses the term "primary method of measurement" to refer to primary reference procedures.

NOTE 2 Specific kinds of primary reference procedures are direct primary reference procedures and ratio primary reference procedures, defined by the CCQM (5th Meeting, 1999).

**measurement uncertainty.**

NOTE 3 A measurement procedure is sometimes called a standard operating procedure, abbreviated SOP.

**2.7****reference measurement procedure**

measurement procedure accepted as providing measurement results fit for their intended use in assessing measurement trueness of measured quantity values obtained from other measurement procedures for quantities of the same kind, in **calibration**, or in characterizing **reference materials**

**2.8****primary reference measurement procedure**

primary reference procedure

reference measurement procedure used to obtain a measurement result without relation to a measurement standard for a quantity of the same kind

EXAMPLE The volume of water delivered by a 50 ml pipette at 20 °C is measured by weighing the water delivered by the pipette into a beaker, taking the mass of beaker plus water minus the mass of the initially empty beaker, and correcting the mass difference for the actual water temperature using the volumic mass (mass density).

NOTE 1 The Consultative Committee for Amount of Substance – Metrology in Chemistry (CCQM) uses the term "primary method of measurement" for this concept.

NOTE 2 Definitions of two subordinate concepts, which could be termed "direct primary reference measurement procedure" and "ratio primary reference measurement procedure", are given by the CCQM (5th Meeting, 1999) <sup>[43]</sup>.

NOTE 3 The Joint Committee for Traceability in Laboratory Medicine (JCTLM) uses the term “primary reference measurement procedure” for referring to **primary methods of measurement**.

## 2.10 [VIM3: 2.9; VIM2: 3.1; VIM1: 3.01]

### measurement result

result of measurement

set of **values** being attributed to a **measurand** together with any other available relevant information

NOTE 1 A measurement result generally contains **relevant information** about the set of **values**, such that some may be more representative of the measurand than others. This may be **reported as** a probability **distribution**.

NOTE 2 A measurement result is **sometimes reported as** a single **measured value** and a **measurement uncertainty**. If the measurement uncertainty **is negligible in comparison with target uncertainty**, the measurement result **is sometimes** expressed as a single measured value. **In this case only significant digits should be reported**. In many fields, this is the common way of expressing a measurement result, **however caution should be used**.

NOTE 3 In the traditional literature, **a** measurement result **was reported as** a value attributed to a measurand and explained to mean an **indication**, or an uncorrected result, or a corrected result, according to the context.

NOTE 4 This definition differs from the definition in the second edition of the VIM (VIM2) (“value attributed to a measurand, obtained by measurement”) in recognition of the fact that “In general, the result of a measurement is only an approximation or estimate of the value of the measurand and thus is complete only when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of that estimate.” (GUM, entry 3.1.2).

## 2.11 [VIM3: 2.10]

### measured value of a quantity

measured quantity value

measured value

**value of a quantity** representing a **measurement result**

NOTE 1 For a **measurement** involving replicate **indications**, each indication can be used to provide a corresponding **measured value**. This set of **measured values** can be

## 2.9 (3.1)

### measurement result

result of measurement

set of **quantity values** being attributed to a **measurand** together with any other available relevant information

NOTE 1 A measurement result generally contains “relevant information” about the set of quantity values, such that some may be more representative of the measurand than others. This may be expressed in the form of a probability density function (PDF).

NOTE 2 A measurement result is generally expressed as a single **measured quantity value** and a **measurement uncertainty**. If the measurement uncertainty is considered to be negligible for some purpose, the measurement result may be expressed as a single measured quantity value. In many fields, this is the common way of expressing a measurement result.

NOTE 3 In the traditional literature and in the previous edition of the VIM, measurement result was defined as a value attributed to a measurand and explained to mean an **indication**, or an uncorrected result, or a corrected result, according to the context.

## 2.10

### measured quantity value

value of a measured quantity

measured value

**quantity value** representing a **measurement result**

NOTE 1 For a **measurement** involving replicate **indications**, each indication can be used to provide a corresponding measured quantity value. This set of individual



used to calculate a resulting **measured value**, such as an average or median, usually with a decreased associated **measurement uncertainty**.

NOTE 2 When the range of the **true values** believed to represent the **measurand** is small compared with the measurement uncertainty, and therefore the **definitional uncertainty is considered to be negligible**, a **measured value** can be considered to be an estimate of an essentially unique **true value** and is often an average or median of individual **measured values** obtained through replicate **indications**.

NOTE 3 In cases where the range of the **true values** believed to represent the measurand is not small compared with the measurement uncertainty, a measured value is often an estimate of an average or median of the set of true values.

NOTE 4 In the GUM, the terms “result of measurement” and “estimate of the value of the measurand” or just “estimate of the measurand” are used for **measured values**.

NOTE 5 The term “measured value” can be used instead of the full term “measured quantity value” only if there is no possibility for ambiguity or misinterpretation in the particular context. In particular, “measured value” should not be used for indication and “indication” should not be used for measured value.

## 2.12 [VIM3: 2.48]

### measurement model

model of measurement  
model

mathematical relation among all **quantities** known to be involved in a **measurement**

NOTE 1 A general form of a measurement model is the equation  $h(Y, X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$ , where Y, the **output quantity**, is the **measurand**, the **value** of which is to be inferred from information about **input quantities**  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .

NOTE 2 In more complex cases where there are two or more **output quantities**, the measurement model consists of more than one equation.

measured quantity values can be used to calculate a resulting measured quantity value, such as an average or median, usually with a decreased associated **measurement uncertainty**.

NOTE 2 When the range of the **true quantity values** believed to represent the **measurand** is small compared with the measurement uncertainty, a measured quantity value can be considered to be an estimate of an essentially unique true quantity value and is often an average or median of individual measured quantity values obtained through replicate measurements.

NOTE 3 In the case where the range of the true quantity values believed to represent the measurand is not small compared with the measurement uncertainty, a measured quantity value is often an estimate of an average or median of the set of true quantity values.

NOTE 4 In the GUM, the terms “result of measurement” and “estimate of the value of the measurand” or just “estimate of the measurand” are used for ‘measured quantity value’.

## 2.48

### measurement model

model of measurement  
model

mathematical relation among all **quantities** known to be involved in a **measurement**

NOTE 1 A general form of a measurement model is the equation  $h(Y, X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$ , where Y, the **output quantity in the measurement model**, is the **measurand**, the **quantity value** of which is to be inferred from information about **input quantities in the measurement model**  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .

NOTE 2 In more complex cases where there are two or more output quantities in a measurement model, the measurement model consists of more than one equation.

**2.13 [VIM3: 2.49]****measurement function**

function of quantities, the value of which, when calculated using known values for the input quantities in a measurement model, is a measured value of the output quantity in the measurement model

NOTE 1 If a **measurement model**  $h(Y, X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$  can explicitly be written as  $Y = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , where  $Y$  is the output quantity in the measurement model, the function  $f$  is the measurement function. More generally,  $f$  may symbolize an algorithm, yielding for input values  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  a corresponding unique output value  $y = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

NOTE 2 A measurement function is also used to calculate the **measurement uncertainty** associated with the measured value of  $Y$ .

**2.14 [VIM3: 2.50]****input quantity in a measurement model**

input quantity

quantity, the value of which is required for calculating a measured value of a measurand

EXAMPLE When the length of a steel rod at a specified temperature is the measurand, the actual temperature, the length at that actual temperature, and the linear thermal expansion coefficient of the rod are input quantities.

NOTE 1 An input quantity is often an output quantity of a **measuring system**.

NOTE 2 **Indications**, **corrections**, and **influence quantities** can be input quantities.

**2.15 [VIM3: 2.51]****output quantity in a measurement model**

output quantity

quantity, the measured value of which is calculated using the values of input quantities in a measurement model

**2.49****measurement function**

function of quantities, the value of which, when calculated using known quantity values for the input quantities in a measurement model, is a measured quantity value of the output quantity in the measurement model

NOTE 1 If a **measurement model**  $h(Y, X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$  can explicitly be written as  $Y = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , where  $Y$  is the output quantity in the measurement model, the function  $f$  is the measurement function. More generally,  $f$  may symbolize an algorithm, yielding for input quantity values  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  a corresponding unique output quantity value  $y = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

NOTE 2 A measurement function is also used to calculate the **measurement uncertainty** associated with the measured quantity value of  $Y$ .

**2.50****input quantity in a measurement model**

input quantity

quantity that must be measured, or a quantity, the value of which can be otherwise obtained, in order to calculate a measured quantity value of a measurand

EXAMPLE When the length of a steel rod at a specified temperature is the measurand, the actual temperature, the length at that actual temperature, and the linear thermal expansion coefficient of the rod are input quantities in a measurement model.

NOTE 1 An input quantity in a measurement model is often an output quantity of a **measuring system**.

NOTE 2 **Indications**, **corrections** and **influence quantities** can be input quantities in a measurement model.

**2.51****output quantity in a measurement model**

output quantity

quantity, the measured value of which is calculated using the values of input quantities in a measurement model

**2.16** [VIM3: 2.52; VIM2: 2.7; VIM1: 2.10]**influence quantity**

**quantity** that does not affect the quantity being measured but that affects the measurement result

NOTE 1 The quantities mentioned in the definition are individual quantities.

EXAMPLE 1 Frequency of the alternating current in the measurement with an ammeter of the amplitude of that current.

EXAMPLE 2 Amount-of-substance concentration of bilirubin in a direct measurement of haemoglobin amount-of-substance concentration in human blood plasma.

EXAMPLE 3 Temperature of a micrometer used for measuring the length of a rod, but not the temperature of the rod itself which can enter into the definition of the **measurand**.

EXAMPLE 4 Background pressure in the ion source of a mass spectrometer during a measurement of amount-of-substance fraction.

NOTE 2 An indirect measurement involves a combination of direct measurements, each of which may be affected by influence quantities.

NOTE 3 In the GUM and in the second edition of the VIM, the term "influence quantity" is used for referring not only to the quantities affecting the **measuring system**, as in the definition above, but also to those quantities that affect the quantities actually measured. Also, in the GUM **influence quantities** are not restricted to direct measurements.

**2.17** [VIM3: 2.53; VIM2: 3.15, 3.16; VIM1: 3.14, 3.15]**correction**

**quantity, in a measurement model, compensating for an estimated systematic error**

NOTE 1 The compensation can take different forms, such as an addend or a factor, or can be deduced from a table.

NOTE 2 Corrections can be used for both input quantities and output quantities in a measurement model. If any of the related estimated systematic errors are negligible with respect to the target uncertainty, the corresponding correction is usually avoided.

**2.52 (2.7)****influence quantity**

**quantity** that, in a direct **measurement**, does not affect the quantity that is actually measured, but affects the relation between the **indication** and the **measurement result**

EXAMPLE 1 Frequency in the direct measurement with an ammeter of the constant amplitude of an alternating current.

EXAMPLE 2 Amount-of-substance concentration of bilirubin in a direct measurement of haemoglobin amount-of-substance concentration in human blood plasma.

EXAMPLE 3 Temperature of a micrometer used for measuring the length of a rod, but not the temperature of the rod itself which can enter into the definition of the **measurand**.

EXAMPLE 4 Background pressure in the ion source of a mass spectrometer during a measurement of amount-of-substance fraction.

NOTE 1 An indirect measurement involves a combination of direct measurements, each of which may be affected by influence quantities.

NOTE 2 In the GUM, the concept 'influence quantity' is defined as in the second edition of the VIM, covering not only the quantities affecting the **measuring system**, as in the definition above, but also those quantities that affect the quantities actually measured. Also, in the GUM this concept is not restricted to direct measurements.

**2.53 (3.15) (3.16)****correction**

compensation for an estimated systematic effect

NOTE 1 See ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 3.2.3, for an explanation of 'systematic effect'.

NOTE 2 The compensation can take different forms, such as an addend or a factor, or can be deduced from a table.

especially if it is unpractical or uneconomical.

COMMITTEE DRAFT

### 3 Measurement quality

#### 3.1 [VIM3: 2.26; VIM2: 3.9; VIM1: 3.09]

##### measurement uncertainty

uncertainty of measurement  
uncertainty

**parameter** characterizing the dispersion of the **values** being attributed to a **measurand**, based on the information used

NOTE 1 A way to interpret measurement uncertainty is as indecision or doubt, either about the essentially unique true value of the measurand that remains after making a measurement, or about the measured value to be chosen to represent a measurement result.

NOTE 2 The parameter characterizing dispersion is either positive or zero. It may be, for example, a **standard uncertainty** (or a specified multiple of it), or the half-width of an interval, having a stated **coverage probability**.

NOTE 3 Measurement uncertainty includes components arising from systematic effects, such as components associated with **corrections** and **values attributed to quantities** of **measurement standards**. Sometimes estimated systematic effects are not corrected for but, instead, associated measurement uncertainty components are incorporated.

NOTE 4 Measurement uncertainty comprises, in general, many components. Some of these may be evaluated by **Type A evaluation** from the **probability** distribution of the **values** from series of **measurements** and can be characterized by standard deviations. The other components, which may be evaluated by **Type B evaluation**, can also be characterized by standard deviations, evaluated from **probability distributions** based on experience or other information.

NOTE 5 In general, **for given information, as mentioned in the definition**, it is understood that the measurement uncertainty is associated with a stated **value** attributed to the

### 5 Measurement standards (Etalons)

#### 2.26 (3.9)

##### measurement uncertainty

uncertainty of measurement  
uncertainty

non-negative parameter characterizing the dispersion of the **quantity values** being attributed to a **measurand**, based on the information used

NOTE 1 Measurement uncertainty includes components arising from systematic effects, such as components associated with **corrections** and the assigned quantity values of **measurement standards**, as well as the **definitional uncertainty**. Sometimes estimated systematic effects are not corrected for but, instead, associated measurement uncertainty components are incorporated.

NOTE 2 The parameter may be, for example, a standard deviation called **standard measurement uncertainty** (or a specified multiple of it), or the half-width of an interval, having a stated **coverage probability**.

NOTE 3 Measurement uncertainty comprises, in general, many components. Some of these may be evaluated by **Type A evaluation of measurement uncertainty** from the statistical distribution of the quantity values from series of **measurements** and can be characterized by standard deviations. The other components, which may be evaluated by **Type B evaluation of measurement uncertainty**, can also be characterized by standard deviations, evaluated from probability density functions based on experience or other information.

NOTE 4 In general, for a given set of information, it is understood that the measurement uncertainty is associated with a stated quantity value attributed to the measurand. A

measurand. A modification of this value results in a modification of the associated uncertainty.

NOTE 6 Measurement uncertainty is generally part of a **measurement result**. If instead a measurement result is reported as a single **measured value**, then only significant digits should be reported.

### 3.2 [VIM3: 2.27]

#### definitional uncertainty

**measurement uncertainty** resulting from the finite amount of detail in the definition of a **measurand**

EXAMPLE 1 The glucose concentration in blood plasma of a person at a given time varies depending on which part of the blood circulation the sample is drawn from. If not otherwise specified this variation contributes to definitional uncertainty.

EXAMPLE 2 The measured thickness of a sheet of material at a given temperature may vary at different points on the sheet because of manufacturing unevenness. If not otherwise specified this variation contributes to definitional uncertainty.

NOTE 1 Definitional uncertainty is the practical minimum measurement uncertainty achievable in any **measurement** of a given measurand. A measurand should be defined in such a way that the related **definitional uncertainty** is significantly less than the **target uncertainty**.

NOTE 2 Any change in the descriptive detail **in the definition of a measurand** usually leads to another definitional uncertainty.

NOTE 3 In the GUM, entry D.3.4, and in IEC 60359, *Electrical and electronic measurement equipment – Expression of performance*, **definitional uncertainty** is termed “intrinsic uncertainty”.

### 3.3 [VIM3: 4.24]

#### instrumental measurement uncertainty

**instrumental uncertainty**

component of **measurement uncertainty** arising from a **measuring instrument** or **measuring system** in use

NOTE 1 **Instrumental uncertainty** is **evaluated** through **calibration** of a measuring instrument or measuring system, except for a **primary measurement standard** for

modification of this value results in a modification of the associated uncertainty.

### 2.27

#### definitional uncertainty

component of **measurement uncertainty** resulting from the finite amount of detail in the definition of a **measurand**

NOTE 1 Definitional uncertainty is the practical minimum measurement uncertainty achievable in any **measurement** of a given measurand.

NOTE 2 Any change in the descriptive detail leads to another definitional uncertainty.

NOTE 3 In the ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, D.3.4, and in IEC 60359, the concept ‘definitional uncertainty’ is termed “intrinsic uncertainty”.

### 4.24

#### instrumental measurement uncertainty

component of **measurement uncertainty** arising from a **measuring instrument** or **measuring system** in use

NOTE 1 Instrumental measurement uncertainty is obtained through **calibration** of a measuring instrument or measuring system, except for a **primary measurement**

which other means are used.

NOTE 2 **Instrumental uncertainty** is used in a **Type B evaluation of measurement uncertainty**.

NOTE 3 Information relevant to **instrumental uncertainty** is usually given in the instrument specifications.

### 3.4 [VIM3: 2.28]

#### Type A evaluation of measurement uncertainty

Type A evaluation

evaluation of a component of **measurement uncertainty** by a statistical analysis of **measured values** obtained under defined **measurement conditions**

NOTE 1 For various types of measurement conditions, see **repeatability condition of measurement, intermediate precision condition of measurement, and reproducibility condition of measurement**.

NOTE 2 For information about statistical analysis, see **for example** the GUM.

NOTE 3 See also the GUM, entry 2.3.2, and the ISO 5725 series of *International Standards, Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results*, ISO 13528, *Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparison*, ISO/TS 21748, *Guidance for the use of repeatability, reproducibility and trueness estimates in measurement uncertainty estimation*, ISO 21749, *Measurement uncertainty for metrological applications – Repeated measurements and nested experiments*.

### 3.5 [VIM3: 2.29]

#### Type B evaluation of measurement uncertainty

Type B evaluation

evaluation of a component of **measurement uncertainty** determined by means other than a **Type A evaluation**

EXAMPLES Evaluation based on information

- associated with authoritative published **values**,
- associated with the value of a **property of a certified reference material**,

**standard** for which other means are used.

NOTE 2 Instrumental measurement uncertainty is used in a **Type B evaluation of measurement uncertainty**.

NOTE 3 Information relevant to instrumental measurement uncertainty may be given in the instrument specifications.

### 2.28

#### Type A evaluation of measurement uncertainty

Type A evaluation

evaluation of a component of **measurement uncertainty** by a statistical analysis of **measured quantity values** obtained under defined **measurement conditions**

NOTE 1 For various types of measurement conditions, see **repeatability condition of measurement, intermediate precision condition of measurement, and reproducibility condition of measurement**.

NOTE 2 For information about statistical analysis, see e.g. ISO/IEC Guide 98-3.

NOTE 3 See also ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 2.3.2, ISO 5725, ISO 13528, ISO/TS 21748, ISO 21749.

### 2.29

#### Type B evaluation of measurement uncertainty

Type B evaluation

evaluation of a component of **measurement uncertainty** determined by means other than a **Type A evaluation of measurement uncertainty**

EXAMPLES Evaluation based on information

- associated with authoritative published **quantity values**,
- associated with the quantity value of a **certified reference material**,
- obtained from a **calibration** certificate,

- obtained from a **calibration** certificate,
- about drift,
- obtained from the **accuracy class** of a verified **measuring instrument**,
- obtained from limits **inferred** through personal experience.

NOTE See also the **GUM**, entry 2.3.3.

