



FORM 8A: COMMITTEE DECISION FOR DIS

| | |
|---|--|
| Secretariat CASCO | ISO/TC Enter Number /SC Enter Number N Click here to enter text. |
| Project number and title ISO/IEC 17030 Conformity assessment — General requirements for third-party marks of conformity | |

This form shall be submitted, by the secretariat of the committee or subcommittee concerned, to the ISO Central Secretariat when providing the necessary files for DIS (<http://isotc.iso.org/livelink/si/>).

| |
|--|
| The accompanying document is submitted for circulation to member body vote as DIS |
| Consensus has been obtained from the P-members of the committee Click here to enter text. <input type="checkbox"/> At the meeting of TC Enter Number. See Resolution number Enter Number. In document N Enter Number. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By ballot initiated on 2020-06-26 Please attach a copy of the ballot results (if applicable) |

Listing of the P-members (NWIP, CD or Resolution)

P-members in favour

Algeria (IANOR)
Argentina (IRAM)
Australia (SA)
Bahamas (BBSQ)
Barbados (BNSI)
Belarus (BELST)
Belgium (NBN)
Bolivia, Plurinational State of (IBNORCA)
Bosnia and Herzegovina (ISBIH)
Brazil (ABNT)
Bulgaria (BDS)
Colombia (ICONTEC)
Congo, The Democratic Republic of the (OCC)
Cuba (NC)
Dominica (DBOS)
Dominican Republic (INDOCAL)
Ecuador (INEN)
Egypt (EOS)
El Salvador (OSN)
Gambia (TGSB)
Ghana (GSA)
Greece (NQIS ELOT)
Guatemala (COGUANOR)
Honduras (OHN)
Hungary (MSZT)
India (BIS)
Ireland (NSAI)
Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST)
Korea, Republic of (KATS)
Kuwait (KOWSMD)
Mauritania (DNPQ)
Mexico (DGN)
Morocco (IMANOR)
Netherlands (NEN)
Niger (DNQM)
Panama (COPANIT)
Peru (INACAL)
Philippines (BPS)
Portugal (IPQ)
Qatar (QS)
Russian Federation (GOST R)
Rwanda (RSB)
Saint Kitts and Nevis (SKNBS)
Saint Lucia (SLBS)
Singapore (SSC)
South Africa (SABS)
Sudan (SSMO)
Tanzania, United Republic of (TBS)
Thailand (TISI)
Togo (ATN)
Trinidad and Tobago (TTBS)
Tunisia (INNORPI)
Uganda (UNBS)
Ukraine (DSTU)
Uruguay (UNIT)
Viet Nam (STAMEQ)
Zimbabwe (SAZ)
Austria (ASI)
Botswana (BOBS)
China (SAC)
Costa Rica (INTECO)
Czech Republic (UNMZ)

| |
|---|
| <p>Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Indonesia (BSN) Iran, Islamic Republic of (ISIRI) Italy (UNI) Jamaica (BSJ) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM) Mauritius (MSB) Poland (PKN) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV)</p> |
| <p>P-members voting against</p> <p>Canada (SCC)</p> |
| <p>P-members abstaining</p> <p>Antigua and Barbuda (ABBS) Chile (INN) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Finland (SFS) Haiti (BHN) Israel (SII) Latvia (LVS) Mali (AMANORM) Malta (MCCAA) Moldova, Republic of (ISM) New Zealand (NZSO) Norway (SN) Romania (ASRO) Serbia (ISS) Slovakia (UNMS SR) Spain (UNE) Sri Lanka (SLSI) Turkey (TSE) United Arab Emirates (ESMA) United Kingdom (BSI) United States (ANSI)</p> |
| <p>P-members who did not vote</p> <p>Belize (BZBS) Burundi (BBN) Eswatini (SWASA) Nicaragua (DNM) Saudi Arabia (SASO) Suriname (SSB)</p> |
| <p>Remarks</p> <p>Click here to enter text.</p> |

I hereby confirm that this draft meets the requirements of [Part 2 of the ISO/IEC Directives](#):