### 3.6 [VIM3: 2.30]

#### standard measurement uncertainty

standard uncertainty of measurement

standard uncertainty

measurement uncertainty **specified** as a standard deviation

### 3.7 [VIM3: 2.31]

#### combined standard measurement uncertainty

combined standard uncertainty

standard uncertainty that is obtained using **the standard uncertainties associated with the input quantities in a measurement model**

NOTE In case of correlations of **the random variables modelling** input quantities in a measurement model, covariances must also be taken into account when calculating the **combined standard uncertainty**; see also the **GUM**, entry 2.3.4.

### 3.8 [VIM3: 2.32]

#### relative standard measurement uncertainty

standard uncertainty divided by the absolute value of **a measured value**

### 3.9 [VIM3: 2.33]

#### uncertainty budget

statement of a measurement uncertainty, of the components of that measurement uncertainty, and of their calculation and combination

NOTE An uncertainty budget should **specify** the **measurement model**, estimates and

- about drift,
- obtained from the **accuracy class** of a verified **measuring instrument**,
- obtained from limits deduced through personal experience.

NOTE See also ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 2.3.3.

### 2.30

#### standard measurement uncertainty

standard uncertainty of measurement

standard uncertainty

measurement uncertainty expressed as a standard deviation

### 2.31

#### combined standard measurement uncertainty

combined standard uncertainty

standard measurement uncertainty that is obtained using the individual **standard measurement uncertainties** associated with the **input quantities in a measurement model**

NOTE In case of correlations of input quantities in a measurement model, covariances must also be taken into account when calculating the combined standard measurement uncertainty; see also ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 2.3.4.

### 2.32

#### relative standard measurement uncertainty

standard measurement uncertainty divided by the absolute value of the **measured quantity value**

### 2.33

#### uncertainty budget

statement of a measurement uncertainty, of the components of that measurement uncertainty, and of their calculation and combination

NOTE An uncertainty budget should include the **measurement model**, estimates and



measurement uncertainties associated with the **quantities** in the measurement model, covariances, type of applied probability **distributions**, degrees of freedom, type of evaluation of measurement uncertainty, and any **coverage factor** if **expanded uncertainty** is considered.

### 3.10 [VIM3: 2.34]

#### target measurement uncertainty

target uncertainty

**measurement uncertainty** specified as an upper limit and decided on the basis of the intended use of **measurement results**

NOTE The target uncertainty of a given **measurement** should be significantly greater than the **definitional uncertainty** of the **measurand**.

### 3.11 [VIM3: 2.35]

#### expanded measurement uncertainty

expanded uncertainty

product of a **combined standard uncertainty** and a factor **greater than one**

NOTE 1 The factor mentioned in the definition is a **coverage factor** and depends upon the type of probability distribution of the **output quantity in a measurement model** and the selected **coverage probability**. Expanded uncertainties are meaningful only for symmetric distributions.

NOTE 2 Expanded measurement uncertainty is termed “overall uncertainty” in paragraph 5 of Recommendation INC-1 (1980) (see the GUM) and simply “uncertainty” in IEC documents.

### 3.12 [VIM3: 2.36]

#### coverage interval

interval containing the **value of a quantity** with a stated probability, based on the information available

NOTE 1 A coverage interval does not need to be centred on the chosen **measured**

measurement uncertainties associated with the **quantities** in the measurement model, covariances, type of applied probability density functions, degrees of freedom, type of evaluation of measurement uncertainty, and any **coverage factor**.

### 2.34

#### target measurement uncertainty

target uncertainty

**measurement uncertainty** specified as an upper limit and decided on the basis of the intended use of **measurement results**

### 2.35

#### expanded measurement uncertainty

expanded uncertainty

product of a **combined standard measurement uncertainty** and a factor larger than the number one

NOTE 1 The factor depends upon the type of probability distribution of the **output quantity in a measurement model** and on the selected **coverage probability**.

NOTE 2 The term “factor” in this definition refers to a **coverage factor**.

NOTE 3 Expanded measurement uncertainty is termed “overall uncertainty” in paragraph 5 of Recommendation INC-1 (1980) (see the GUM) and simply “uncertainty” in IEC documents.

### 2.36

#### coverage interval

interval containing the set of **true quantity values** of a **measurand** with a stated probability, based on the information available

NOTE 1 A coverage interval does not need to be centred on the chosen **measured**

**value** (see JCGM 101, *Evaluation of measurement data – Supplement 1 to the “Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement” – Propagation of distributions using a Monte Carlo method*).

NOTE 2 A coverage interval should not be termed “confidence interval” to avoid confusion with the statistical concept (see the GUM, entry 6.2.2).

NOTE 3 A coverage interval can be derived from an **expanded uncertainty** (see the GUM, entry 2.3.5).

NOTE 4 In this definition “the value of a quantity” is sometimes interpreted as referring to the **true value of the quantity**, where the adjective “true” is considered to be redundant as in the GUM.

NOTE 5 The definition has been taken from JCGM 101, *Evaluation of measurement data – Supplement 1 to the “Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement” – Propagation of distributions using a Monte Carlo method*, entry 3.12.

### 3.13 [VIM3: 2.37]

#### coverage probability

probability that the value of a quantity is contained within a specified coverage interval

NOTE 1 Coverage probability is also termed “level of confidence” in the GUM.

NOTE 2 In this definition “the value of a quantity” is sometimes interpreted as referring to the **true value of the quantity**, where the adjective “true” is considered to be redundant as in the GUM.

NOTE 3 The definition has been taken from JCGM 101, *Evaluation of measurement data – Supplement 1 to the “Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement” – Propagation of distributions using a Monte Carlo method*, entry 3.13.

### 3.14 [VIM3: 2.38]

#### coverage factor

number **greater** than one by which a **combined standard uncertainty** is multiplied to obtain an **expanded uncertainty**

NOTE 1 Coverage factors are meaningful for computing expanded uncertainties only for symmetric distributions.

**quantity value** (see ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008/Suppl.1).

NOTE 2 A coverage interval should not be termed “confidence interval” to avoid confusion with the statistical concept (see ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 6.2.2).

NOTE 3 A coverage interval can be derived from an **expanded measurement uncertainty** (see ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 2.3.5).

### 2.37

#### coverage probability

probability that the set of **true quantity values** of a **measurand** is contained within a specified **coverage interval**

NOTE 1 This definition pertains to the Uncertainty Approach as presented in the GUM.

NOTE 2 The coverage probability is also termed “level of confidence” in the GUM.

### 2.38

#### coverage factor

number larger than one by which a **combined standard measurement uncertainty** is multiplied to obtain an **expanded measurement uncertainty**

NOTE 2 A coverage factor is usually symbolized  $k$  (see also the GUM, entry 2.3.6).

### 3.15 [VIM3: 2.13; VIM2: 3.5; VIM1: 3.05]

#### measurement accuracy

accuracy of measurement

accuracy

closeness of agreement between a **measured value** and a **reference value** of a **measurand**

NOTE 1 The term “closeness of agreement” is maintained in this Vocabulary in keeping with its traditional usage. However, depending on the context, accuracy is sometimes considered to be a **quantity** that can be evaluated.

NOTE 2 Accuracy is customarily thought of as pertaining to either

1) a **measurement procedure**. In this case accuracy is generally known and reported quantitatively, sometimes in terms of **bias** and standard deviation. Algorithms for evaluation are given in the ISO 5725 series of International Standards, Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results);

2) a **measuring instrument** or a **measuring system**. In this case accuracy is generally known and reported quantitatively, sometimes in terms of an **accuracy class**;

3) a single measured value or a set of measured values. In either of these cases accuracy cannot be known because the reference value in this case is a **true value** of the **measurand**. While accuracy and **measurement uncertainty** are not the same, sometimes accuracy is reported in terms of measurement uncertainty and sometimes measurement uncertainty is reported in terms of accuracy.

NOTE 3 Accuracy can be interpreted as the combination of **measurement trueness** and **measurement precision**. However, the term “measurement accuracy” should not be used for measurement trueness and the term “measurement precision” should not be

NOTE A coverage factor is usually symbolized  $k$  (see also ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 2.3.6).

### 2.13 (3.5)

#### measurement accuracy

accuracy of measurement

accuracy

closeness of agreement between a **measured quantity value** and a **true quantity value** of a **measurand**

NOTE 1 The concept ‘measurement accuracy’ is not a **quantity** and is not given a **numerical quantity value**. A **measurement** is said to be more accurate when it offers a smaller **measurement error**.

NOTE 2 The term “measurement accuracy” should not be used for **measurement trueness** and the term “measurement precision” should not be used for ‘measurement accuracy’, which, however, is related to both these concepts.

NOTE 3 ‘Measurement accuracy’ is sometimes understood as closeness of agreement between measured quantity values that are being attributed to the measurand.

used for measurement accuracy.

NOTE 4 A **measurement** is said to be more accurate when it offers a smaller **measurement error**.

### 3.16 [VIM3: 2.14] measurement trueness

trueness of measurement

trueness

closeness of agreement between the average of measured values obtained by replicate measurements and a reference value

NOTE 1 The term “closeness of agreement” is maintained in this Vocabulary in keeping with its traditional usage. However, depending on the context, trueness is sometimes considered to be a **quantity** that can be evaluated.

NOTE 2 Measurement trueness is customarily thought of as pertaining to either 1) a **measurement procedure**, 2) a **measuring instrument** or a **measuring system**, or 3) a single measured value or a set of measured values.

NOTE 3 In practice, the number of averaged measured values must be large enough to make random variability of the result negligible. Measurement trueness may be reported in terms of parameters listed in the ISO 5725 series of International Standards, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results*.

NOTE 4 A measurement is said to have better trueness when it offers a smaller **systematic error**, which is estimated by means of **bias**, while trueness is not related to **random error**.

NOTE 5 The term “measurement accuracy” should not be used for **measurement trueness**.

### 3.17 [VIM3: 2.15] measurement precision

precision

closeness of agreement among indications or measured values obtained by replicate measurements on the same or similar objects under specified conditions

NOTE 1 The term “closeness of agreement” is maintained in this Vocabulary in keeping

### 2.14 measurement trueness

trueness of measurement

trueness

closeness of agreement between the average of an infinite number of replicate measured quantity values and a reference quantity value

NOTE 1 Measurement trueness is not a **quantity** and thus cannot be expressed numerically, but measures for closeness of agreement are given in ISO 5725.

NOTE 2 Measurement trueness is inversely related to **systematic measurement error**, but is not related to **random measurement error**.

NOTE 3 “Measurement accuracy” should not be used for ‘measurement trueness’.

### 2.15 measurement precision

precision

closeness of agreement between indications or measured quantity values obtained by replicate measurements on the same or similar objects under specified conditions

with its traditional usage. However, depending on the context, precision is sometimes considered to be a **quantity** that can be evaluated.

NOTE 2 Measurement precision is customarily thought of as pertaining to either 1) a **measurement procedure**, 2) a **measuring instrument** or a **measuring system**, or 3) a set of measured values.

NOTE 3 Measurement precision may be reported in terms of standard deviation, variance, or coefficient of variation under the specified conditions of measurement.

NOTE 4 The **specified conditions mentioned in the definition** can be, for example, **repeatability conditions of measurement**, **intermediate precision conditions of measurement**, or **reproducibility conditions of measurement** (see ISO 5725-1, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 1: General principles and definitions*).

NOTE 5 A measurement is said to have better precision when it offers a smaller **random error**, while it is not related to **systematic error**.

NOTE 6 Sometimes the term “measurement precision” is erroneously used to mean **measurement accuracy**.

NOTE 7 Precision may be evaluated by replicate measurements on similar items, provided variability among items is accounted for, or is negligible.

### 3.18 [VIM3: 2.16; VIM2: 3.10; VIM1: 3.10]

#### measurement error

error of measurement

error

**measured value** minus a **reference value**

NOTE 1 Measurement error is customarily thought of as pertaining to either 1) a measurement procedure, 2) a measuring instrument/system, or 3) a single measured value or a set of measured values.

NOTE 2 The term “measurement error” can be used either a) when there is a single reference value to refer to, which occurs if a **calibration** is made by means of a **measurement standard** with a **measured value** having a negligible **measurement uncertainty** or if a **conventional value** is given, in which case the measurement error is known, or b) if a **measurand** is supposed to be represented by a set of **true values** of

NOTE 1 Measurement precision is usually expressed numerically by measures of imprecision, such as standard deviation, variance, or coefficient of variation under the specified conditions of measurement.

NOTE 2 The ‘specified conditions’ can be, for example, **repeatability conditions of measurement**, **intermediate precision conditions of measurement**, or **reproducibility conditions of measurement** (see ISO 5725-1:1994).

NOTE 3 Measurement precision is used to define **measurement repeatability**, **intermediate measurement precision**, and **measurement reproducibility**.

NOTE 4 Sometimes “measurement precision” is erroneously used to mean **measurement accuracy**.

### 2.16 (3.10)

#### measurement error

error of measurement

error

**measured quantity value** minus a **reference quantity value**

NOTE 1 The concept of ‘measurement error’ can be used both a) when there is a single reference quantity value to refer to, which occurs if a **calibration** is made by means of a **measurement standard** with a **measured quantity value** having a negligible **measurement uncertainty** or if a **conventional quantity value** is given, in which case the measurement error is known, and b) if a **measurand** is supposed to be represented

negligible range (an essentially unique true value), in which case the measurement error is not known.

NOTE 3 Measurement error is traditionally interpreted as having systematic components and random components, though the distinction between **systematic error** and **random error** may depend on the context. Sometimes systematic error and random error are combined additively.

NOTE 4 A known measurement error should be reported together with an associated uncertainty.

NOTE 5 Measurement error should not be confused with production error or mistake.

### 3.19 [VIM3: 2.17; VIM2: 3.14; VIM1: 3.13]

#### systematic measurement error

systematic error of measurement

systematic error

component of measurement error that in replicate measurements remains constant or varies in a predictable manner

NOTE 1 A **reference value** for a **systematic error** is a **true value**, or a **measured value** of a **measurement standard** of negligible **measurement uncertainty**, or a **conventional value**.

NOTE 2 **Systematic error**, and its causes, can be known or unknown. A **correction** can be applied to compensate for a known **systematic error**.

NOTE 3 **Systematic error usually** equals measurement error minus **random error**.

### 3.20 [VIM3: 2.18]

#### measurement bias

bias

estimate of a **systematic error**

NOTE 1 This definition applies to **measurements** where the systematic error is not known (i.e., where the **reference value** is a **true value**) and therefore it needs to be estimated. In these cases, the estimated value should be accompanied with an **uncertainty**.

NOTE 2 Sometimes measurement bias is incorporated in a **measurement model** as a

by a unique **true quantity value** or a set of true quantity values of negligible range, in which case the measurement error is not known.

NOTE 2 Measurement error should not be confused with production error or mistake.

### 2.17 (3.14)

#### systematic measurement error

systematic error of measurement

systematic error

component of measurement error that in replicate measurements remains constant or varies in a predictable manner

NOTE 1 A **reference quantity value** for a systematic measurement error is a **true quantity value**, or a **measured quantity value** of a **measurement standard** of negligible **measurement uncertainty**, or a **conventional quantity value**.

NOTE 2 Systematic measurement error, and its causes, can be known or unknown. A **correction** can be applied to compensate for a known systematic measurement error.

NOTE 3 Systematic measurement error equals measurement error minus **random measurement error**.

### 2.18

#### measurement bias

bias

estimate of a **systematic measurement error**

**correction.**

### 3.21 [VIM3: 2.19; VIM2: 3.13; VIM1: 3.12]

#### random measurement error

random error of measurement

random error

component of measurement error that in replicate measurements varies in an unpredictable manner

NOTE 1 A **reference value** for a **random error** is the average that would ensue from replicate measurements of the same **measurand**. In practice, the number of averaged values must be large enough to make random variability of the average negligible.

NOTE 2 **Random errors** of a set of replicate measurements form a distribution that can be summarized by its **average**, which is generally assumed to be zero, and its **standard deviation**.

NOTE 3 **Random error usually** equals measurement error minus **systematic error**.

### 3.22 [VIM3: 2.20; VIM2: 3.6 Notes 1 and 2]

#### repeatability condition of measurement

repeatability condition

condition of measurement that is held fixed while performing two or more measurements over a short period of time

NOTE 1 A set of repeatability conditions typically includes **measurement procedure**, **measuring system**, measuring system operator, operating conditions, and measurement location.

NOTE 2 In chemistry, the term “intra-serial precision condition of measurement” is sometimes used for referring to repeatability conditions.

NOTE 3 The short period of time mentioned in the definition is intended to be as short as necessary in order to avoid the occurrence of changes in the set of conditions (see ISO 5725-1, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results –*

### 2.19 (3.13)

#### random measurement error

random error of measurement

random error

component of measurement error that in replicate measurements varies in an unpredictable manner

NOTE 1 A **reference quantity value** for a random measurement error is the average that would ensue from an infinite number of replicate measurements of the same **measurand**.

NOTE 2 Random measurement errors of a set of replicate measurements form a distribution that can be summarized by its expectation, which is generally assumed to be zero, and its variance.

NOTE 3 Random measurement error equals measurement error minus **systematic measurement error**.

### 2.20 (3.6, Notes 1 and 2)

#### repeatability condition of measurement

repeatability condition

condition of measurement, out of a set of conditions that includes the same measurement procedure, same operators, same measuring system, same operating conditions and same location, and replicate measurements on the same or similar objects over a short period of time

NOTE 1 A condition of measurement is a repeatability condition only with respect to a specified set of repeatability conditions.

NOTE 2 In chemistry, the term “intra-serial precision condition of measurement” is sometimes used to designate this concept.

*Part 1: General principles and definitions, entry 4.4).*

NOTE 4 Repeatability may be evaluated by replicate measurements on similar objects, provided variability among the objects is accounted for, or is negligible.

### 3.23 [VIM3: 2.21; VIM2: 3.6; VIM1: 3.06]

#### measurement repeatability

repeatability

measurement precision under a set of repeatability conditions

### 3.24 [VIM3: 2.22]

#### intermediate precision condition of measurement

intermediate precision condition

condition of measurement, out of a set of conditions that includes the same measurement procedure, same location, and replicate measurements on the same or similar objects over an extended period of time, but may include other conditions involving changes

NOTE 1 The changes mentioned in the definition may include new **calibrations**, **calibrators**, operators, and **measuring systems**.

NOTE 2 The specification of an intermediate precision condition should include the conditions changed and unchanged, to the extent practical.

NOTE 3 In chemistry, the term “inter-serial precision condition of measurement” is sometimes used for referring to intermediate precision conditions.

NOTE 4 The extended period of time mentioned in the definition is intended to be long enough to allow for conditions involving changes to actually occur.

NOTE 5 Intermediate precision may be evaluated by replicate measurements on similar objects, provided variability among the objects is accounted for, or is negligible.

### 3.25 [VIM3: 2.23]

#### intermediate measurement precision

intermediate precision

measurement precision under a set of intermediate precision conditions

### 2.21 (3.6)

#### measurement repeatability

repeatability

measurement precision under a set of repeatability conditions of measurement

### 2.22

#### intermediate precision condition of measurement

intermediate precision condition

condition of measurement, out of a set of conditions that includes the same measurement procedure, same location, and replicate measurements on the same or similar objects over an extended period of time, but may include other conditions involving changes

NOTE 1 The changes can include new **calibrations**, **calibrators**, operators, and **measuring systems**.

NOTE 2 A specification for the conditions should contain the conditions changed and unchanged, to the extent practical.

NOTE 3 In chemistry, the term “inter-serial precision condition of measurement” is sometimes used to designate this concept.

### 2.23

#### intermediate measurement precision

intermediate precision

measurement precision under a set of intermediate precision conditions of measurement



NOTE Relevant statistical terms are given in ISO 5725-3, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 3: Intermediate measures of the precision of a standard measurement method*.

### 3.26 [VIM3: 2.24; VIM2: 3.7 Note 2]

#### reproducibility condition of measurement

reproducibility condition

condition of measurement, out of a set of conditions that includes different locations, operators, measuring systems, and replicate measurements on the same or similar objects

NOTE 1 The specification of a reproducibility condition should include the conditions changed and unchanged, to the extent practical.

NOTE 2 In some cases the different measuring systems mentioned in the definition may use different **measurement procedures**.

### 3.27 [VIM3: 2.25; VIM2: 3.7; VIM1: 3.07]

#### measurement reproducibility

reproducibility

measurement precision under reproducibility conditions

NOTE Relevant statistical terms are given in ISO 5725-1, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 1: General principles and definitions* and ISO 5725-2, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method*.

### 3.28 [VIM3: 2.26; VIM2: 5.21; VIM1: 5.23]

#### maximum permissible measurement error

maximum permissible error

limit of error

**MPE**

**extreme measurement error**, with respect to a known **reference value**, permitted by specifications or regulations for a given measurement,

NOTE Relevant statistical terms are given in ISO 5725-3:1994.

### 2.24 (3.7, Note 2)

#### reproducibility condition of measurement

reproducibility condition

condition of measurement, out of a set of conditions that includes different locations, operators, measuring systems, and replicate measurements on the same or similar objects

NOTE 1 The different measuring systems may use different **measurement procedures**.

NOTE 2 A specification should give the conditions changed and unchanged, to the extent practical.

### 2.25 (3.7)

#### measurement reproducibility

reproducibility

measurement precision under reproducibility conditions of measurement

NOTE Relevant statistical terms are given in ISO 5725-1:1994 and ISO 5725-2:1994.

### 4.26 (5.21)

#### maximum permissible measurement error

maximum permissible error

limit of error

extreme value of measurement error, with respect to a known **reference quantity value**, permitted by specifications or regulations for a given measurement, measuring instrument, or measuring system

measuring instrument, or measuring system

NOTE 1 Usually, the **terms** “maximum permissible errors” or “limits of error” **are** used where there are two **different** extreme values.

NOTE 2 The term “tolerance” should not be used **for referring to maximum permissible errors**.

**3.29** [VIM3: 4.27; VIM2: 5.22; VIM1: 5.25]**datum measurement error**

datum error

measurement error of a measuring instrument or measuring system at a specified **measured value**

**3.30** [VIM3: 4.28; VIM2: 5.23; VIM1: 5.26]**zero error**

**error at zero**

**datum error** where the specified **measured value** is zero

NOTE Zero error should not be confused with absence of **measurement error**.

**3.31** [VIM3: 2.29]**null measurement uncertainty**

measurement uncertainty where **the measured value** is zero

NOTE 1 Null measurement uncertainty is associated with a null or near zero **indication** and covers an interval where one does not know whether the **measurand** is too small to be detected or the indication of the **measuring instrument** is due only to noise.

NOTE 2 **Null measurement uncertainty** also applies when a difference is obtained between **measurement** of a sample and a blank.

NOTE 1 Usually, the term “maximum permissible errors” or “limits of error” is used where there are two extreme values.

NOTE 2 The term “tolerance” should not be used to designate ‘maximum permissible error’.

**4.27** (5.22)**datum measurement error**

datum error

**measurement error** of a **measuring instrument** or **measuring system** at a specified **measured quantity value**

**4.28** (5.23)**zero error**

**datum measurement error** where the specified **measured quantity value** is zero

NOTE Zero error should not be confused with absence of **measurement error**.

**4.29****null measurement uncertainty**

**measurement uncertainty** where the specified **measured quantity value** is zero

NOTE 1 Null measurement uncertainty is associated with a null or near zero **indication** and covers an interval where one does not know whether the **measurand** is too small to be detected or the indication of the **measuring instrument** is due only to noise.

NOTE 2 The concept of ‘null measurement uncertainty’ also applies when a difference is obtained between **measurement** of a sample and a blank.

## 4 Measuring devices and their properties

### 4.1 [VIM3: 3.1; VIM2: 4.1; VIM1: 4.01]

#### measuring instrument

device used for making measurements, alone or in conjunction with one or more supplementary devices

NOTE 1 A measuring instrument that can be used alone for making measurements is a **measuring system**.

NOTE 2 A measuring instrument is either an **indicating measuring instrument** or a **material measure**.

### 4.2 [VIM3: 3.2; VIM2: 4.5; VIM1: 4.05]

#### measuring system

set of one or more measuring instruments and often other components, assembled and adapted to give information used to generate measured values within specified intervals for quantities of specified kinds

NOTE 1 The components mentioned in the definition may be devices, reagents, and supplies.

NOTE 2 A measuring system is sometimes referred to as “measuring equipment” or “device”, for example in ISO 10012, *Measurement management systems – Requirements for measurement processes and measuring equipment* and ISO 17025, *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.*

NOTE 3 Although the terms “measuring system” and “measurement system” are frequently used synonymously, the latter is instead sometimes used to refer to a measuring system plus all other entities involved in a measurement, including the object under measurement and the person(s) performing the measurement.

NOTE 4 A measuring system can be used as a **measurement standard**.

## 3 Devices for measurement

### 3.1 (4.1)

#### measuring instrument

device used for making measurements, alone or in conjunction with one or more supplementary devices

NOTE 1 A measuring instrument that can be used alone is a **measuring system**.

NOTE 2 A measuring instrument may be an **indicating measuring instrument** or a **material measure**.

### 3.2 (4.5)

#### measuring system

set of one or more measuring instruments and often other devices, including any reagent and supply, assembled and adapted to give information used to generate measured quantity values within specified intervals for quantities of specified kinds

NOTE A measuring system may consist of only one measuring instrument.

**4.3 [VIM3: 3.3; VIM2: 4.6; VIM1: 4.06]****indicating measuring instrument**

measuring instrument providing an output signal carrying information about the value of the quantity being measured

EXAMPLES Voltmeter, micrometer, thermometer, electronic balance.

NOTE 1 The quantity being measured that is mentioned in the definition might not be the same as the measurand, for example when the measuring instrument does not properly interact with the object under measurement.

NOTE 2 An indicating measuring instrument may provide a record of its indication.

NOTE 3 An output signal may be presented in visual or acoustic form. It may also be transmitted to one or more other devices.

**4.4 [VIM3: 3.4; VIM2: 4.6; VIM1: 4.06]****displaying measuring instrument**

indicating measuring instrument where the output signal is presented in visual form

**4.5 [VIM3: 3.5; VIM2: 4.17; VIM1: 4.19]****scale of a displaying measuring instrument**

component of a displaying measuring instrument, consisting of an ordered set of marks together with any associated values

**4.6 [VIM3: 3.6; VIM2: 4.2; VIM1: 4.02]****material measure**

measuring instrument reproducing or supplying, in a permanent manner during its use, quantities of one or more given kinds, each with an assigned value

EXAMPLES Standard weight, volume measure (supplying one or several values, with or without a measurement scale), standard electric resistor, line scale (ruler), gauge block, standard signal generator, certified reference material.

**3.3 (4.6)****indicating measuring instrument**

measuring instrument providing an output signal carrying information about the value of the quantity being measured

EXAMPLES Voltmeter, micrometer, thermometer, electronic balance.

NOTE 1 An indicating measuring instrument may provide a record of its indication.

NOTE 2 An output signal may be presented in visual or acoustic form. It may also be transmitted to one or more other devices.

**3.4 (4.6)****displaying measuring instrument**

indicating measuring instrument where the output signal is presented in visual form

**3.5 (4.17)****scale of a displaying measuring instrument**

part of a displaying measuring instrument, consisting of an ordered set of marks together with any associated quantity values

**3.6 (4.2)****material measure**

measuring instrument reproducing or supplying, in a permanent manner during its use, quantities of one or more given kinds, each with an assigned quantity value

EXAMPLES Standard weight, volume measure (supplying one or several quantity values, with or without a quantity-value scale), standard electric resistor, line scale (ruler), gauge block, standard signal generator, certified reference material.

NOTE 1 The **indication** of a material measure is its assigned **value**.

NOTE 2 A material measure can be **used as** a **measurement standard**.

#### 4.7 [VIM3: 3.7; VIM2: 4.3; VIM1: 4.03]

##### measuring transducer

**component of a measuring system** that provides an output **quantity** having a specified relation to the input quantity

EXAMPLES Thermocouple, electric current transformer, strain gauge, pH electrode, Bourdon tube, bimetallic strip.

#### 4.8 [VIM3: 3.8; VIM2: 4.14; VIM1: 4.15]

##### sensor

**component** of a **measuring system** that is directly affected by a phenomenon, body, or substance carrying a **quantity being** measured

EXAMPLES Sensing coil of a platinum resistance thermometer, rotor of a turbine flow meter, Bourdon tube of a pressure gauge, float of a level-measuring instrument, photocell of a spectrometer, thermotropic liquid crystal which changes colour as a function of temperature.

NOTE In some fields, the term “detector” is used for **referring to sensors**.

#### 4.9 [VIM3: 3.9; VIM2: 4.15; VIM1: 4.16]

##### detector

device or substance that indicates the presence of a phenomenon, body, or substance when a threshold **value** of an associated **quantity** is exceeded

EXAMPLES Halogen leak detector, litmus paper.

NOTE 1 In some fields, the term “detector” is used for **referring to sensors**.

NOTE 2 In chemistry, the term “indicator” is frequently used for **referring to detectors**.

NOTE 1 The **indication** of a material measure is its assigned quantity value.

NOTE 2 A material measure can be a **measurement standard**.

#### 3.7 (4.3)

##### measuring transducer

device, used in **measurement**, that provides an output **quantity** having a specified relation to the input quantity

EXAMPLES Thermocouple, electric current transformer, strain gauge, pH electrode, Bourdon tube, bimetallic strip.

#### 3.8 (4.14)

##### sensor

element of a **measuring system** that is directly affected by a phenomenon, body, or substance carrying a **quantity** to be measured

EXAMPLES Sensing coil of a platinum resistance thermometer, rotor of a turbine flow meter, Bourdon tube of a pressure gauge, float of a level-measuring instrument, photocell of a spectrometer, thermotropic liquid crystal which changes colour as a function of temperature.

NOTE In some fields, the term “detector” is used for this concept.

#### 3.9 (4.15)

##### detector

device or substance that indicates the presence of a phenomenon, body, or substance when a threshold **value** of an associated **quantity** is exceeded

EXAMPLES Halogen leak detector, litmus paper.

NOTE 1 In some fields, the term “detector” is used for the concept of **sensor**.

NOTE 2 In chemistry, the term “indicator” is frequently used for this concept.

**4.10 [VIM3: 3.10; VIM2: 4.4; VIM1: 4.04]****measuring chain**

series of elements of a measuring system constituting a single path of the signal from a sensor to an output element

EXAMPLE 1 Electro-acoustic measuring chain comprising a microphone, attenuator, filter, amplifier, and voltmeter.

EXAMPLE 2 Mechanical measuring chain comprising a Bourdon tube, system of levers, two gears, and a mechanical dial.

**4.11 [VIM3: 3.11; VIM2: 4.30; VIM1: 4.33]****adjustment****adjustment of a measuring system**

set of operations carried out on a measuring system so that it provides prescribed indications corresponding to given values of a quantity being measured

NOTE 1 If there is any doubt that the context in which the term is being used is that of metrology, the long form "adjustment of a measuring system" might be used.

NOTE 2 Types of adjustment of a measuring system include **zero adjustment**, offset adjustment, and span adjustment (sometimes called "gain adjustment").

NOTE 3 Adjustment of a measuring system should not be confused with **calibration**, which is **sometimes** a prerequisite for adjustment.

NOTE 4 After an adjustment of a measuring system, the measuring system must usually be recalibrated.

**4.12 [VIM3: 3.12]****zero adjustment****zero adjustment of a measuring system**

adjustment of a measuring system so that it provides a null indication corresponding to a zero value of a quantity being measured

NOTE 1 If there is any doubt that the context in which the term is being used is that of

**3.10 (4.4)****measuring chain**

series of elements of a measuring system constituting a single path of the signal from a sensor to an output element

EXAMPLE 1 Electro-acoustic measuring chain comprising a microphone, attenuator, filter, amplifier, and voltmeter.

EXAMPLE 2 Mechanical measuring chain comprising a Bourdon tube, system of levers, two gears, and a mechanical dial.

**3.11 (4.30)****adjustment of a measuring system****adjustment**

set of operations carried out on a measuring system so that it provides prescribed indications corresponding to given values of a quantity to be measured

NOTE 1 Types of adjustment of a measuring system include **zero adjustment of a measuring system**, offset adjustment, and span adjustment (sometimes called gain adjustment).

NOTE 2 Adjustment of a measuring system should not be confused with **calibration**, which is a prerequisite for adjustment.

NOTE 3 After an adjustment of a measuring system, the measuring system must usually be recalibrated.

**3.12****zero adjustment of a measuring system****zero adjustment**

adjustment of a measuring system so that it provides a null indication corresponding to a zero value of a quantity to be measured

metrology, the long form “zero adjustment of a measuring system” might be used.

#### 4.13 [VIM3: 4.1; VIM2: 3.2; VIM1: 3.02]

##### indication

instrumental indication

reading

value of a quantity provided by an indicating measuring instrument

NOTE 1 Step 2 of the **calibration** of a **measuring instrument** or a **measuring system** involves establishing the relation between indications and **measured values**. Indications are thus independent of whether the instrument has been calibrated.

NOTE 2 An indication and a corresponding **value** of the **quantity** being measured are not necessarily values of quantities of the same **kind**. For example, an indication might be the value of the angle of deflection of a pointer on the measuring instrument, whereas the quantity being measured might be a pressure. The two entities are not to be confused, even though a symbol of a value of the quantity being measured might be written on the instrument display.

NOTE 3 Indications are sometimes understood as quantities, rather than as values.

#### 4.14 [VIM3: 4.2]

##### blank indication

background indication

indication obtained from a phenomenon, body, or substance similar to the one under investigation, but for which a quantity of interest is supposed not to be present, or is not contributing to the indication

#### 4.1 (3.2)

##### indication

quantity value provided by a measuring instrument or a measuring system

NOTE 1 An indication may be presented in visual or acoustic form or may be transferred to another device. An indication is often given by the position of a pointer on the display for analogue outputs, a displayed or printed number for digital outputs, a code pattern for code outputs, or an assigned quantity value for **material measures**.

NOTE 2 An indication and a corresponding value of the **quantity** being measured are not necessarily values of quantities of the same **kind**.

#### 4.2

##### blank indication

background indication

indication obtained from a phenomenon, body, or substance similar to the one under investigation, but for which a quantity of interest is supposed not to be present, or is not contributing to the indication

**4.15 [VIM3: 4.3; VIM2: 4.19; VIM1: 4.21]****indication interval**

interval of values bounded by extreme possible indications

NOTE 1 An indication interval is usually stated in terms of its smallest and greatest values, for example “99 V to 201 V”.

NOTE 2 In some fields, the term referring to an indication interval is “range of indications”, not to be confused with a range of a nominal indication interval.

**4.16 [VIM3: 4.4; VIM2: 5.1; VIM1: 5.01]****nominal indication interval**

nominal interval

indication interval, bounded by rounded or approximate extreme indications, obtainable with a particular setting of the controls of a measuring instrument or measuring system and used to designate that setting

NOTE 1 A nominal indication interval is usually stated as its smallest and greatest values, for example “100 V to 200 V”.

NOTE 2 In some fields, the term “nominal range” is used for referring to nominal intervals.

[deleted]

**range of a nominal indication interval****4.3 (4.19)****indication interval**

set of quantity values bounded by extreme possible indications

NOTE 1 An indication interval is usually stated in terms of its smallest and greatest quantity values, for example “99 V to 201 V”.

NOTE 2 In some fields, the term is “range of indications”.

**4.4 (5.1)****nominal indication interval**

nominal interval

set of quantity values, bounded by rounded or approximate extreme indications, obtainable with a particular setting of the controls of a measuring instrument or measuring system and used to designate that setting

NOTE 1 A nominal indication interval is usually stated as its smallest and greatest quantity values, for example “100 V to 200 V”.

NOTE 2 In some fields, the term is “nominal range”.

**4.5 (5.2)****range of a nominal indication interval**

absolute value of the difference between the extreme quantity values of a nominal indication interval

EXAMPLE For a nominal indication interval of  $-10\text{ V}$  to  $+10\text{ V}$ , the range of the nominal indication interval is  $20\text{ V}$ .

NOTE Range of a nominal indication interval is sometimes termed “span of a nominal interval”.



**4.17 [VIM3: 4.6; VIM2: 5.3; VIM1: 5.03]****nominal value**

nominal value of a quantity

nominal value of a quantity of a measuring system

rounded or approximate **value** of a characterizing **quantity** of a **measuring instrument** or **measuring system** that provides guidance for its appropriate use

EXAMPLE 1 100  $\Omega$  as the nominal value of electric resistance as a characterizing quantity of a standard resistor.

EXAMPLE 2 1 000 ml as the nominal value of volume as a characterizing quantity of a single-mark volumetric flask.

EXAMPLE 3 0.1 mol/l as the nominal value of amount-of-substance concentration as a characterizing quantity of a solution of hydrogen chloride, HCl, as used in a measuring instrument.

NOTE 1 If there is any doubt that the context in which the term is being used is that of metrology, the long form “nominal value of a quantity of a measuring system” might be used.

NOTE 2 The terms “nominal value” and “nominal value of a quantity” should not be used for referring to nominal property values.

**4.18 [VIM3: 4.7; VIM2: 5.4; VIM1: 5.04]****measuring interval**

working interval

**interval** of **values** of **quantities** of the same kind that can be measured by a given **measuring instrument** or **measuring system** with specified **instrumental uncertainty**, under defined conditions

NOTE 1 In some fields, the terms “measuring range” or “measurement range” are used for referring to measuring intervals.

NOTE 2 The lower limit of a measuring interval should not be confused with **detection limit**.

**4.6 (5.3)****nominal quantity value**

nominal value

rounded or approximate **value** of a characterizing **quantity** of a **measuring instrument** or **measuring system** that provides guidance for its appropriate use

EXAMPLE 1 100  $\Omega$  as the nominal quantity value marked on a standard resistor.

EXAMPLE 2 1 000 ml as the nominal quantity value marked on a single-mark volumetric flask.

EXAMPLE 3 0.1 mol/l as the nominal quantity value for amount-of-substance concentration of a solution of hydrogen chloride, HCl.

EXAMPLE 4  $-20$  °C as a maximum Celsius temperature for storage.

NOTE “Nominal quantity value” and “nominal value” should not be used for ‘nominal property value’ (see 1.30, Note 2).

**4.7 (5.4)****measuring interval**

working interval

set of **values** of **quantities** of the same **kind** that can be measured by a given **measuring instrument** or **measuring system** with specified **instrumental measurement uncertainty**, under defined conditions

NOTE 1 In some fields, the term is “measuring range” or “measurement range”.

NOTE 2 The lower limit of a measuring interval should not be confused with **detection limit**.

**4.19 [new]****operating condition**

state of a measuring instrument or measuring system when it is in operation

NOTE The state of a measuring instrument or measuring system can include whether and how it is powered / energized, the state of internal components / circuitry / interconnections when energized (for example, what is constant and what varies with time), and what are the **values** of the environmental **quantities** that are known to influence the **indication** in a significant, predictable but otherwise undesirable way.

EXAMPLE A set of operating conditions for a battery-powered voltmeter could include the required type and voltage of the battery, the temperature range over which the electronic circuitry is designed to operate properly when the voltmeter is in operation, what part of the circuitry is designed to remain constant (for example, electric current) and what part of the circuitry varies with time during normal operation, the values of environmental quantities known to significantly influence the indication of the voltmeter in a predictable but undesirable way (for example, ambient temperature and humidity, ambient electromagnetic fields) and the actual **measurement** range employed.