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Secretariat CASCO | Date 2020-11-25 | Name/Signature of TC/SC Committee Manager Sean MacCurtain |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| DE-240 | | Whole document | | te | The draft does not refer to marks and schemes applying to services or processes, e.g. according to ISO/IEC 17065. | Refer as well to marks and schemes applying to services and processes. | 1. Noted, referred in annex A and other parts and more generally ISO/IEC 17065 covers products, services and processes |
| IR 1-238 | | Whole document | | Ed | The header of each page in the current CD draft indicates the designation of the standard as ISO/IEC 17030:2003(E). There is a need to designate the draft at each stage of development appropriately, and indicate the correct year/date of circulation. | Designate the pages of the draft at each stage of development appropriately, and indicate the correct year/date of circulation. | 2. Noted, ISO editors |
| DE-239 | | Whole document | | ed | Follow ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 for usage of normative verbs, i.e. of "may". | Check usage of "may" throughout the document, especially in Annex A. | 3. Noted, ISO editors |
| CZ-016 | | | whole document | ed | Make sure that the word "standard" is not written with its first letter as a capital letter (especially Annex A) | | 4. Noted, ISO editors |
| CA-002 | | | | ge | some sections in the draft relate more to scheme requirements than to mark guidance - and that may limit scheme flexibility or the usability of this document with a particular scheme. | | 5. Noted, no proposal |
| US-006 | | | | Ge | The current scope of this document is too limited. In addition to third-party marks of conformity, first- and second- party marks/markings should be considered in this Standard. | | 6. Noted, a NWIP has been submitted at the time of the meeting and will be balloted to CASCO members. WG to wait for ballot results |
| IR 2-212 | | Foreword | Para 5 | Ge | The Foreword of this standard shall duly state that ISO/IEC 17030:2003 has been technically revised and this second edition cancels and replaces the First edition. | Add the following paragraphs after the fifth paragraph: | 7. Agreed, see 8 |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | <p>Meanwhile, the Foreword shall duly state that ISO/IEC Guide 23:1982 and ISO Guide 27:1983 have been taken into account during the revision of this standard and these guides have been withdrawn following the publication of this standard.</p> <p>Furthermore, the main changes compared to the previous edition are preferably to be stated in the Foreword.</p> | <p>This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO/IEC 17030:2003), which has been technically revised.</p> <p>ISO/IEC Guide 23:1982 and ISO Guide 27:1983 have been taken into account during the revision of this standard and these guides have been withdrawn following the publication of this standard.</p> <p>The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:</p> | |
| MU-013 | | | Foreword | GE | <p>To be in line with ISO/IEC Directive, Part 2 and for consistency with other ISO standards, the proposed changes are recommended.</p> <p>This new edition will also replace ISO/IEC Guide 23 when published. Thus it has to be mentioned in the foreword,</p> | <p>To include the following before the last sentence.</p> <p>This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition ISO/IEC 17030:2003 and ISO/IEC Guide 23, which has been technically revised.</p> <p>The main changes compared to the previous edition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Annex A (informative) has been included as guidance for the use of third-party marks of conformity issued based on a defined object of conformity through conformity assessment standards; - Annex B (informative) has been added to provide some examples of possible misuse of third-party marks of conformity. <p>Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.</p> | 8. Partially agreed see new wording |
| TICC-213 | | INTRO | 1st Par | Ge | <p>The original content of 17030 was adopted from far earlier ISO CASCO documents. At the time of those earlier CASCO documents the concept of a conformity assessment scheme as the source for the specific form of the statement of conformity (see ISO/IEC 17000:2020 7.3 Note 1 and A.4.1) did not exist. A "mark" is one type of statement of conformity and a conformity assessment scheme</p> | <p>. . . is to enable a uniform approach to the use of third-party marks of conformity by conformity assessment schemes, to fill relevant gaps in existing ISO, IEC and ISO/IEC Standards, to address potential problems . . .</p> | 9. Not agreed, would add confusion |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | <p>by definition will identify the characteristics of the mark and the associated rules and procedures for the issuance of the mark. (See ISO/IEC 17000:2020 4.9 – “rules and procedures that . . . provides the methodology for performing conformity assessment”. See ISO/IEC 17000:2020 7.3 – “issue of a statement . . .” is type of conformity assessment activity. Thus, a conformity assessment scheme by definition sets rules and procedures for both the form of the statement of conformity and its issuance).</p> <p>As a result, by definition, ISO/IEC 17030 applies to conformity assessment scheme owners.</p> <p>ISO/IEC 17030 does not fill relevant gaps in other ISO CASCO standards since no other ISO CASCO standards set requirements for conformity assessment schemes.</p> | | |