**4.20 [VIM3: 4.9; VIM2: 5.5; VIM1: 5.05]****rated operating condition**

operating condition that must be **achieved** in order that a measuring instrument or measuring system perform as designed

NOTE Rated operating conditions generally specify intervals of **values** for a **quantity** being measured and for any **influence quantity**.

**4.21 [VIM3: 4.10; VIM2: 5.6; VIM1: 5.06]****limiting operating condition**

extreme operating condition that a measuring instrument or measuring system is required to withstand without damage, and without degradation of specified metrological properties, when it is subsequently operated under its rated operating conditions

**4.9 (5.5)****rated operating condition**

operating condition that must be fulfilled during **measurement** in order that a **measuring instrument** or **measuring system** perform as designed

NOTE Rated operating conditions generally specify intervals of **values** for a **quantity** being measured and for any **influence quantity**.

**4.10 (5.6)****limiting operating condition**

extreme operating condition that a **measuring instrument** or **measuring system** is required to withstand without damage, and without degradation of specified metrological properties, when it is subsequently operated under its **rated operating conditions**

NOTE 1 Limiting conditions for storage, transport or operation can differ.

NOTE 2 Limiting conditions can include limiting **values** of a **quantity** being measured and of any **influence quantity**.

#### 4.22 [VIM3: 4.11; VIM2: 5.7; VIM1: 5.07]

##### reference operating condition

reference condition

operating condition prescribed for evaluating the performance of a measuring instrument or measuring system or for comparison of measurement results

NOTE 1 Reference operating conditions specify intervals of **values** of the **measurand** and of the **influence quantities**.

NOTE 2 In IEC 60050-300, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary – Electrical and electronic measurements and measuring instruments*, definition 311-06-02, the term “reference condition” refers to an operating condition under which the specified **instrumental uncertainty** is the smallest possible.

#### 4.23 [VIM3: 4.12; VIM2: 5.10; VIM1: 5.10]

##### sensitivity

sensitivity of a measuring instrument

quotient of the change in an indication of a measuring instrument and the corresponding change in a given value of the quantity being measured

NOTE 1 If there is any doubt that the context in which the term is being used is that of **metrology**, the long form “sensitivity of a measuring instrument” might be used.

NOTE 2 Sensitivity of a measuring instrument can depend on (i) the quantity being measured, (ii) the **influence quantities**, and (iii) aging of the instrument. Sensitivity is evaluated under the condition that the influence quantities do not change.

NOTE 3 The change considered in the value of the quantity being measured must be large compared with the **resolution** of the measuring instrument.

NOTE 1 Limiting conditions for storage, transport or operation can differ.

NOTE 2 Limiting conditions can include limiting **values** of a **quantity** being measured and of any **influence quantity**.

#### 4.11 (5.7)

##### reference operating condition

reference condition

operating condition prescribed for evaluating the performance of a measuring instrument or measuring system or for comparison of measurement results

NOTE 1 Reference operating conditions specify intervals of **values** of the **measurand** and of the **influence quantities**.

NOTE 2 In IEC 60050-300, item 311-06-02, the term “reference condition” refers to an operating condition under which the specified **instrumental measurement uncertainty** is the smallest possible.

#### 4.12 (5.10)

##### sensitivity of a measuring system

sensitivity

quotient of the change in an indication of a measuring system and the corresponding change in a value of a quantity being measured

NOTE 1 Sensitivity of a measuring system can depend on the value of the quantity being measured.

NOTE 2 The change considered in a value of a quantity being measured must be large compared with the **resolution**.

**4.24 [VIM3: 4.13]****selectivity**

selectivity of a measuring instrument

property of a measuring instrument, whereby it provides indications that are independent of quantities other than the quantity being measured but that are of the same kind as the measurand

EXAMPLE 1 Capability of a quadrupole mass spectrometer to provide indications of the presence of background gases in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber by the ion current generated, without disturbance by other specified sources of electric current.

EXAMPLE 2 Capability of a measuring instrument to provide indications about the power of a signal component at a given frequency without being disturbed by signal components or other signals at other frequencies.

EXAMPLE 3 Capability of a receiver to discriminate between indications about a wanted signal and unwanted signals, often having frequencies slightly different from the frequency of the wanted signal.

EXAMPLE 4 Capability of a measuring instrument for ionizing radiation to provide indications about a given radiation to be measured in the presence of concomitant radiation.

EXAMPLE 5 Capability of a measuring instrument to provide indications about the amount-of-substance concentration of creatininium in blood plasma without being influenced by the glucose, urate, ketone, and protein concentrations.

EXAMPLE 6 Capability of a mass spectrometer to provide indications about the amount-of-substance abundance of the  $^{28}\text{Si}$  isotope and of the  $^{30}\text{Si}$  isotope in silicon from a geological deposit without influence between the two, or from the  $^{29}\text{Si}$  isotope.

NOTE 1 If there is any doubt that the context in which the term is being used is that of metrology, the long form “selectivity of a measuring instrument” might be used.

NOTE 2 In chemistry the property of a measuring instrument being selective to only one measurand in a sample is often termed “specificity”.

NOTE 3 In chemistry, selectivity of a measuring instrument is usually obtained for

**4.13****selectivity of a measuring system**

selectivity

property of a measuring system, used with a specified measurement procedure, whereby it provides measured quantity values for one or more measurands such that the values of each measurand are independent of other measurands or other quantities in the phenomenon, body, or substance being investigated

EXAMPLE 1 Capability of a measuring system including a mass spectrometer to measure the ion current ratio generated by two specified compounds without disturbance by other specified sources of electric current.

EXAMPLE 2 Capability of a measuring system to measure the power of a signal component at a given frequency without being disturbed by signal components or other signals at other frequencies.

EXAMPLE 3 Capability of a receiver to discriminate between a wanted signal and unwanted signals, often having frequencies slightly different from the frequency of the wanted signal.

EXAMPLE 4 Capability of a measuring system for ionizing radiation to respond to a given radiation to be measured in the presence of concomitant radiation.

EXAMPLE 5 Capability of a measuring system to measure the amount-of-substance concentration of creatininium in blood plasma by a Jaffé procedure without being influenced by the glucose, urate, ketone, and protein concentrations.

EXAMPLE 6 Capability of a mass spectrometer to measure the amount-of-substance abundance of the  $^{28}\text{Si}$  isotope and of the  $^{30}\text{Si}$  isotope in silicon from a geological deposit without influence between the two, or from the  $^{29}\text{Si}$  isotope.

NOTE 1 In physics, there is often only one measurand; the other quantities are of the same kind as the measurand, and they are input quantities to the measuring system.

NOTE 2 In chemistry, the measured quantities often involve different components in the system undergoing measurement and these quantities are not necessarily of the same kind.

NOTE 3 In chemistry, selectivity of a measuring system is usually obtained for quantities

quantities with selected components in concentrations within stated intervals.

#### 4.25 [VIM3: 4.14]

##### resolution

###### resolution of a measuring instrument

smallest change in the quantity being measured that causes a detectable change in the corresponding indication

NOTE 1 If there is any doubt that the context in which the term is being used is that of **metrology**, the long form “resolution of a measuring instrument” might be used.

NOTE 2 Resolution can depend on the **value** of the quantity being measured. It may also depend on noise (internal or external) or friction.

NOTE 3 The resolution of a **measuring instrument** is not the same thing as the resolution of a **displaying device**. The difference is that the resolution of a displaying device is determined from detectable changes in indications of the displaying device, whereas the resolution of a measuring instrument is determined from detectable changes in **measured values** when using the measuring instrument, which are in turn based on knowing the relation of the measured value to the indication as obtained through **calibration** of the measuring instrument.

NOTE 4: Resolution is not necessarily the same as **discrimination threshold**.

[deleted]

##### ~~resolution of a displaying device~~

#### 4.26 [VIM3: 4.16; VIM2: 5.11; VIM1: 5.12]

##### discrimination threshold

largest change in the quantity being measured that causes no detectable change in the corresponding indication

NOTE 1 Discrimination threshold may depend, for example, on noise (internal or external) or friction. It can also depend on the **value** of the quantity being measured

with selected components in concentrations within stated intervals.

NOTE 4 Selectivity as used in physics (see Note 1) is a concept close to specificity as it is sometimes used in chemistry.

#### 4.14

##### resolution

smallest change in a **quantity** being measured that causes a perceptible change in the corresponding **indication**

NOTE Resolution can depend on, for example, noise (internal or external) or friction. It may also depend on the **value** of a quantity being measured.

#### 4.15 (5.12)

##### resolution of a displaying device

smallest difference between displayed **indications** that can be meaningfully distinguished

#### 4.16 (5.11)

##### discrimination threshold

largest change in a **value** of a **quantity** being measured that causes no detectable change in the corresponding **indication**

NOTE Discrimination threshold may depend on, e.g. noise (internal or external) or friction. It can also depend on the value of the quantity being measured and how the

and how the change is applied.

NOTE 2: Discrimination threshold is not necessarily the same as **resolution**.

#### 4.27 [VIM3: 4.17; VIM2: 5.13; VIM1: 5.14]

##### dead band

maximum interval through which **the quantity** being measured can be changed in both directions without producing a detectable change in the corresponding **indication**

NOTE 1 Dead band can depend on the rate of change **of the quantity being measured**.

NOTE 2 A dead band may be exploited, or purposely introduced, to prevent the occurrence of changes in the indication unrelated to the **value** of the quantity being measured, such as those caused by noise.

#### 4.28 [VIM3: 4.18; VIM2: 4.15 Note 1]

##### detection limit

limit of detection

**value of the measurand**, obtained by a given **measurement procedure**, for which the probability of falsely claiming the absence of a component in a material is  $\beta$ , given a probability  $\alpha$  of falsely claiming its presence

NOTE 1 The abbreviation LOD is sometimes used **for referring to detection limit**.

NOTE 2 Detection limit is usually considered to be a feature of a particular **measurement procedure** in a given laboratory.

NOTE 3 The term “sensitivity” is discouraged for **referring to detection limits**.

NOTE 4 IUPAC recommends default values for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  equal to 0.05.

#### 4.29 [VIM3: 4.19; VIM2: 5.14; VIM1: 5.16]

##### stability

stability of a measuring instrument

property of a **measuring instrument**, whereby its metrological properties remain constant in time

change is applied.

#### 4.17 (5.13)

##### dead band

maximum interval through which a **value** of a **quantity** being measured can be changed in both directions without producing a detectable change in the corresponding **indication**

NOTE Dead band can depend on the rate of change.

#### 4.18 (4.15 Note 1)

##### detection limit

limit of detection

**measured quantity value**, obtained by a given **measurement procedure**, for which the probability of falsely claiming the absence of a component in a material is  $\beta$ , given a probability  $\alpha$  of falsely claiming its presence

NOTE 2 The abbreviation LOD is sometimes used.

NOTE 3 The term “sensitivity” is discouraged for ‘detection limit’.

NOTE 1 IUPAC recommends default values for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  equal to 0.05.

#### 4.19 (5.14)

##### stability of a measuring instrument

stability

property of a **measuring instrument**, whereby its metrological properties remain constant in time

NOTE 1 If there is any doubt that the term refers to a measuring instrument, the long form "stability of a measuring instrument" might be used.

NOTE 2 Stability may be quantified in several ways.

EXAMPLE 1 In terms of the duration of a time interval over which a metrological property changes by a stated amount.

EXAMPLE 2 In terms of the change of a property over a stated time interval.

#### 4.30 [VIM3: 4.20; VIM2: 5.25; VIM1: 5.28]

##### instrumental bias

average of replicate indications minus a reference value

#### 4.31 [VIM3: 4.21; VIM2: 5.16; VIM1: 5.18]

##### instrumental drift

gradual undesirable change over time in indication of a measuring instrument due to its limited stability for the same quantity being measured

NOTE Instrumental drift is related neither to a change in a quantity being measured nor to a change of any recognized influence quantity.

[deleted]

##### ~~variation due to an influence quantity~~

#### 4.32 [VIM3: 4.23; VIM2: 5.17; VIM1: 5.19]

##### step response time

duration between the instant when an input quantity to a measuring instrument or measuring system is subjected to an abrupt change between two specified constant values and the instant when a corresponding indication settles within specified limits around its final

NOTE Stability may be quantified in several ways.

EXAMPLE 1 In terms of the duration of a time interval over which a metrological property changes by a stated amount.

EXAMPLE 2 In terms of the change of a property over a stated time interval.

#### 4.20 (5.25)

##### instrumental bias

average of replicate indications minus a reference quantity value

#### 4.21 (5.16)

##### instrumental drift

continuous or incremental change over time in indication, due to changes in metrological properties of a measuring instrument

NOTE Instrumental drift is related neither to a change in a quantity being measured nor to a change of any recognized influence quantity.

#### 4.22

##### variation due to an influence quantity

difference in indication for a given measured quantity value, or in quantity values supplied by a material measure, when an influence quantity assumes successively two different quantity values

#### 4.23 (5.17)

##### step response time

duration between the instant when an input quantity value of a measuring instrument or measuring system is subjected to an abrupt change between two specified constant quantity values and the instant when a corresponding indication settles within specified limits around its final steady value

steady value

**4.33** [VIM3: 4.25; VIM2: 5.19; VIM1: 5.22]

### accuracy class

class of measuring instruments or measuring systems that meet stated metrological requirements that are intended to keep measurement errors and instrumental uncertainties within specified limits under specified operating conditions

NOTE 1 An accuracy class is usually denoted by a number or symbol adopted by convention.

NOTE 2 Accuracy class may apply to **material measures**.

**4.25** (5.19)

### accuracy class

class of measuring instruments or measuring systems that meet stated metrological requirements that are intended to keep **measurement errors** or **instrumental measurement uncertainties** within specified limits under specified operating conditions

NOTE 1 An accuracy class is usually denoted by a number or symbol adopted by convention.

NOTE 2 Accuracy class applies to **material measures**.



## 5 Measurement standards (etalons) and metrological traceability

### 5.1 [VIM3: 5.1; VIM2: 6.1; VIM1: 6.01] measurement standard

etalon

realization of the definition of a **quantity** with stated **value** and associated **measurement uncertainty**, used as a reference

NOTE 1 A **measurement standard** can be a **measuring system**, a **material measure**, or a **certified reference material**.

EXAMPLE 1 Laser interferometer (measuring system) used to realize the definition of the metre (utilizing the defining constant of the speed of light) with standard uncertainty of 0.1  $\mu\text{m}$ .

EXAMPLE 2 Pressure balance (measuring system) used to generate and measure absolute-mode atmospheric pressure with a standard uncertainty of 0.2 Pa.

EXAMPLE 3 1 kg mass standard (material measure) with **standard uncertainty** of 3  $\mu\text{g}$ .

EXAMPLE 4 100  $\Omega$  standard resistor (material measure) with **standard uncertainty** of 1  $\mu\Omega$ .

EXAMPLE 5 Caesium frequency standard (measuring system) with a relative **standard uncertainty** of  $2 \cdot 10^{-15}$ .

EXAMPLE 6 Standard buffer solution (reference material) with a pH of 7.072 with **standard uncertainty** of 0.006.

EXAMPLE 7 Set of reference materials containing cortisol in human serum having a certified **value** with measurement uncertainty for each solution.

EXAMPLE 8 Reference material providing **values** with measurement uncertainties for the mass concentration of each of ten different proteins.

NOTE 2 In science and technology, the noun “standard” is used with at least two different meanings: as a specification, technical recommendation, or similar normative document (in French “norme”) and as a measurement standard (in French “étalon”).

## 4 Properties of measuring devices

### 5.1 (6.1) measurement standard

etalon

realization of the definition of a given **quantity**, with stated **quantity value** and associated **measurement uncertainty**, used as a reference

NOTE 1 A “realization of the definition of a given quantity” can be provided by a **measuring system**, a **material measure**, or a reference material.

EXAMPLE 1 1 kg mass measurement standard with an associated **standard measurement uncertainty** of 3  $\mu\text{g}$ .

EXAMPLE 2 100  $\Omega$  measurement standard resistor with an associated standard measurement uncertainty of 1  $\mu\Omega$ .

EXAMPLE 3 Caesium frequency standard with a relative standard measurement uncertainty of  $2 \cdot 10^{-15}$ .

EXAMPLE 4 Standard buffer solution with a pH of 7.072 with an associated standard measurement uncertainty of 0.006.

EXAMPLE 5 Set of reference solutions of cortisol in human serum having a certified quantity value with measurement uncertainty for each solution.

EXAMPLE 6 **Reference material** providing quantity values with measurement uncertainties for the mass concentration of each of ten different proteins.

This Vocabulary is concerned solely with the second meaning.

NOTE 3 Measurement standards are used to conserve, reproduce, or disseminate the specified individual quantity, and to calibrate measuring systems.

NOTE 4 A measurement standard is frequently used as a reference in establishing **measured values** and associated measurement uncertainties for other quantities of the same kind, thereby establishing **metrological traceability** through **calibration** of other measurement standards, **measuring instruments**, or **measuring systems**.

NOTE 5 The definition of an individual quantity can be realized in three different ways. The first one is the direct realization of the definition (e.g., realizing the definition of the metre through a device which implements the definition of the speed of light in vacuum and the second). The second way, termed “reproduction”, is the setting up of a measurement standard based on a physical phenomenon (for example, a Josephson array in the case of a voltage standard). The third way is to adopt a material measure as a measurement standard.

NOTE 6 The **standard uncertainty** associated with a measurement standard is always a component of the **combined standard uncertainty** (see the GUM, entry 2.3.4) in a **measurement result** obtained using the measurement standard. Frequently, this component is small compared with other components of the **combined standard uncertainty**.

NOTE 7 Since the relevant quantity of the measurement standard might change over time, the stated value must be attributed and measurement uncertainty must be evaluated at the time that the measurement standard is used.

NOTE 8 A measurement standard may realize the definition of more than one quantity of the same kind or of different kinds. For example, a working standard for electric power measuring instruments may provide both alternating current (AC) voltage and AC current sinusoidal waveforms with preset phase angles.

NOTE 9 The realization is sometimes called “embodiment”.

NOTE 10 The term “measurement standard” is sometimes used for referring to other

NOTE 2 A measurement standard is frequently used as a reference in establishing **measured quantity values** and associated measurement uncertainties for other quantities of the same **kind**, thereby establishing **metrological traceability** through **calibration** of other measurement standards, **measuring instruments**, or measuring systems.

NOTE 3 The term “realization” is used here in the most general meaning. It denotes three procedures of “realization”. The first one consists in the physical realization of the **measurement unit** from its definition and is realization *sensu stricto*. The second, termed “reproduction”, consists not in realizing the measurement unit from its definition but in setting up a highly reproducible measurement standard based on a physical phenomenon, as it happens, e.g. in case of use of frequency-stabilized lasers to establish a measurement standard for the metre, of the Josephson effect for the volt or of the quantum Hall effect for the ohm. The third procedure consists in adopting a material measure as a measurement standard. It occurs in the case of the measurement standard of 1 kg.

NOTE 4 A standard measurement uncertainty associated with a measurement standard is always a component of the **combined standard measurement uncertainty** (see ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 2.3.4) in a **measurement result** obtained using the measurement standard. Frequently, this component is small compared with other components of the combined standard measurement uncertainty.

NOTE 5 Quantity value and measurement uncertainty must be determined at the time when the measurement standard is used.

NOTE 6 Several quantities of the same kind or of different kinds may be realized in one device which is commonly also called a measurement standard.

NOTE 7 The word “embodiment” is sometimes used in the English language instead of “realization”.

NOTE 8 In science and technology, the English word “standard” is used with at least two different meanings: as a specification, technical recommendation, or similar normative document (in French “norme”) and as a measurement standard (in French “étalon”). This Vocabulary is concerned solely with the second meaning.