| DK-1-224 | | Introduction | 1st Par | Ge | It is the conformity assessment scheme which sets the rules and procedures for the form of the statement of conformity. Therefore it is not clear which gaps it is that ISO/IEC 17030 fill. | <p>Add “conformity assessment schemes” and delete the text related to the gaps as follows:</p> <p>The prime purpose of this document is to enable a uniform approach to the use of third-party marks of conformity, by conformity assessment schemes, to fill relevant gaps in existing ISO, IEC, ISO/IEC Standards and Guides, to address potential problems arising from different uses of third-party marks of conformity, to provide a clear and rational basis for their use, and to set out general requirements.</p> | 10. See 9 |
| DE-225 | | Introduction | 2nd para | ed | Grammar | <p>Read:</p> <p>“...used on a product convey to the user that the product fulfils the requirements...”</p> | 11. agreed |
| DK-2-226 | | Introduction | 2nd paragraph , last sentence, 3rd paragraph and its bullets | Ge | It seems as all the questions raised in- and issues to be addressed by the dashed sentences under the 3rd paragraph are fully covered by publications like ISO/IEC 17000:2020, 17065:2012 and 17067:2013. | <p>Delete the 3rd paragraph and its dashed sentences as follows:</p> <p>In order to achieve this, the following questions should be considered and addressed:</p> | 12. Not agreed, not necessary |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What is the object of conformity assessment, e.g. by certification? - Which conformity assessment body is providing the third-party mark of conformity? - Who requires the third-party conformity assessment activity? - Why is this third-party mark of conformity required? - How is the information of conformity best transmitted to the interested parties, e.g. customers, users, government authorities? | |
| TICC-215 | | INTRO | 2nd Par | Ge | For consistency with ISO/IEC 17000:2020 | . . . convey to the user that the products are covered by a demonstration of fulfilment of specified fulfils the requirements for . . . | 13. Not agreed, current wording OK |
| TICC-216 | | INTRO | 2nd Par | Ge | For consistency with Scope NOTE 2 | Marks of conformity have different uses and can take different forms <u>in various media</u> such as employing . . . | 14. agreed |
| IR 5-228 | | Introduction | Para 2 | Te | In the 2nd sentence of this paragraph, there is an expression regarding “the conformity to specified requirements” of certain objects of conformity assessment activity “Certification”, which also includes “organization”. Whereas, organizations can only be objects of conformity assessment activity “Accreditation”, this needs to be clarified in this sentence. | Add the expression “(in case of accreditation)” after the term “organization” at the end of 2nd sentence of this paragraph. | 15. Not agreed, can cause confusion |
| FR-229 | page 6 | Introduction, 2nd § | Marks of conformity... | ge | Terms “consumers” and “purchasers”. | Replace the term “consumers” with the term “purchasers” (=2 occurrences) since trust does not only apply to consumers that have little knowledge of marking procedures, but it also applies to professional purchasers, whether informed or not informed, that need clarification too. | 16. Not agreed, not needed, purchaser include consumer |
| IT-227 | - | Introduction | 3rd par 3rd indent | te | Technical correction. | Modify indent as follows: "[...]" | 17. Partially agreed, see new wording |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | According to recent ISO/IEC 17000, the object of conformity assessment is an "entity to which specified requirements apply". EXAMPLE Product, process, service, system, installation, project, data, design, material, claim, person, body or organization, or any combination thereof. | - What is the object of conformity assessment, e.g. by certification a quality management system? [...]" | |
| TICC-219 | | INTRO | Last Bullet Points | | For consistency with ISO/IEC 17000:2020 ("object of conformity assessment" now defined). Also, the original content of 17030 was adopted from far earlier ISO CASCO documents. At the time of those earlier CASCO documents the concept of a conformity assessment scheme as the source for the specific form of the statement of conformity (see ISO/IEC 17000:2020 7.3 Note 1 and A.4.1) did not exist. A "mark" is one type of statement of conformity and a conformity assessment scheme by definition will identify the characteristics of the mark and the associated rules and procedures for the issuance of the mark. (See ISO/IEC 17000:2020 4.9 – "rules and procedures that . . . provides the methodology for performing conformity assessment". See ISO/IEC 17000:2020 7.3 – "issue of a statement . . ." is type of conformity assessment activity. Thus, a conformity assessment scheme by definition sets rules and procedures for both the form of the statement of conformity and its issuance). | What is the object of conformity assessment, e.g. certification ? Which conformity assessment body <u>scheme</u> is providing the third-party mark of conformity? How is the information of conformity <u>attestation</u> best transmitted . . . | 18. Agreed for 1 st proposal (see 17) Not agreed for 2 nd proposal, not agreed for 3 rd proposal |