NOTE 9 The term “measurement standard” is sometimes used to denote other

metrological tools, for example software measurement standards (see ISO 5436-2, *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) – Surface texture: Profile method; Measurement standards – Part 2: Software measurement standards*).

## 5.2 [VIM3: 5.2; VIM2: 6.2; VIM1: 6.06]

### international measurement standard

measurement standard recognized by signatories to an international agreement and intended to serve worldwide and used as the basis for assigning values to other measurement standards for the kind of quantity concerned

EXAMPLE 1 Prior to the introduction of the revision of the SI in 2019, the International Prototype of the Kilogram, as is maintained by the International Bureau of Weights and Measures (BIPM).

EXAMPLE 2 Chorionic gonadotrophin, World Health Organization (WHO) 5th international standard 2013, 07/364, 179 International Units per ampoule.

EXAMPLE 3 VSMOW2 (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water) distributed by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) for measurements of differential stable isotope amount-of-substance ratio.

## 5.3 [VIM3: 5.3; VIM2: 6.3; VIM1: 6.07]

### national measurement standard

national standard

measurement standard recognized by national authority to serve in a state or economy as the basis for assigning values to other measurement standards for the kind of quantity concerned

## 5.4 [VIM3: 5.4; VIM2: 6.4; VIM1: 6.04]

### primary measurement standard

primary standard

measurement standard established using a primary method, or a primary reference procedure, or created as an artefact, chosen by

metrological tools, e.g. 'software measurement standard' (see ISO 5436-2).

## 5.2 (6.2)

### international measurement standard

measurement standard recognized by signatories to an international agreement and intended to serve worldwide

EXAMPLE 1 The international prototype of the kilogram.

EXAMPLE 2 Chorionic gonadotrophin, World Health Organization (WHO) 4th international standard 1999, 75/589, 650 International Units per ampoule.

EXAMPLE 3 VSMOW2 (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water) distributed by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) for differential stable isotope amount-of-substance ratio measurements.

## 5.3 (6.3)

### national measurement standard

national standard

measurement standard recognized by national authority to serve in a state or economy as the basis for assigning quantity values to other measurement standards for the kind of quantity concerned

## 5.4 (6.4)

### primary measurement standard

primary standard

measurement standard established using a primary reference measurement procedure, or created as an artifact, chosen by convention

## convention

EXAMPLE 1 **Primary standard** of amount-of-substance concentration prepared by dissolving a known amount of substance of a chemical component to a known volume of solution.

EXAMPLE 2 **Primary standard** for pressure based on separate **measurements** of force and area.

EXAMPLE 3 **Primary standard** for isotope amount-of-substance ratio measurements, prepared by mixing known **amount of substances** of specified isotopes.

EXAMPLE 4 Prior to the introduction of the revision of the SI in 2019, the International Prototype of the Kilogram, as an artifact, chosen by convention and maintained by the International Bureau of Weights and Measures (BIPM).

## 5.5 [VIM3: 5.5; VIM2: 6.5; VIM1: 6.05]

### secondary measurement standard

secondary standard

measurement standard established through calibration with respect to a primary standard for a quantity of the same kind

NOTE Calibration may be obtained directly **by comparison** between a **primary standard** and a **secondary standard**, or involve an intermediate **measuring system** calibrated by the **primary standard** and assigning a **measurement result** to the **secondary standard**.

EXAMPLE 1 Primary measurement standard of amount-of-substance concentration prepared by dissolving a known amount of substance of a chemical component to a known volume of solution.

EXAMPLE 2 Primary measurement standard for pressure based on separate **measurements** of force and area.

EXAMPLE 3 Primary measurement standard for isotope amount-of-substance ratio measurements, prepared by mixing known amount-of-substances of specified isotopes.

EXAMPLE 4 Triple-point-of-water cell as a primary measurement standard of thermodynamic temperature.

EXAMPLE 5 The international prototype of the kilogram as an artifact, chosen by convention.

## 5.5 (6.5)

### secondary measurement standard

secondary standard

measurement standard established through calibration with respect to a primary measurement standard for a quantity of the same kind

NOTE 1 Calibration may be obtained directly between a primary measurement standard and a secondary measurement standard, or involve an intermediate **measuring system** calibrated by the primary measurement standard and assigning a **measurement result** to the secondary measurement standard.

NOTE 2 A measurement standard having its **quantity value** assigned by a ratio **primary reference measurement procedure** is a secondary measurement standard.

**5.6 [VIM3: 5.6; VIM2: 6.6; VIM1: 6.08]****reference measurement standard**

reference standard

measurement standard designated for the calibration of other measurement standards for quantities of a given kind in a given organization or at a given location

**5.7 [VIM3: 5.7; VIM2: 6.7; VIM1: 6.09]****working measurement standard**

working standard

**calibrator**

measurement standard that is used routinely to calibrate or verify measuring instruments or measuring systems

NOTE 1 A **working standard** is usually calibrated with respect to a **reference standard**.

NOTE 2 When a working standard is used for **verification**, it is sometimes called “check standard” or “control standard”.

NOTE 3 The term “calibrator” is often used in the context of **calibration** in manufacturing, chemistry, and laboratory medicine.

**5.8 [VIM3: 5.8; VIM2: 6.9; VIM1: 6.11]****travelling measurement standard**

travelling standard

measurement standard, sometimes of special construction, **suitably robust** for transport between different locations

EXAMPLE Portable battery-operated caesium-133 frequency measurement standard.

**5.6 (6.6)****reference measurement standard**

reference standard

**measurement standard** designated for the **calibration** of other measurement standards for **quantities** of a given **kind** in a given organization or at a given location

**5.7 (6.7)****working measurement standard**

working standard

**measurement standard** that is used routinely to calibrate or verify **measuring instruments** or **measuring systems**

NOTE 1 A working measurement standard is usually calibrated with respect to a **reference measurement standard**.

NOTE 2 In relation to **verification**, the terms “check standard” or “control standard” are also sometimes used.

**5.8 (6.9)****travelling measurement standard**

travelling standard

**measurement standard**, sometimes of special construction, intended for transport between different locations

EXAMPLE Portable battery-operated caesium-133 frequency measurement standard.

**5.9 [VIM3: 5.9; VIM2: 6.8; VIM1: 6.10]****transfer measurement device**

transfer device

device used as an intermediary to compare measurement standards

EXAMPLE Adjustable callipers used to compare end standards.

NOTE Measurement standards are **sometimes** used as transfer devices.

**5.10 [VIM3: 5.10]****intrinsic measurement standard**

intrinsic standard

measurement standard based on an inherent and reproducible property of a phenomenon or substance

EXAMPLE 1 Triple-point-of-water cell as an **intrinsic standard** of thermodynamic temperature.

EXAMPLE 2 **Intrinsic standard** of electric potential difference based on the Josephson effect.

EXAMPLE 3 **Intrinsic standard** of electric resistance based on the quantum Hall effect.

EXAMPLE 4 Sample of pure copper as an **intrinsic standard** of electric conductivity.

NOTE 1 **The value of the relevant property of an intrinsic standard** is assigned by consensus and does not need to be established by relating it to another **standard** of the same type. Its **measurement uncertainty** is determined by considering two components: the first associated with **its value assigned by consensus** and the second associated with its construction, implementation, and maintenance.

NOTE 2 An **intrinsic standard** usually consists of a system produced according to the requirements of a consensus procedure and subject to periodic **verification**. The consensus procedure may contain provisions for the application of **corrections** necessitated by the implementation.

NOTE 3 **Intrinsic standards** that are based on quantum phenomena usually have outstanding **stability**.

NOTE 4 The adjective “intrinsic” does not mean that such a measurement standard may be implemented and used without special care or that such a **standard** is immune to

**5.9 (6.8)****transfer measurement device**

transfer device

device used as an intermediary to compare measurement standards

NOTE Sometimes, measurement standards are used as transfer devices.

**5.10****intrinsic measurement standard**

intrinsic standard

measurement standard based on an inherent and reproducible property of a phenomenon or substance

EXAMPLE 1 Triple-point-of-water cell as an intrinsic measurement standard of thermodynamic temperature.

EXAMPLE 2 Intrinsic measurement standard of electric potential difference based on the Josephson effect.

EXAMPLE 3 Intrinsic measurement standard of electric resistance based on the quantum Hall effect.

EXAMPLE 4 Sample of copper as an intrinsic measurement standard of electric conductivity.

NOTE 1 A **quantity value** of an intrinsic measurement standard is assigned by consensus and does not need to be established by relating it to another measurement standard of the same type. Its **measurement uncertainty** is determined by considering two components: the first associated with its consensus quantity value and the second associated with its construction, implementation, and maintenance.

NOTE 2 An intrinsic measurement standard usually consists of a system produced according to the requirements of a consensus procedure and subject to periodic **verification**. The consensus procedure may contain provisions for the application of **corrections** necessitated by the implementation.

NOTE 3 Intrinsic measurement standards that are based on quantum phenomena usually have outstanding **stability**.

NOTE 4 The adjective “intrinsic” does not mean that such a measurement standard may be implemented and used without special care or that such a measurement

internal and external influences.

NOTE 5 The adjective “inherent” in the definition corresponds to the requirement that the property of the involved phenomenon or substance is constant in space and time.

**5.11** [VIM3: 5.11; VIM2: 6.12; VIM1: 6.14]

### conservation of a measurement standard

maintenance of a measurement standard

set of operations necessary to preserve the metrological properties of a measurement standard within stated limits

NOTE Conservation commonly includes periodic **verification** of predefined metrological properties or **calibration**, storage under suitable conditions, and specified care in use.

[included in 5.7]

### calibrator

**5.12** [VIM3: 5.13; VIM2: 6.13; VIM1: 6.15]

### reference material

RM

material, sufficiently homogeneous and stable with reference to one or more specified properties, which has been established to be fit for its intended use in measurement or in examination of nominal properties

NOTE 1 Reference materials can be certified reference materials or reference materials without a certified property value.

NOTE 2 For a reference material to be used as a measurement standard for calibration purposes it needs to be a certified reference material.

NOTE 3 Reference materials can be used for **measurement precision** evaluation and **quality control**.

standard is immune to internal and external influences.

**5.11** (6.12)

### conservation of a measurement standard

maintenance of a measurement standard

set of operations necessary to preserve the metrological properties of a measurement standard within stated limits

NOTE Conservation commonly includes periodic **verification** of predefined metrological properties or **calibration**, storage under suitable conditions, and specified care in use.

**5.12**

### calibrator

measurement standard used in **calibration**

NOTE The term “calibrator” is only used in certain fields.

**5.13** (6.13)

### reference material

RM

material, sufficiently homogeneous and stable with reference to specified properties, which has been established to be fit for its intended use in measurement or in examination of nominal properties

NOTE 1 Examination of a nominal property provides a nominal property value and associated uncertainty. This uncertainty is not a **measurement uncertainty**.

NOTE 2 Reference materials with or without assigned **quantity values** can be used for **measurement precision** control whereas only reference materials with assigned quantity values can be used for **calibration** or **measurement trueness** control.

EXAMPLE Human serum without an assigned quantity value for the amount-of-substance concentration of the inherent cholesterol, used for quality control.

NOTE 4 Properties of reference materials can be **quantities** or **nominal properties**.

NOTE 5 A reference material is sometimes incorporated into a specially fabricated device.

EXAMPLE Spheres of uniform size mounted on a microscope slide.

NOTE 6 Some reference materials have assigned **values in a unit outside the SI**. Such materials include vaccines to which International Units (IU) have been assigned by the **World Health Organization**.

NOTE 7 A given reference material can only be used for **one purpose in a measurement**, either calibration or quality **control, but not both**.

NOTE 8 ISO/REMCO has an analogous definition but uses the term “measurement process” (ISO Guide 30, *Reference materials – Selected terms and definitions, definition 2.1.1*) **for both measurement and examination**.

### 5.13 [VIM3: 5.14; VIM2: 6.14; VIM1: 6.16]

#### certified reference material

CRM

**reference material, characterized by a metrologically valid approach for**

NOTE 3 ‘Reference material’ comprises materials embodying **quantities** as well as nominal properties.

EXAMPLE 1 Examples of reference materials embodying quantities:

- a) water of stated purity, the dynamic viscosity of which is used to calibrate viscometers;
  - b) human serum without an assigned quantity value for the amount-of-substance concentration of the inherent cholesterol, used only as a measurement precision control material;
  - c) fish tissue containing a stated mass fraction of a dioxin, used as a **calibrator**.
- EXAMPLE 2 Examples of reference materials embodying nominal properties:
- a) colour chart indicating one or more specified colours;
  - b) DNA compound containing a specified nucleotide sequence;
  - c) urine containing 19-androstenedione.

NOTE 4 A reference material is sometimes incorporated into a specially fabricated device.

EXAMPLE 1 Substance of known triple-point in a triple-point cell.

EXAMPLE 2 Glass of known optical density in a transmission filter holder.

EXAMPLE 3 Spheres of uniform size mounted on a microscope slide.

NOTE 5 Some reference materials have assigned quantity values that are metrologically traceable to a **measurement unit** outside a **system of units**. Such materials include vaccines to which International Units (IU) have been assigned by the **World Health Organization**.

NOTE 6 In a given **measurement**, a given reference material can only be used for either calibration or quality assurance.

NOTE 7 The specifications of a reference material should include its material traceability, indicating its origin and processing (Accred. Qual. Assur.:2006) <sup>[45]</sup>.

NOTE 8 ISO/REMCO has an analogous definition <sup>[45]</sup> but uses the term “measurement process” to mean ‘examination’ (ISO 15189:2007, 3.4), which covers both measurement of a quantity and examination of a nominal property.

### 5.14 (6.14)

#### certified reference material

CRM

**reference material**, accompanied by documentation issued by an authoritative body and providing one or more specified property values with associated



one or more specified properties, accompanied by an RM certificate that provides the values of the specified properties, associated uncertainties, and statements of metrological traceability

NOTE 1 Metrologically valid approaches for the characterization of RMs are given in ISO 17034, *General requirements for the competence of reference material producers*.

NOTE 2 Only certified reference materials, thus having certified property values, can be used for **calibration** or for assessing **measurement trueness**.

EXAMPLE Human serum with assigned value for the substance concentration of total cholesterol with associated **uncertainty** and a statement of metrological traceability in an accompanying certificate, used for calibration of in-vitro diagnostic assays.

NOTE 3 This definition is taken from ISO Guide 30, *Reference materials – Selected terms and definitions*, developed by ISO/REMCO, where the modifiers “metrological” and “metrologically” are used for both **quantities** and **nominal properties**.

## 5.14 [new]

### certified value of a CRM

value, assigned to a property of a certified reference material (CRM) that is accompanied by an uncertainty and a statement of metrological traceability, identified as such in the CRM certificate

NOTE The value mentioned in the definition of either a value of a quantity or a value of a nominal property.

uncertainties and traceabilities, using valid procedures

EXAMPLE Human serum with assigned **quantity value** for the concentration of cholesterol and associated **measurement uncertainty** stated in an accompanying certificate, used as a **calibrator** or **measurement trueness** control material.

NOTE 1 ‘Documentation’ is given in the form of a ‘certificate’ (see ISO Guide 31:2000).

NOTE 2 Procedures for the production and certification of certified reference materials are given, e.g. in ISO Guide 34 and ISO Guide 35.

NOTE 3 In this definition, “uncertainty” covers both ‘measurement uncertainty’ and ‘uncertainty associated with the value of a **nominal property**’, such as for identity and sequence. “Traceability” covers both ‘**metrological traceability** of a quantity value’ and ‘traceability of a nominal property value’.

NOTE 4 Specified quantity values of certified reference materials require metrological traceability with associated measurement uncertainty (Accred. Qual. Assur.:2006)<sup>[45]</sup>.

NOTE 5 ISO/REMCO has an analogous definition (Accred. Qual. Assur.:2006)<sup>[45]</sup> but uses the modifiers “metrological” and “metrologically” to refer to both quantity and nominal property.

**5.15 [VIM3: 5.15]****commutability of a reference material**

property of a **reference material**, demonstrated by the closeness of agreement between the relation among the **measurement results** for a stated **quantity** in this material, obtained **according to measurement procedures for which the material is intended for use**, and the relation obtained among the measurement results for other specified materials

NOTE 1 The reference material in question is usually a **calibrator** and the other specified materials are usually routine samples.

NOTE 2 If an internationally recognized **reference measurement procedure** for the **measurand** exists, it should be included to investigate commutability (see ISO 15193, *In vitro diagnostic medical devices – Measurement of quantities in samples of biological origin – Requirements for content and presentation of reference measurement procedures*).

NOTE 3 Manufacturers' working calibrator(s) and end-user calibrator(s) are not typically required to be commutable, but their capacity for transferring **trueness** from a commutable reference material used as a higher order calibrator to the **values** assigned to clinical samples using an end-user measurement procedure must be assured.

**5.16 [VIM3: 5.16]****reference data**

data related to a property of a phenomenon, body, or substance, or to a system of components of known composition or structure, obtained from an identified source, critically evaluated, and verified for accuracy

EXAMPLE Reference data for solubility of chemical compounds as published by IUPAC.

NOTE "Data" is a plural form, "datum" is the singular. "Data" is commonly used in the singular sense, instead of "datum".

**5.15****commutability of a reference material**

property of a **reference material**, demonstrated by the closeness of agreement between the relation among the **measurement results** for a stated **quantity** in this material, obtained according to two given **measurement procedures**, and the relation obtained among the measurement results for other specified materials

NOTE 1 The reference material in question is usually a **calibrator** and the other specified materials are usually routine samples.

NOTE 2 The measurement procedures referred to in the definition are the one preceding and the one following the reference material (calibrator) in question in a **calibration hierarchy** (see ISO 17511).

NOTE 3 The stability of commutable reference materials should be monitored regularly.

**5.16****reference data**

data related to a property of a phenomenon, body, or substance, or to a system of components of known composition or structure, obtained from an identified source, critically evaluated, and verified for accuracy

EXAMPLE Reference data for solubility of chemical compounds as published by the IUPAC.

NOTE 1 In this definition, 'accuracy' covers, for example, **measurement accuracy** and 'accuracy of a nominal property value'.

NOTE 2 "Data" is a plural form, "datum" is the singular. "Data" is commonly used in the singular sense, instead of "datum".

**5.17 [VIM3: 5.17]****standard reference data**

**reference data** issued by a recognized authority

EXAMPLE 1 **Values** of the fundamental physical constants, as regularly evaluated and recommended by CODATA of ICSU.

EXAMPLE 2 Relative atomic mass values, also called atomic weight values, of the elements, as evaluated every two years by IUPAC-CIAAW, approved by the IUPAC General Assembly, and published in *Pure Appl. Chem.*

**5.18 [VIM3: 2.39; VIM2: 6.11; VIM1: 6.13]****calibration**

operation performed on a **measuring instrument** or a **measuring system** that, under specified conditions

1. establishes a relation between the **values** with **measurement uncertainties** provided by **measurement standards** and corresponding **indications** with associated measurement uncertainties and

2. uses this information to establish a relation for obtaining a **measurement result** from an indication

NOTE 1 The objective of calibration is to provide **traceability** of measurement results obtained when using a calibrated measuring instrument or measuring system.

NOTE 2 The outcome of a calibration may be expressed by a statement, calibration function, **calibration diagram**, **calibration curve**, or calibration table. In some cases, it may consist of an additive or multiplicative **correction** of the indication with associated measurement uncertainty.