| SE-221 | | Introduction | | Ge | Often the Introduction contains a short history of the document. When was it first published? Why has it been revised etc.? We find this type of information useful. | Include short history of the standard. | 19. partially agreed, covered in the foreword |
| SE-222 | | Introduction | | Ge | The raison d'être for this document is to replace the corresponding requirements in other CASCO standards. If this does not happen, this standard will serve no purpose. Experience has shown that | Include paragraph in the Introduction saying that the intention with the work to develop ISO/IEC 17030 is that other CASCO standards, referring to the use of third-party marks of recognition, should include a normative reference to ISO/IEC 17030 | 20. Not agreed, this is not allowed |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | just hoping this will result, is not likely to be enough. | and not develop individual requirements in this area. | |
| JP1-223 | | Introduction | | ed | The annex shall be referred to in the text. (ref. ISO/IEC directives part 2 20.2) | Add the below to the last part of Introduction. 'Annex A provides guidance for the use of third-party marks of conformity.' | 21. partially agreed, covered in the foreword and reference added in new NOTE under clause 5 |
| SE-230 | | List of contents | | Ed | The Introduction is missing from the list. | Make correction. | 22. Noted, ISO Editor |
| IT-231 | - | New sub-clause | - | te | The following paragraph has been deleted "A.7.1.4. Management systems certification marks shall not be applied by certified organization (authorized user of the mark) to laboratory test, calibration or inspection reports or certificates." We deem that is necessary to reintroduce the concept. | Add the following new paragraph (i.e. sub-clause): Management systems certification marks shall not be applied by certified organization (authorized user of the mark) to laboratory test, calibration or inspection reports or certificates. | 23. Not agreed |
| IT-232 | - | New sub-clause | - | te | The following paragraph has been deleted "A.7.1.1 A management system Certification Mark shall not be displayed on a product, product packaging or in any way that may be interpreted as denoting product conformity or product certification." We deem that is necessary to reintroduce the concept. | Add the following new paragraph (i.e. sub-clause): A management system Certification Mark shall not be displayed on a product, product packaging, in any way that may be interpreted as denoting product conformity or product certification. | 24. Not agreed |
| FR-233 | page 8 | Scope | Note 4 | ge | Classification / Mark of conformity. | Be more specific about the term "classification". Can classification be a third-party mark of conformity? | 25. Not agreed |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| FR-234 | page 8 | Scope | Note 4 | ge | Further detail to be given. | ..., logos other than those representing a third-party mark of conformity... | 26. Not agreed, logo is not a mark |
| KEBS /KE-235 | Annex A.1 | Second sentence NOTE last sentence | | Editorial | He or His is gender insensitive | He/she His/hers | 27. agreed |
| IR 3-236 | | Table of Contents Line 20 | | Te | There exists no Proficiency testing mark (PT Mark) as such. This sub-clause relates to the Accreditation Marks (Symbols) appearing on the Accreditation Certificates granted to the accredited Proficiency Testing Providers (PTPs). PTPs are only authorized to use PTP Accreditation Mark (PTPA) in their publicity materials and on their Proficiency Testing reports and they do not authorize. Laboratories participating in Proficiency Testing to use this mark. Hence, laboratories do not have the right to use this mark in their publicity materials and on the issued test reports. Likewise, manufacturers as well as providers of services and processes are not entitled to use this mark in their publicity materials, on their products and issued documents. Therefore, the title of this sub-clause needs to be modified as proposed. | Read the title of this sub-clause as follows: B.4 Proficiency testing provider accreditation marks (PTPA Mark) | 28. editorial |
| IR 4-237 | | Table of Contents Line 21 | | Te | The current title of this sub-clause i.e. "Accreditation marks" is a general term denoting the marks used in various accreditation programmes related to laboratories, certification bodies, inspection bodies, etc. The content of this sub-clause specifically relates to accredited Management System Certification Bodies. Hence, the title of this sub-clause needs to be modified as proposed. | Read the title of this sub-clause as follows: B.5 Management system certification bodies accreditation marks (MSCBA mark) | 29. editorial |
| CZ - 014 | | | Normative references | ed | the title of standard ISO/IEC 17000 should be written in italics | <i>Conformity assessment — Vocabulary and general principles</i> | 30. Noted ISO Editor |