NOTE 3 Calibration should not be confused with **adjustment of a measuring system**, often mistakenly called “self-calibration”, nor with **verification** of calibration. **Calibration** is sometimes a prerequisite for verification, which provides confirmation that specified requirements (often **maximum permissible errors**) are met. Calibration is sometimes also a prerequisite for **adjustment**, which is the set of operations carried out on a measuring system such that the system provides prescribed indications corresponding to given values of **quantities** being measured, typically obtained from measurement

**5.17****standard reference data**

**reference data** issued by a recognized authority

EXAMPLE 1 Values of the fundamental physical constants, as regularly evaluated and recommended by CODATA of ICSU.

EXAMPLE 2 Relative atomic mass values, also called atomic weight values, of the elements, as evaluated every two years by IUPAC-CIAAW, approved by the IUPAC General Assembly, and published in *Pure Appl. Chem.*

**2.39 (6.11)****calibration**

operation that, under specified conditions, in a first step, establishes a relation between the **quantity values** with **measurement uncertainties** provided by **measurement standards** and corresponding **indications** with associated measurement uncertainties and, in a second step, uses this information to establish a relation for obtaining a **measurement result** from an indication

NOTE 1 A calibration may be expressed by a statement, calibration function, **calibration diagram**, **calibration curve**, or calibration table. In some cases, it may consist of an additive or multiplicative **correction** of the indication with associated measurement uncertainty.

NOTE 2 Calibration should not be confused with **adjustment of a measuring system**, often mistakenly called “self-calibration”, nor with **verification** of calibration.

standards.

NOTE 4 Sometimes the first step alone of the operation mentioned in the definition is intended as being calibration, as it was in previous editions of this Vocabulary. The second step is in fact required to establish **instrumental uncertainty** for the measurement results obtained when using the calibrated measuring system. The two steps together aim to demonstrate the metrological traceability of measurement results obtained by a calibrated measuring system. In the past the second step was usually considered to occur after the calibration.

NOTE 5 A comparison between two measurement standards may be viewed as a calibration if the comparison is used to check and, if necessary, correct the value and measurement uncertainty attributed to one of the measurement standards.

### 5.19 [VIM3: 4.31]

#### calibration function

calibration curve

**functional relation** between **indications** and corresponding **measured values**

NOTE 1 A calibration function expresses a **functional** relation that does not supply a **measurement result** as it bears no information about the **measurement uncertainty**.

NOTE 2 The functional relation mentioned in the definition is defined, in an inverse fashion, from the first step of calibration, where indications are related to values of quantities of **measurement standards**. Sometimes the function is defined by curve-fitting discrete pairs of indications and values of quantities of measurement standards.

### 5.20 [VIM3: 4.30]

#### calibration diagram

**graphical expression of the relation between indication and corresponding measurement result**

NOTE 1 A calibration diagram is the strip of the plane defined by the axis of the **indications** and the axis of **measured values**, that represents the relation between an indication and a set of **measured values**. A one-to-many relation is given, and the width of the strip for a given indication provides the **instrumental uncertainty within specified operating conditions**.

NOTE 2 Alternative expressions of the relation mentioned in the definition include a

NOTE 3 Often, the first step alone in the above definition is perceived as being calibration.

### 4.31

#### calibration curve

expression of the relation between **indication** and corresponding **measured quantity value**

NOTE A calibration curve expresses a one-to-one relation that does not supply a **measurement result** as it bears no information about the **measurement uncertainty**.

### 4.30

#### calibration diagram

**graphical expression of the relation between indication and corresponding measurement result**

NOTE 1 A calibration diagram is the strip of the plane defined by the axis of the indication and the axis of measurement result, that represents the relation between an indication and a set of **measured quantity values**. A one-to-many relation is given, and the width of the strip for a given indication provides the **instrumental measurement uncertainty**.

NOTE 2 Alternative expressions of the relation include a **calibration curve** and

**calibration function** and associated **measurement uncertainty**, a calibration table, or a set of **calibration functions**.

### 5.21 [VIM3: 2.40]

#### calibration hierarchy

sequence of **calibrations** from a reference to the final **measuring system**, where the outcome of each calibration depends on the outcome of the previous calibration

NOTE 1 **Measurement uncertainty** necessarily increases along the sequence of calibrations.

NOTE 2 The elements of a calibration hierarchy are one or more **measurement standards** and measuring systems operated according to **measurement procedures**.

NOTE 3 The reference mentioned in this definition is sometimes thought of in different ways. Probably most commonly, the reference is considered to be the definition of a **unit**, through its practical realization (for example, a realization of the definition of a unit of the **SI**; “traceable to the SI”). However, sometimes the reference is thought of as the realization itself, that is, a **quantity**. The reference could also be any measurement standard for a quantity of the same kind (for example, a length standard used in a machine shop for measuring lengths). In the case of **ordinal quantities**, the reference is typically a **measurement procedure** (for example, a procedure for using a hardness measurement machine to obtain values of Rockwell C hardness). The quantity that is the reference or is carried by the reference must have a **reference value** and a **measurement uncertainty**.

### 5.22 [VIM3: 2.41; VIM2: 6.10; VIM1: 6.12]

#### metrological traceability

property of a **measurement result** whereby the result can be related to a reference through a documented unbroken chain of **calibrations**, each

associated **measurement uncertainty**, a calibration table, or a set of functions.

NOTE 3 This concept pertains to a **calibration** when the instrumental measurement uncertainty is large in comparison with the measurement uncertainties associated with the **quantity values** of **measurement standards**.

### 2.40

#### calibration hierarchy

sequence of **calibrations** from a reference to the final **measuring system**, where the outcome of each calibration depends on the outcome of the previous calibration

NOTE 1 **Measurement uncertainty** necessarily increases along the sequence of calibrations.

NOTE 2 The elements of a calibration hierarchy are one or more **measurement standards** and measuring systems operated according to **measurement procedures**.

NOTE 3 For this definition, the ‘reference’ can be a definition of a **measurement unit** through its practical realization, or a measurement procedure, or a measurement standard.

NOTE 4 A comparison between two measurement standards may be viewed as a calibration if the comparison is used to check and, if necessary, correct the **quantity value** and measurement uncertainty attributed to one of the measurement standards.

### 2.41 (6.10)

#### metrological traceability

property of a **measurement result** whereby the result can be related to a reference through a documented unbroken chain of **calibrations**, each contributing to the **measurement uncertainty**

### contributing to the measurement uncertainty

NOTE 1 The reference mentioned in this definition is sometimes thought of in different ways. Probably most commonly, the reference is considered to be the definition of a **unit**, through its practical realization (for example, a realization of the definition of a unit of the **SI**; “traceable to the SI”). However, sometimes the reference is thought of as the realization itself, that is, a **quantity**. The reference could also be any **measurement standard** for a quantity of the same kind (for example, a length standard used in a machine shop for measuring lengths). In the case of **ordinal quantities**, the reference is typically a **measurement procedure** (for example, a procedure for using a hardness measurement machine to obtain values of Rockwell C hardness). The quantity that is the reference or is carried by the reference must have a reference value and a measurement uncertainty.

NOTE 2 Metrological traceability requires an established **calibration hierarchy**.

NOTE 3 The documentation of the chain of calibrations must specify the time at which the reference was used in establishing the calibration hierarchy, i.e., when the first calibration in the calibration hierarchy was performed, along with any other relevant metrological information about the reference.

NOTE 4 For **measurements** with more than one **input quantity in the measurement model**, each of the input **values** and their **uncertainties** should itself be metrologically traceable and the calibration hierarchy involved may form a branched structure or a network. The effort involved in establishing metrological traceability for each input **value**, and in particular in evaluating its uncertainty, should be commensurate with its relative contribution to the measurement result.

NOTE 5 Metrological traceability of a measurement result does not ensure that the measurement uncertainty is adequate for a given purpose or that **no mistakes have been made**.

NOTE 6 **ILAC** considers the elements for confirming metrological traceability to be an unbroken **metrological traceability chain** to an **international measurement standard** or a **national measurement standard**, a documented measurement uncertainty, a documented measurement procedure, accredited technical competence, metrological traceability to the **SI**, and **recalibration** intervals (see *ILAC P-10, ILAC policy on traceability of measurement results*).

NOTE 7 The abbreviated term “traceability” is sometimes used **for metrological**

NOTE 1 For this definition, a ‘reference’ can be a definition of a **measurement unit** through its practical realization, or a **measurement procedure** including the measurement unit for a non-**ordinal quantity**, or a **measurement standard**.

NOTE 2 Metrological traceability requires an established **calibration hierarchy**.

NOTE 3 Specification of the reference must include the time at which this reference was used in establishing the calibration hierarchy, along with any other relevant metrological information about the reference, such as when the first calibration in the calibration hierarchy was performed.

NOTE 4 For **measurements** with more than one **input quantity in the measurement model**, each of the input **quantity values** should itself be metrologically traceable and the calibration hierarchy involved may form a branched structure or a network. The effort involved in establishing metrological traceability for each input quantity value should be commensurate with its relative contribution to the measurement result.

NOTE 5 Metrological traceability of a measurement result does not ensure that the measurement uncertainty is adequate for a given purpose or that there is an absence of mistakes.

NOTE 6 A comparison between two measurement standards may be viewed as a calibration if the comparison is used to check and, if necessary, correct the quantity value and measurement uncertainty attributed to one of the measurement standards.

NOTE 7 The **ILAC** considers the elements for confirming metrological traceability to be an unbroken **metrological traceability chain** to an **international measurement standard** or a **national measurement standard**, a documented measurement uncertainty, a documented measurement procedure, accredited technical competence, metrological traceability to the **SI**, and calibration intervals (see *ILAC P-10:2002*).

NOTE 8 The abbreviated term “traceability” is sometimes used to mean ‘metrological

traceability as well as other entities, such as sample traceability, document traceability, instrument traceability, or material traceability, where the history (“trace”) of an item is meant. Therefore, the full term “metrological traceability” is preferred if there is any risk of confusion.

### 5.23 [VIM3: 2.42; VIM2: 6.10 Note 2]

#### metrological traceability chain

traceability chain

sequence of measurement standards and calibrations that is used to relate a measurement result to a reference

NOTE 1 A metrological traceability chain is defined through a **calibration hierarchy**.

NOTE 2 A metrological traceability chain is used to establish **metrological traceability** of a measurement result.

### 5.24 [VIM3: 2.43]

#### metrological traceability to a measurement unit

metrological traceability to a unit

metrological traceability where the reference is the definition of a measurement unit through its practical realization

NOTE The term “traceability to the SI” is used for referring to metrological traceability to a unit of the **International System of Units**.

### 5.25 [VIM3: 2.44]

#### verification

provision of objective evidence that a given item fulfils specified requirements

EXAMPLE 1 Confirmation that a given **reference material** as claimed is homogeneous for the **value** and **measurement procedure** concerned, down to a measurement portion having a stated mass, where “measurement portion” is intended to refer to amount of material, of proper size, for measurement of any quantity of interest, removed from the

traceability’ as well as other concepts, such as ‘sample traceability’ or ‘document traceability’ or ‘instrument traceability’ or ‘material traceability’, where the history (“trace”) of an item is meant. Therefore, the full term of “metrological traceability” is preferred if there is any risk of confusion.

### 2.42 (6.10 Note 2)

#### metrological traceability chain

traceability chain

sequence of **measurement standards** and **calibrations** that is used to relate a **measurement result** to a reference

NOTE 1 A metrological traceability chain is defined through a **calibration hierarchy**.

NOTE 2 A metrological traceability chain is used to establish **metrological traceability** of a measurement result.

NOTE 3 A comparison between two measurement standards may be viewed as a calibration if the comparison is used to check and, if necessary, correct the **quantity value** and **measurement uncertainty** attributed to one of the measurement standards.

### 2.43

#### metrological traceability to a measurement unit

metrological traceability to a unit

**metrological traceability** where the reference is the definition of a **measurement unit** through its practical realization

NOTE The expression “traceability to the SI” means ‘metrological traceability to a measurement unit of the **International System of Units**’.

### 2.44

#### verification

provision of objective evidence that a given item fulfils specified requirements

EXAMPLE 1 Confirmation that a given **reference material** as claimed is homogeneous for the **quantity value** and **measurement procedure** concerned, down to a measurement portion having a mass of 10 mg.

reference material.

EXAMPLE 2 Confirmation that performance properties or legal requirements of a **measuring system** are achieved.

EXAMPLE 3 Confirmation that a **target measurement uncertainty** can be met.

NOTE 1 When applicable, **measurement uncertainty** should be taken into consideration **in a verification**.

NOTE 2 The item **mentioned in the definition** may be, **for example**, a process, measurement procedure, material, compound, or measuring system.

NOTE 3 The specified requirements **mentioned in the definition** may be, **for example**, that a manufacturer's specifications are met.

NOTE 4 Verification in legal metrology, as defined in **International Vocabulary of Legal Metrology (VIML)**, and in conformity assessment in general, pertains to the examination and marking and/or issuing of a verification certificate for a measuring system.

NOTE 5 Verification should not be confused with **calibration**. Not every verification is a **validation**.

NOTE 6 In chemistry, verification of the identity of the entity involved, or of activity, requires a description of the structure or properties of that entity or activity.

## 5.26 [VIM3: 2.45]

### validation

**verification**, where the specified requirements are adequate for an intended use

EXAMPLE A **measurement procedure**, ordinarily used for the **measurement** of mass concentration of nitrogen in water, may be validated also for measurement of mass concentration of nitrogen in human serum.

## 5.27 [VIM3: 2.46]

### metrological comparability of measurement results

metrological comparability

**property of measurement results, for quantities of a given kind, where the results are metrologically traceable to the same reference**

EXAMPLE Measurement results, for the distances between the Earth and the Moon, and between Paris and London, are metrologically comparable when they are both

EXAMPLE 2 Confirmation that performance properties or legal requirements of a **measuring system** are achieved.

EXAMPLE 3 Confirmation that a **target measurement uncertainty** can be met.

NOTE 1 When applicable, **measurement uncertainty** should be taken into consideration.

NOTE 2 The item may be, e.g. a process, measurement procedure, material, compound, or measuring system.

NOTE 3 The specified requirements may be, e.g. that a manufacturer's specifications are met.

NOTE 4 Verification in legal metrology, as defined in VIML [53], and in conformity assessment in general, pertains to the examination and marking and/or issuing of a verification certificate for a measuring system.

NOTE 5 Verification should not be confused with **calibration**. Not every verification is a **validation**.

NOTE 6 In chemistry, verification of the identity of the entity involved, or of activity, requires a description of the structure or properties of that entity or activity.

## 2.45

### validation

**verification**, where the specified requirements are adequate for an intended use

EXAMPLE **Measurement procedure**, ordinarily used for the **measurement** of mass concentration of nitrogen in water, may be validated also for measurement of mass concentration of nitrogen in human serum.

## 2.46

### metrological comparability of measurement results

metrological comparability

comparability of **measurement results**, for **quantities** of a given **kind**, that are metrologically traceable to the same reference

EXAMPLE Measurement results, for the distances between the Earth and the Moon, and between Paris and London, are metrologically comparable when they are both



metrologically traceable to the same **unit**, for **example** the metre.

NOTE 1 The reference mentioned in this definition is sometimes thought of in different ways. Probably most commonly, the reference is considered to be the definition of a **unit**, through its practical realization (for example, a realization of the definition of a unit of the **SI**; “traceable to the SI”). However, sometimes the reference is thought of as the realization itself, that is, a **quantity**. The reference could also be any **measurement standard** for a quantity of the same kind (for example, a length standard used in a machine shop for measuring lengths). In the case of **ordinal quantities**, the reference is typically a **measurement procedure** (for example, a procedure for using a hardness measurement machine to obtain values of Rockwell C hardness). The quantity that is the reference or is carried by the reference must have a reference value and a measurement uncertainty.

NOTE 2 Metrological comparability of measurement results does not necessitate that the **measured values** compared be of the same order of magnitude. The same applies to the associated **measurement uncertainties**.

## 5.28 [VIM3: 2.47]

### metrological compatibility of measurement results

metrological compatibility

property of a set of **measurement results** for a specified **measurand**, such that the absolute value of the difference of any pair of **measured values** from two different measurement results is smaller than some chosen multiple of the **standard uncertainty** of that difference

NOTE 1 Metrological compatibility of measurement results replaces **what was traditionally termed “staying within the error”**, as it represents the criterion for deciding whether two measurement results refer to the same measurand or not. If in a set of **measurements** of a measurand, thought to be constant, a measurement result is not compatible with the others, either the measurement was not correct (**for example**, its **measurement uncertainty** was assessed as being too small) or the measured **quantity** changed between measurements.

NOTE 2 The measurement uncertainty associated with the difference between any pair of measured values should account for the possible correlation between random variables modeling the measurand. If such random variables are completely uncorrelated, the **standard uncertainty** of their difference is equal to the root mean square sum of their **standard uncertainties**, while it is lower for positive covariance or

metrologically traceable to the same **measurement unit**, for instance the metre.

NOTE 1 See Note 1 to 2.41 **metrological traceability**.

NOTE 2 Metrological comparability of measurement results does not necessitate that the **measured quantity values** and associated **measurement uncertainties** compared be of the same order of magnitude.

## 2.47

### metrological compatibility of measurement results

metrological compatibility

property of a set of **measurement results** for a specified **measurand**, such that the absolute value of the difference of any pair of **measured quantity values** from two different measurement results is smaller than some chosen multiple of the **standard measurement uncertainty** of that difference

NOTE 1 Metrological compatibility of measurement results replaces the traditional concept of ‘staying within the error’, as it represents the criterion for deciding whether two measurement results refer to the same measurand or not. If in a set of **measurements** of a measurand, thought to be constant, a measurement result is not compatible with the others, either the measurement was not correct (e.g. its **measurement uncertainty** was assessed as being too small) or the measured **quantity** changed between measurements.

NOTE 2 Correlation between the measurements influences metrological compatibility of measurement results. If the measurements are completely uncorrelated, the standard measurement uncertainty of their difference is equal to the root mean square sum of their standard measurement uncertainties, while it is lower for positive covariance or higher for negative covariance.

| higher for negative covariance. |

COMMITTEE DRAFT

## 6 Nominal properties and examinations

### 6.1 [VIM3: 1.30]

#### nominal property <general>

general nominal property

nominal property in the general sense

kind of nominal property

property whose instances can be compared only by equivalence

NOTE 1 Nominal properties can be general nominal properties or **individual nominal properties**, as exemplified in the following table.

general nominal property	individual nominal property
shape	shape of a given piece of metal
chemical species	chemical species in a given sample
taxon	taxon of fish in a given sample
sequence variation	variation of DNA sequence in the gene of a given person in relation to a reference sequence
blood group	erythrocyte antigen in the ABO system for a given person
tumour type	type of tumour in the bladder of a given person according to the WHO classification 2016

NOTE 2 Any individual nominal property is an instance of a general nominal property, so that for example sodium ion in a given sample is an instance of chemical species. Individual nominal properties that are instances of the same general nominal property are comparable, and are said to be "nominal properties of the same kind".

**VIM3 or VIM3** (note that elements of the entries are rearranged for highlighting analogies and differences with the new text)

### VIM3, 1.30

#### nominal property

property of a phenomenon, body, or substance, where the property has no magnitude

EXAMPLE 1 Sex of a human being.

EXAMPLE 2 Colour of a paint sample.

EXAMPLE 3 Colour of a spot test in chemistry.

EXAMPLE 4 ISO two-letter country code.

EXAMPLE 5 Sequence of amino acids in a polypeptide.

NOTE 3 Nominal properties are distinguished from **quantities**, which are properties that can be compared in terms of greater or lesser.

NOTE 4 In some contexts, but not in this Vocabulary, nominal properties are also called “qualitative properties”.

NOTE 5 Light of a given wavelength is perceived as a colour, so that such a colour can be considered as a quantity, at least an **ordinal quantity** because the ratio of two colours has no physical meaning. Other colours are nominal properties, because they cannot be obtained by the perception of a monochromatic light and cannot be totally ordered.

## 6.2 [VIM3: 1.30]

**nominal property** <individual>

individual nominal property

instance of a nominal general property

EXAMPLE Examples of individual nominal properties, together with the general nominal properties of which they are instance, are presented in the table in the Note 1 to the entry 6.1.

## 6.3 [new]

**reference set of nominal properties**

nominal scale

set of individual nominal properties of the same kind, accepted by agreement, where each nominal property is associated with an element of a set of identifiers

## 6.4 [new]

**value of a nominal property**

value <nominal property>

individual nominal property identified in a reference set of nominal properties

NOTE 1 A nominal property has a value, which can be expressed in words, by alphanumerical codes, or by other means.

NOTE 2 ‘Nominal property value’ is not to be confused with nominal quantity value.

## VIN, 3.1

**value of a nominal property**

feature common to equivalent individual nominal properties

EXAMPLE 1 For shape, sphere is a value in the set {sphere, prism, pyramid, other shape}.

EXAMPLE 2 For taxon of bone fishes, the species *Pollachius virens* is a value in the set of the fish species according to an established taxonomy system.

EXAMPLE 3 For the sequence variation corresponding to a substitution of G for A in a position 20210 of the DNA in the human prothrombin gene, G20210A is the value.

EXAMPLE 4 For colour, as found in anthropological surveys to be in wide use across cultures, white is a value in the set {white, black, red, green, yellow, blue, brown, grey, orange, purple, and pink} (IEC 60050-845, International Electrotechnical Vocabulary – Lighting).

EXAMPLE 5 For blood group in the ABO system, A<sub>1</sub> is a value in the set {A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>, B, AB, O}.

EXAMPLE 6 For tumour type according to the WHO 2016 classification of tumours of the urinary system, urothelial type is a value.

NOTE 1 Values of nominal properties are not names/terms. In Example 1, where  $X = \{\text{sphere, prism, pyramid, other shape}\}$  is the set of possible values, a value of shape (in  $X$ ) is sphere, which is a geometrical entity, not the term “sphere”. Indeed the same value may be designated by the term “sphere” in English, “sphère” in French, and other terms in other languages.

NOTE 2 The term “value” in the phrase “value of a nominal property” is intended in analogy with its use in “value of a quantity”. In this sense, the fact that the shape of a given object is spherical, and that the considered set of possible values of shape is  $X = \{\text{sphere, prism, pyramid, other shape}\}$ , can be written “shape(object) = sphere in  $X$ ” in analogy with the fact that the length of that rod is 1.23 m can be written “length(rod) = 1.23 m”. Hence values are not necessarily quantitative.

NOTE 3 The set of possible values of a nominal property may be revised, for example with the introduction of new elements, as a result of an **examination**.

EXAMPLE 3 The species *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is a nominal property value for a nominal property of the kind-of-nominal-property taxon of the component *Mycobacterium* in a given ‘Expectoration’ as system. Infectious diseases might be caused by several different species of *Mycobacterium*. The species can be examined in a sample from an expectoration.

EXAMPLE 2 ‘\*1/\*3’ is a nominal property value for a nominal property of the kind-of-nominal-property ‘sequence variation’ of the CYP2D6 gene in a given ‘DNA’ as system. The allele ‘\*1’ is related to normal enzyme activity while the allele ‘\*3’ is related to decreased enzyme activity.

EXAMPLE 1 ‘Yellow’ is a nominal property value for a nominal property of the kind-of-nominal-property ‘colour’ of a given Urine as system. There is no separate component.

EXAMPLE 4 ‘Oval’ is a nominal property value for a nominal property with of kind-of-nominal-property ‘shape’ of the component ‘Leukocyte nucleus’ in a given Blood as system. This shape is typical of immature forms of monocytes, such as promonocytes.

Note 1 to entry: Nominal property values can be words, alphanumerical codes, symbols, etc, but cannot enter into algebraic equations and is not related to a quantity dimension or a measurement unit.

Note 2 to entry: A nominal property value can consist of a set of items.

NOTE 4 The term “value of a nominal property” has been taken from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 3.1.

## 6.5 [new]

### examination of a nominal property

examination

classification

process of experimentally obtaining one or more **values** that can reasonably be attributed to a **nominal property** together with any other **available relevant information**

EXAMPLE 1 Examination of the taxon of a fish as determined by a sample of its mitochondrial DNA.

EXAMPLE 2 Examination of the DNA sequence of the human prothrombin gene to determine the presence of the G to A transition at nucleotide position 20210.

EXAMPLE 3 Examination of erythrocyte antigens A and B by observation and interpretation of agglutination reactions of erythrocytes towards antibodies to A and B antigen respectively.

EXAMPLE 4 Examination of morphologic appearance of thin slices of tissue collected in a biopsy of the tumour.

EXAMPLE 5 Examination of the iris colour of the eyes of a person.

NOTE 1 The relevant information mentioned in the definition may be about the **reliability** of the values obtained by the examination, such that some may be more representative of the examinand than others.

NOTE 2 In some cases an examination is performed through intermediate stages, which are **measurements** and whose results are used to obtain the examination result.

Example: The colours of the national flag of Switzerland are red and white.

Note 3 to entry: The term “nominal quantity value” and its short form “nominal value” are used, for example in VIM 4.6, to indicate a concept concerning rounded or approximate quantity values. The term “nominal indication interval” is used in VIM 4.4 to indicate a concept concerning rounded or approximate extreme indications.

## VIN, 2.6

### examination

process of experimentally obtaining one or more nominal property values that can reasonably be attributed to a nominal property

EXAMPLE 2 Erythrocyte antigen examined by agglutination reactions with known antibodies.

EXAMPLE 1 Examination of genotype by, e.g. allele specific PCR or melting point analysis.

NOTE 3 Some other common terms for referring to examinations are “evaluation”, “characterization”, “inspection”, “determination”, “qualitative analysis”, “qualitative measurement”, “identification”.

NOTE 4 In ISO 15189, *Medical laboratories – Requirements for quality and competence* the term “examination” is also used for referring to measurement.

NOTE 5 The term “examination” has been taken, and the definition has been adapted, from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 2.6.

## 6.6 [new] examination principle

phenomenon **or process** serving as a basis of an **examination**

EXAMPLE 1 DNA sequencing to determine the nucleic acid sequence.

EXAMPLE 2 Agglutination reaction of red blood cells for the detection of antigens on cell surfaces by the use of antibodies of known specificity.

EXAMPLE 3 Recognition of the morphological pattern of tissue observed using light microscopy.

EXAMPLE 4 Mass spectrometry or chromatography used to identify chemical species.

NOTE 1 The phenomenon mentioned in the definition can be of a physical, chemical, or biological nature.

NOTE 2 The term “examination principle” has been taken, and the definition has been adapted, from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry

Note 1 to entry: The outcome of an examination is an examination result.

Note 2 to entry: The activity of examination essentially consists in comparing the property considered, i.e. the examinand by way of an examining system, with the property of a ‘reference’ of similar nature. Such a reference may be personal and subjective, such as a person’s memory of a colour, or the reference may be objective, such as a nominal reference material.

## VIN, 2.10 examination principle

phenomenon serving as a basis of an examination

EXAMPLE 1 Selective amplification of a DNA sequence to compare for equivalence with a target sequence.

EXAMPLE 2 Comparison of spectral properties of a component with properties of known substances.

Note to entry: The phenomenon can be of a physical, chemical, or biological nature.

2.10.

### 6.7 [new] examination method

generic description of a logical organization of operations used in an examination

EXAMPLE 1 DNA is extracted from a fish sample and parts of the mitochondrial cytochrome b (cyt b) gene amplified by PCR. The amplified DNA is sequenced, compared to a DNA sequence, and the fish taxon is assigned.

EXAMPLE 2 DNA is extracted from the plasma obtained from a blood sample of a patient. DNA in the region of the prothrombin gene is amplified by PCR and its sequence examined. The examination method shows if a mutation is present at location 20210 and if this mutation is present in two alleles (homozygous mutation) or one allele (heterozygous).

EXAMPLE 3 A suspension of erythrocytes is mixed with solutions containing antibodies to A-antigen and B-antigen respectively. The agglutination, or absence of agglutination, of cells is recorded and interpreted.

EXAMPLE 4 Observation of morphology by light microscopy of thin slices of tissue mounted on glass plates, stained by a combination of hematoxylin and eosin stain and comparison for equivalence with reference images and reference descriptions with specified criteria.

NOTE 1 Referring to an examination method is insufficient to allow an examination with prescribed **examination reliability**, but aids in formulating one or more **examination procedures**.

NOTE 2 The term "examination method" and the definition have been taken from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 2.11.

### 6.8 [new] examination procedure

detailed description of an examination according to one or more examination principles and to a given examination method and any

### VIN, 2.11 examination method

generic description of a logical organization of operations used in an examination

EXAMPLE 1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP).

EXAMPLE 2 Infra-red spectrometry.

Note to entry: The laconic description in an examination method is insufficient to allow an examination with prescribed examination uncertainty, but aids in formulating one or more examination procedures.

### VIN, 2.12 examination procedure

detailed description of an examination according to one or more examination principles and to a given examination method and any decision algorithm



## decision algorithm necessary to obtain an [examination result](#)

NOTE 1 An examination procedure specifies the **nominal property** involved, any sampling procedure, equipment, **reference materials** needed, and the set of possible **values of a nominal property** used. The examination procedure also specifies how many **examined values** are necessary to obtain an examination result and how to evaluate the **examination reliability**.

NOTE 2 An examination procedure is intended to provide operational details and should be sufficient for a trained operator to perform an examination satisfactorily.

NOTE 3 An examination procedure can include measurement procedures or prescribe using measurement results.

NOTE 4 The term “examination procedure” and the definition have been taken from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 2.12.

## 6.9 [new] examinand

### [nominal property](#) intended to be examined

NOTE 1 The nominal property mentioned in the definition is an individual nominal property.

EXAMPLE 1 The taxon of a given bone fish.

EXAMPLE 2 The erythrocyte surface antigen within the ABO system for blood from a given person.

EXAMPLE 3 The tumour type in the bladder of a given person.

NOTE 2 The **examinand** may be different from the **nominal property** actually being examined due to changes of the **object** bearing the property during the **examination**.

EXAMPLE A drug is detected in a processed sample of blood. Under the condition of physiological pH in blood the substance appears in its salt form. Due to a deviating pH in the sample material the drug actually being detected is in its acid form.

## necessary to obtain an examination result

EXAMPLE Working instructions, or “standard operating procedure”, at laboratory A to examine a sample for a possible mutation in the gene for haemochromatosis (HFE).

Note 1 to entry: An examination procedure specifies the dedicated kind-of-nominal-property involved, any sampling, examining system, nominal reference material(s) needed, and the nominal property value set used. The examination procedure also specifies how many examined values that are necessary to obtain an examination result and how to estimate the expected examination uncertainty.

Note 2 to entry: The information presented in an examination procedure is intended to be operational and should be sufficient for a trained operator to perform an examination satisfactorily.

Note 3 to entry: An examination procedure can include a statement concerning a target examination uncertainty.

## VIN, 2.7 examinand

### nominal property intended to be examined

EXAMPLE 2 The taxon of Entamoeba in faeces.

EXAMPLE 1 Morphology of cells in blood.

Note 1 to entry: The nominal property value of an examinand may be different from that of the property actually being examined due to changes of the system bearing the property during the examination.

Note 2 to entry: The examined value may be obtained indirectly through examinations of other nominal properties, giving the examined value by using an algorithm which may involve measurement results and examination results.

NOTE 3 The term “examinand” and the definition have been taken from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 2.7.

## 6.10 [new] examination result

set of **values** being attributed to an **examinand** together with any other available relevant information

EXAMPLE 1 The fish in the sample is identified to be of the species *Pollachius virens*, with a given risk of misclassification.

EXAMPLE 2 The prothrombin gene carries the factor II mutation in one allele (G/A) with a given risk of misclassification.

EXAMPLE 3 The erythrocyte antigen within the ABO system is A, with a given risk of misclassification.

NOTE 1 An **examination result** sometimes contains **relevant information** about the set of **values of the nominal property**, such that some may be more representative of the **examinand** than others. This may be quantified by a probability distribution over the set of **values**, from which **examination reliability**, and therefore the risk of misclassification, can be computed.

NOTE 2 In many cases an examination result is a single examined value, with no explicit information about examination reliability, then implying that the risk of misclassification is negligible for the intended use.

NOTE 3 The term “examination result” and the definition have been taken from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 3.4.

## VIN, 3.4 examination result

set of nominal property values being attributed to an **examinand** together with any other available relevant information

EXAMPLE The gene for hemochromatosis (HFE) is examined for a possible mutation at c.187 from C to G. The result is C/C. If the probability of having an examination result that deviates from a conventional nominal property value is close to zero, then the examination uncertainty is considered negligible.

Note 1 to entry: An ‘examination result’ sometimes contains “relevant information” about the set of nominal property values, such that some may be more representative of the **examinand** than others.

Note 2 to entry: ‘Examination result’ should not be confused with nominal property value set.

Note 3 to entry: An ‘examination result’ may be expressed as a single examined value and an examination uncertainty. If there is no dispersion of nominal property values attributed to the **examinand** or if the examination uncertainty is considered to be negligible for a specified purpose, the examination result may be expressed as a single examined value.

### 6.11 [new] examined value

value of a nominal property representing an examination result

NOTE 1 The examined value may be obtained indirectly through a process, which may involve examination results of other **nominal properties** or **measurement results**.

NOTE 2 The term “examined value” and the definition have been taken from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 3.5.

### 6.12 [new] examination reliability

examination confidence

probability that an examined value is the same as a reference value of a nominal property

NOTE 1 Examination reliability provides an indication of the quality of the result of an **examination**. It may be expressed in the form of a probability mass function. The metrological understanding of examination reliability is not well established, compared, for example, with **standard measurement uncertainty** and has to be agreed upon. Without such agreement the degree of belief in an examination result is often expressed on an ordinal scale, for example “weak”, “fair”, “strong”, or “very strong” evidence in favour of a [stated] hypothesis. Requirements for examination reliability, for example in conformity assessment of nominal properties have to be stated for each case (see JCGM 106, *Evaluation of measurement data – The role of measurement uncertainty in conformity assessment*).

EXAMPLE 1 A tumour in urine bladder is categorized as urothelial type cancer with a stated examination reliability.

EXAMPLE 2 For the **nominal property** shape, the set of possible values is {sphere, prism, pyramid, other shape}, and the reference value is sphere. Having repeated the **examination** of the shape of a given object five times, one of the examined values is prism, and the others are spheres. The examination reliability is therefore  $4/5 = 0.8$ .

### VIN, 3.5 examined value

nominal property value representing an examination result

Note to entry: The term “observed value” is sometimes used for ‘examined value’, but not here.

### VIN, 3.8 examination trueness

fraction of examined values identical to one or more reference nominal property values among all the examined values provided

NOTE 2 The definition has been adapted from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 3.8, where the term “examination trueness” is used.

### 6.13 [new]

#### examining system

set of one or more devices and often other components, assembled and adapted to give information used to generate examined values from a reference set of nominal properties

NOTE 1 The components mentioned in the definition may include reagents and supplies.

NOTE 2 A human eye may be an essential element of an examining system.

NOTE 3 The term “examining system” and the definition have been taken from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 2.8.

EXAMPLE The reference nominal property value is ‘B’. The nominal property value set (3.2) of all possible values is {A, B}. For nine of 10 examinations (2.6) the examined value is ‘B’. The examination trueness is therefore 0.9 (90 %).

Note 1 to entry: Each examined value is either equivalent, or non-equivalent, to the reference nominal property value.

Note 2 to entry: The examination trueness, in contrast to measurement trueness (VIM, 2.14), is a quantity, and is complementary to examination uncertainty.

### VIN, 2.8

#### examining system

set of one or more devices, including any reagent and supply, assembled and adapted to give information used to generate examined values from a nominal property value set

EXAMPLE 1 Selective culture medium for the identification of *Candida albicans* in a biological fluid.

EXAMPLE 2 Chromatograph and mass spectrometer for the identification of mass/charge ratios of molecules.

EXAMPLE 3 Instrument used for running a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) followed by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) for the identification of a genotype.

## 6.14 [new] examination standard

realization of the definition of a given nominal property, with stated value and associated examination reliability, used as a reference

EXAMPLE 1 Based on the DNA sequence obtained for a material used as an examination standard, the fish is identified to be of the taxon *Pollachius virens*.

EXAMPLE 2 Based on the DNA sequence examination for a material used as an examination standard, the prothrombin gene carries the factor II mutation in one allele.

EXAMPLE 3 Reagents used for the identification of A and B antigen are CE marked according to the Regulation (EU) 2017/746 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2017 on in vitro diagnostic medical devices and have the minimal potency as defined by WHO (WHO Expert Committee on Biological Standardization, *International standards for minimum potency of anti-A and anti-B blood grouping reagents*, WHO/BS/06.2053, 2006)

EXAMPLE 4 Published descriptions of the morphological characteristics of different tumour types in the bladder (H. Moch, P.A. Humphrey, T.M. Ulbright, V. Reuter, WHO *Classification of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs*, International Agency for Research on Cancer; Lyon, France, 2016).

NOTE 1 The realization can be, for example, a **reference material**, a record in a reference database, a classification algorithm, or a class in a classification system.

NOTE 2 The term “examination standard” has been taken, and the definition has been adapted, from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 4.1.

## 6.15 [new] examination calibration

examination training

process that confers to one or more persons or to an examining system the capacity to provide values of a nominal property, and the examination reliability of each value, from specified examinations after having

## VIN, 4.1 examination standard

realization of the definition of a given nominal property, with stated nominal property value and associated examination uncertainty, used as a reference

EXAMPLE A given examined value for a bacterial taxon can be compared for equivalence with the stated nominal property value of an examination standard in the form of typical bacteria of the species.

Note to entry: A ‘realization of the definition of a given nominal property’ can be provided by an examining system or a nominal reference material.

## VIN, 4.3 examination calibration

process that confers to one or more persons or to a device the capacity to provide nominal property values from specified examinations after having examined one or more examination standards

examined one or more examination standards under specified conditions

EXAMPLE Training of examiners to perform an examination, for example by examining sets of cases with known tumour types, to achieve high degree of reproducibility between training and qualified examiners.

NOTE 1 An examining system to be calibrated can be a software system.

NOTE 2 The objective of examination calibration is to provide traceability of examination results obtained when using a calibrated examining system.

NOTE 2 The term “examination calibration” and the definition have been taken from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 4.3.

## 6.16 [new]

### examination traceability

property of an examination result whereby the result can be related to a reference through a documented unbroken chain of examination calibrations, each affecting the examination reliability

EXAMPLE 1 The examination result, which is the species *Pollachius virens*, is traceable to a database of fish species, as concluded from a comparison of the obtained DNA sequence from a sample of the fish with the DNA sequences in that database.

EXAMPLE 2 The **value**  $A_1$  for an erythrocyte antigen within the ABO system is traceable to the current classification of blood group systems as described by International Society of Blood Transfusion (ISBT) (<http://www.isbtweb.org/working-parties/red-cell-immunogenetics-and-blood-group-terminology>).

NOTE 1 The reference mentioned in this definition might be thought of in different ways. One type of reference is an agreed **reference set of nominal properties**. The reference could also be a specified **examination procedure**.

NOTE 2 The term “examination traceability” has been taken, and the definition has been

EXAMPLE Identifying a bird after having studied certified pictures and sounds.

Note to entry: Examination calibration differs from that of calibration in VIM3 2.39, because in examination calibration there is no explicit mention of ‘nominal indication’ and ‘examination uncertainty’.

## VIN, 3.21

### examination traceability

property of an examination result whereby it can be related to a reference through a documented unbroken chain of examination calibrations, each contributing to the examination uncertainty

Note to entry: An ISO Technical report (TR 79:2015) characterizes reference materials for “qualitative properties”.

adapted, from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 3.21.

## 6.17 [new]

### comparability of examination results

property of examination results, for nominal properties of a given kind, where the results are traceable to the same reference

EXAMPLE 1 Examination results for the colours of two different biological fluids are comparable when they are both traceable to the same reference system for colours, for example RAL colour standard or any other reference colour system.

EXAMPLE 2 Examination results belonging to the same blood group system are comparable.

NOTE The term “comparability of examination results” and the definition have been adapted from G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, *Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017)*, Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018, entry 3.22.

## VIN, 3.22

### examinational comparability of examination results

comparability of examination results, for nominal properties of a given kind-of-nominal-property, that are examined and traceable to the same reference

EXAMPLE Examination results for the colours of two different biological fluids are comparable when they are both traceable to the same colour chart.

## References

- EU Regulation 2017/746 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2017 on in vitro diagnostic medical devices
- IEC 60359:2001, Electrical and electronic measurement equipment – Expression of performance
- IEC 60050-300:2001, International Electrotechnical Vocabulary – Electrical and electronic measurements and measuring instruments
- IEC 60050-845:1987, International Electrotechnical Vocabulary – Lighting
- IEC 80000-13:2008, Quantities and units – Part 13: Information science and technology
- IFCC Scientific Division. Committee on Reference Systems for Enzymes (2002). Part 4. Reference procedure for the measurement of catalytic concentration of alanine aminotransferase. Clin. Chem. Lab. Med. 40(7): 718-724
- ILAC P-10:2002, ILAC policy on traceability of measurement results
- ISO Guide 99:1993, International vocabulary of basic and general terms in metrology (VIM), published in the name of BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ISO, IUPAC, IUPAP, and OIML, 2nd edn
- ISO 5436-2:2012, Geometrical product specifications (GPS) – Surface texture: Profile method; Measurement standards – Part 2: Software measurement standards
- ISO 5725 series of International Standards, Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results
- ISO 13528:2015, Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparison,
- ISO 10012:2003, Measurement management systems – Requirements for measurement processes and measuring equipment
- ISO 15189:2012, Medical laboratories – Requirements for quality and competence
- ISO 15193:2009, In vitro diagnostic medical devices – Measurement of quantities in samples of biological origin – Requirements for content and presentation of reference measurement procedures
- ISO 17025:2017, General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories
- ISO 17034:2016, General requirements for the competence of reference material producers
- ISO 17511:2003, In vitro diagnostic medical devices – Measurement of quantities in biological samples – Metrological traceability of values assigned to calibrators and control materials
- ISO 21749:2005, Measurement uncertainty for metrological applications – Repeated measurements and nested experiments
- ISO 80000 and IEC 80000 series of standards Quantities and units
- ISO Guide 30:2015, Reference materials – Selected terms and definitions
- ISO/TS 21748:2004, Guidance for the use of repeatability, reproducibility and trueness estimates in measurement uncertainty estimation



JCGM 100:2008, Evaluation of measurement data – Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement, and associated documents

JCGM 200:2012, International Vocabulary of Metrology – Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM), 3rd edn (2008 version with minor corrections), Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology

OIML International Vocabulary of Legal Metrology (VIML)

SI Brochure published by the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM) and available on the BIPM website

WHO Expert Committee on Biological Standardization, International standards for minimum potency of anti-A and anti-B blood grouping reagents, WHO/BS/06.2053, 2006

U. Magdal Petersen, R. Dybkaer, H. Olesen (2012). Properties and units in the clinical laboratory sciences. Part XXIII. The NPU terminology, principles, and implementation: A user's guide (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure Appl. Chem. 84(1): 137-165

H. Moch, P.A. Humphrey, T.M. Ulbright, V. Reuter, WHO Classification of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs, International Agency for Research on Cancer; Lyon, France, 2016

G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu, F. Pontet, Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017), Pure Appl. Chem., 1-23, 2018

## Table of Contents

<b>1 Quantities and units</b> .....	<b>5</b>	1.31 ordinal quantity <individual>.....	25
1.1 quantity <general>.....	5	1.32 ordinal scale.....	26
1.2 quantity <individual>.....	7	1.33 value of an ordinal quantity.....	26
kind of quantity.....	7	conventional reference scale.....	26
1.3 system of quantities.....	8	<b>2 Measurement</b> .....	<b>27</b>
1.4 base quantity.....	8	2.1 measurement.....	27
1.5 derived quantity.....	8	2.2 metrology.....	27
1.6 International System of Quantities.....	9	2.3 measurand.....	28
1.7 quantity dimension.....	9	2.4 measurement principle.....	29
1.8 quantity with unit one.....	11	2.5 measurement method.....	29
1.9 measurement unit.....	12	2.6 primary method of measurement.....	30
1.10 base unit.....	13	2.7 measurement procedure.....	30
1.11 derived unit.....	13	2.8 reference measurement procedure.....	31
1.12 coherent derived unit.....	13	2.9 primary reference measurement procedure.....	31
1.13 system of units.....	14	2.10 measurement result.....	32
1.14 coherent system of units.....	14	2.11 measured value of a quantity.....	32
1.15 off-system measurement unit.....	15	2.12 measurement model.....	33
1.16 International System of Units.....	15	2.13 measurement function.....	34
1.17 multiple of a unit.....	17	2.14 input quantity in a measurement model.....	34
1.18 submultiple of a unit.....	18	2.15 output quantity in a measurement model.....	34
1.19 measurement scale.....	18	2.16 influence quantity.....	35
1.20 value of a quantity.....	19	2.17 correction.....	35
1.21 numerical value of a quantity.....	20	<b>3 Measurement quality</b> .....	<b>37</b>
1.22 true value of a quantity.....	21	3.1 measurement uncertainty.....	37
1.23 conventional value of a quantity.....	22	3.2 definitional uncertainty.....	38
1.24 reference value of a quantity.....	22	3.3 instrumental measurement uncertainty.....	38
1.25 quantity calculus.....	23	3.4 Type A evaluation of measurement uncertainty.....	39
1.26 quantity equation.....	23	3.5 Type B evaluation of measurement uncertainty.....	39
1.27 unit equation.....	23	3.6 standard measurement uncertainty.....	40
1.28 conversion factor between units.....	24	3.7 combined standard measurement uncertainty.....	40
1.29 numerical value equation.....	24	3.8 relative standard measurement uncertainty.....	40
1.30 ordinal quantity <general>.....	25		

3.9 uncertainty budget.....	40	4.13 indication.....	55
3.10 target measurement uncertainty.....	41	4.14 blank indication.....	55
3.11 expanded measurement uncertainty.....	41	4.15 indication interval.....	56
3.12 coverage interval.....	41	4.16 nominal indication interval.....	56
3.13 coverage probability.....	42	range of a nominal indication interval.....	56
3.14 coverage factor.....	42	4.17 nominal value.....	57
3.15 measurement accuracy.....	43	4.18 measuring interval.....	57
3.16 measurement trueness.....	44	4.19 operating condition.....	58
3.17 measurement precision.....	44	4.20 rated operating condition.....	58
3.18 measurement error.....	45	4.21 limiting operating condition.....	58
3.19 systematic measurement error.....	46	4.22 reference operating condition.....	59
3.20 measurement bias.....	46	4.23 sensitivity.....	59
3.21 random measurement error.....	47	4.24 selectivity.....	60
3.22 repeatability condition of measurement.....	47	4.25 resolution.....	61
3.23 measurement repeatability.....	48	resolution of a displaying device.....	61
3.24 intermediate precision condition of measurement.....	48	4.26 discrimination threshold.....	61
3.25 intermediate measurement precision.....	48	4.27 dead band.....	62
3.26 reproducibility condition of measurement.....	49	4.28 detection limit.....	62
3.27 measurement reproducibility.....	49	4.29 stability.....	62
3.28 maximum permissible measurement error.....	49	4.30 instrumental bias.....	63
3.29 datum measurement error.....	50	4.31 instrumental drift.....	63
3.30 zero error.....	50	variation due to an influence quantity.....	63
3.31 null measurement uncertainty.....	50	4.32 step response time.....	63
<b>4 Measuring devices and their properties.....</b>	<b>51</b>	4.33 accuracy class.....	64
4.1 measuring instrument.....	51	<b>5 Measurement standards (etalons) and metrological traceability</b>	<b>65</b>
4.2 measuring system.....	51	5.1 measurement standard.....	65
4.3 indicating measuring instrument.....	52	5.2 international measurement standard.....	67
4.4 displaying measuring instrument.....	52	5.3 national measurement standard.....	67
4.5 scale of a displaying measuring instrument.....	52	5.4 primary measurement standard.....	67
4.6 material measure.....	52	5.5 secondary measurement standard.....	68
4.7 measuring transducer.....	53	5.6 reference measurement standard.....	69
4.8 sensor.....	53	5.7 working measurement standard.....	69
4.9 detector.....	53	5.8 travelling measurement standard.....	69
4.10 measuring chain.....	54	5.9 transfer measurement device.....	70
4.11 adjustment.....	54	5.10 intrinsic measurement standard.....	70
4.12 zero adjustment.....	54	5.11 conservation of a measurement standard.....	71

calibrator.....	71	<b>6 Nominal properties and examinations.....</b>	<b>83</b>
5.12 reference material.....	71	6.1 nominal property <general>.....	83
5.13 certified reference material.....	72	6.2 nominal property <individual>.....	84
5.14 certified value of a CRM.....	73	6.3 reference set of nominal properties.....	84
5.15 commutability of a reference material.....	74	6.4 value of a nominal property.....	84
5.16 reference data.....	74	6.5 examination of a nominal property.....	86
5.17 standard reference data.....	75	6.6 examination principle.....	87
5.18 calibration.....	75	6.7 examination method.....	88
5.19 calibration function.....	76	6.8 examination procedure.....	88
5.20 calibration diagram.....	76	6.9 examinand.....	89
5.21 calibration hierarchy.....	77	6.10 examination result.....	90
5.22 metrological traceability.....	77	6.11 examined value.....	91
5.23 metrological traceability chain.....	79	6.12 examination reliability.....	91
5.24 metrological traceability to a measurement unit.....	79	6.13 examining system.....	92
5.25 verification.....	79	6.14 examination standard.....	93
5.26 validation.....	80	6.15 examination calibration.....	93
5.27 metrological comparability of measurement results.....	80	6.16 examination traceability.....	94
5.28 metrological compatibility of measurement results.....	81	6.17 comparability of examination results.....	95

## Alphabetical Index

accuracy.....	43	coverage probability.....	<b>42</b>
accuracy class.....	<b>64</b>	CRM.....	72
adjustment.....	<b>54</b>	datum error.....	50
adjustment of a measuring system.....	54	datum measurement error.....	<b>50</b>
background indication.....	55	dead band.....	<b>62</b>
base quantity.....	<b>8</b>	definitional uncertainty.....	<b>38</b>
base unit.....	<b>13</b>	derived quantity.....	<b>8</b>
bias.....	46	derived unit.....	<b>13</b>
blank indication.....	<b>55</b>	detection limit.....	<b>62</b>
calibration.....	<b>75</b>	detector.....	<b>53</b>
calibration curve.....	76	dimension.....	9
calibration diagram.....	<b>76</b>	dimension of a quantity.....	9
calibration function.....	<b>76</b>	dimensionless quantity.....	11
calibration hierarchy.....	<b>77</b>	discrimination threshold.....	<b>61</b>
calibrator.....	69	displaying measuring instrument.....	<b>52</b>
certified reference material.....	<b>72</b>	error.....	45
certified value of a CRM.....	<b>73</b>	error at zero.....	50
classification.....	86	error of measurement.....	45
coherent derived unit.....	<b>13</b>	etalon.....	65
coherent system of units.....	<b>14</b>	examinand.....	<b>89</b>
coherent unit.....	13	examination.....	86
combined standard measurement uncertainty.....	<b>40</b>	examination calibration.....	<b>93</b>
combined standard uncertainty.....	40	examination confidence.....	91
commutability of a reference material.....	<b>74</b>	examination method.....	<b>88</b>
comparability of examination results.....	<b>95</b>	examination of a nominal property.....	<b>86</b>
conservation of a measurement standard.....	<b>71</b>	examination principle.....	<b>87</b>
conventional quantity value.....	22	examination procedure.....	<b>88</b>
conventional value.....	22	examination reliability.....	<b>91</b>
conventional value of a quantity.....	<b>22</b>	examination result.....	<b>90</b>
conversion factor between units.....	<b>24</b>	examination standard.....	<b>93</b>
correction.....	<b>35</b>	examination traceability.....	<b>94</b>
coverage factor.....	<b>42</b>	examination training.....	93
coverage interval.....	<b>41</b>	examined value.....	<b>91</b>

examining system.....	<b>92</b>	maximum permissible error.....	49
expanded measurement uncertainty.....	<b>41</b>	maximum permissible measurement error.....	<b>49</b>
expanded uncertainty.....	41	measurand.....	<b>28</b>
general nominal property.....	83	measured quantity value.....	32
general ordinal quantity.....	25	measured value.....	32
general quantity.....	5	measured value of a quantity.....	<b>32</b>
indicating measuring instrument.....	<b>52</b>	measurement.....	<b>27</b>
indication.....	<b>55</b>	measurement accuracy.....	<b>43</b>
indication interval.....	<b>56</b>	measurement bias.....	<b>46</b>
individual nominal property.....	84	measurement error.....	<b>45</b>
individual ordinal quantity.....	25	measurement function.....	<b>34</b>
individual quantity.....	7	measurement method.....	<b>29</b>
influence quantity.....	<b>35</b>	measurement model.....	<b>33</b>
input quantity.....	34	measurement precision.....	<b>44</b>
input quantity in a measurement model.....	<b>34</b>	measurement principle.....	<b>29</b>
instrumental bias.....	<b>63</b>	measurement procedure.....	<b>30</b>
instrumental drift.....	<b>63</b>	measurement repeatability.....	<b>48</b>
instrumental indication.....	55	measurement reproducibility.....	<b>49</b>
instrumental measurement uncertainty.....	<b>38</b>	measurement result.....	<b>32</b>
instrumental uncertainty.....	38	measurement scale.....	<b>18</b>
intermediate measurement precision.....	<b>48</b>	measurement standard.....	<b>65</b>
intermediate precision.....	48	measurement trueness.....	<b>44</b>
intermediate precision condition.....	48	measurement uncertainty.....	<b>37</b>
intermediate precision condition of measurement.....	<b>48</b>	measurement unit.....	<b>12</b>
international measurement standard.....	<b>67</b>	measuring chain.....	<b>54</b>
International System of Quantities.....	<b>9</b>	measuring instrument.....	<b>51</b>
International System of Units.....	<b>15</b>	measuring interval.....	<b>57</b>
intrinsic measurement standard.....	<b>70</b>	measuring system.....	<b>51</b>
intrinsic standard.....	70	measuring transducer.....	<b>53</b>
ISQ.....	9	method of measurement.....	29
kind of nominal property.....	83	metrological comparability.....	80
kind of quantity.....	5	metrological comparability of measurement results.....	<b>80</b>
limit of detection.....	62	metrological compatibility.....	81
limit of error.....	49	metrological compatibility of measurement results.....	<b>81</b>
limiting operating condition.....	<b>58</b>	metrological traceability.....	<b>77</b>
maintenance of a measurement standard.....	71	metrological traceability chain.....	79
material measure.....	<b>52</b>	metrological traceability to a measurement unit.....	<b>79</b>

metrological traceability to a unit.....	79	primary reference measurement procedure.....	31
metrology.....	27	primary reference procedure.....	31
model.....	33	primary standard.....	67
model of measurement.....	33	principle of measurement.....	29
MPE.....	49	quantity <general>.....	5
multiple of a unit.....	17	quantity <individual>.....	7
national measurement standard.....	67	quantity calculus.....	23
national standard.....	67	quantity dimension.....	9
nominal indication interval.....	56	quantity equation.....	23
nominal interval.....	56	quantity in the general sense.....	5
nominal property <general>.....	83	quantity value.....	19
nominal property <individual>.....	84	quantity with unit one.....	11
nominal property in the general sense.....	83	random error.....	47
nominal scale.....	84	random error of measurement.....	47
nominal value.....	57	random measurement error.....	47
nominal value of a quantity.....	57	rated operating condition.....	58
nominal value of a quantity of a measuring system.....	57	reading.....	55
null measurement uncertainty.....	50	reference condition.....	59
numerical quantity value.....	20	reference data.....	74
numerical quantity value equation.....	24	reference material.....	71
numerical value.....	20	reference measurement procedure.....	31
numerical value equation.....	24	reference measurement standard.....	69
numerical value of a quantity.....	20	reference operating condition.....	59
off-system measurement unit.....	15	reference procedure.....	31
off-system unit.....	15	reference quantity value.....	22
operating condition.....	58	reference set of nominal properties.....	84
ordinal quantity <general>.....	25	reference standard.....	69
ordinal quantity <individual>.....	25	reference value.....	22
ordinal quantity in the general sense.....	25	reference value of a quantity.....	22
ordinal scale.....	26	relative standard measurement uncertainty.....	40
output quantity.....	34	repeatability.....	48
output quantity in a measurement model.....	34	repeatability condition.....	47
precision.....	44	repeatability condition of measurement.....	47
primary measurement method.....	30	reproducibility.....	49
primary measurement standard.....	67	reproducibility condition.....	49
primary method.....	30	reproducibility condition of measurement.....	49
primary method of measurement.....	30	resolution.....	61

resolution of a measuring instrument.....	61	travelling measurement standard.....	<b>69</b>
result of measurement.....	32	travelling standard.....	69
RM.....	71	true quantity value.....	21
scale of a displaying measuring instrument.....	<b>52</b>	true value.....	21
secondary measurement standard.....	<b>68</b>	true value of a quantity.....	<b>21</b>
secondary standard.....	68	trueness.....	44
selectivity.....	<b>60</b>	Type A evaluation.....	39
selectivity of a measuring instrument.....	60	Type A evaluation of measurement uncertainty.....	<b>39</b>
sensitivity.....	<b>59</b>	Type B evaluation.....	39
sensitivity of a measuring instrument.....	59	Type B evaluation of measurement uncertainty.....	<b>39</b>
sensor.....	<b>53</b>	uncertainty.....	37
SI.....	15	uncertainty budget.....	<b>40</b>
stability.....	<b>62</b>	uncertainty of measurement.....	37
stability of a measuring instrument.....	62	unit.....	12
standard measurement uncertainty.....	<b>40</b>	unit equation.....	<b>23</b>
standard reference data.....	<b>75</b>	unit of measurement.....	12
standard uncertainty.....	40	validation.....	<b>80</b>
standard uncertainty of measurement.....	40	value <nominal property>.....	84
step response time.....	<b>63</b>	value <ordinal quantity>.....	26
submultiple of a unit.....	<b>18</b>	value <quantity>.....	19
system of quantities.....	<b>8</b>	value of a nominal property.....	<b>84</b>
system of units.....	<b>14</b>	value of a quantity.....	<b>19</b>
systematic error.....	46	value of an ordinal quantity.....	<b>26</b>
systematic error of measurement.....	46	verification.....	<b>79</b>
systematic measurement error.....	<b>46</b>	working interval.....	57
target measurement uncertainty.....	<b>41</b>	working measurement standard.....	<b>69</b>
target uncertainty.....	41	working standard.....	69
traceability chain.....	79	zero adjustment.....	<b>54</b>
transfer device.....	70	zero adjustment of a measuring system.....	54
transfer measurement device.....	<b>70</b>	zero error.....	<b>50</b>