¹ MB = Member body / NC = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| CZ-015 | | | Table of Contents | ed | Insert "Foreword" and "Introduction" into the Contents | Insert 2 new lines before 1 Scope... Foreword..... Introduction..... | 31. Noted ISO Editor |
| CN-017 | | 1 | | Ge | Add a NOTE 5 about combined marks | Add "NOTE 5 : When applicable, the third-party mark of conformity may also be a combined mark, e.g. combined certification mark composed of certification mark and accreditation mark, combined accreditation mark composed of accreditation mark and international mutual recognition mark." The above text is based on 4.3.3 in ISO/IEC 17011, "4.3.3 The accreditation body shall have a policy governing the use of the accreditation symbol and claims of accreditation status. This policy shall specify as a minimum: a) requirements for the use and monitoring of the accreditation symbol in combination with any conformity assessment body mark;" | 32. Not agreed, combination of mark is not a mark but 2 or more marks |
| TICC-095 | | 1 Scope | | Ge | The original content of 17030 was adopted from far earlier ISO CASCO documents. At the time of those earlier CASCO documents the concept of a conformity assessment scheme as the source for the specific form of the statement of conformity (see ISO/IEC 17000:2020 7.3 Note 1 and A.4.1) did not exist. A "mark" is one type of statement of conformity and a conformity assessment scheme by definition will identify the characteristics of the mark and the associated rules and procedures for the issuance of the mark. (See ISO/IEC 17000:2020 4.9 – "rules and procedures that . . . provides the methodology for performing conformity assessment". See ISO/IEC 17000:2020 7.3 – "issue of a statement . . ." is type of conformity assessment activity. Thus, a conformity assessment scheme by definition sets rules and procedures for both the form of the statement of conformity and its issuance). | Add additional sentences in the scope to be consistent with ISO/IEC 17000:2020: "Rules and procedures for the form and issuance of third-party marks of conformity are part of conformity assessment schemes (see ISO/IEC 17000:2020 4.9). As a result, this document provides requirements for conformity assessment scheme owners to impose on themselves." | 33. Not agreed, scheme issues are beyond the scope |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | <p>As a result, by definition, ISO/IEC 17030 applies to conformity assessment scheme owners.</p> <p>By definition there are no known market or regulatory entities that can impose requirements on conformity assessment schemes. Any entity that has that authority meets the definition of scheme owner since being able to impose requirements or any other restrictions on a scheme is only possible by having responsibility for the development and maintenance of the scheme (ISO/IEC 17000:2020 4.13). Per the ISO/IEC 17000:2020 definition of owner there can be NO authority above a scheme owner.</p> <p>As a result, ISO/IEC 17030 provides requirements that only a conformity assessment scheme owner can impose on itself. This makes sense especially in the situation where the conformity assessment scheme owner is regulatory authority.</p> | | |
| PL- 018 | | 1 | | te | <p>As stated in "Introduction; the purpose of the document is to fill relevant gaps in existing ISO, IEC, ISO/IEC Standards and Guides on the use of third-party marks of conformity. It is not clear if the standard refers also to such areas as: validation, verification, testing, calibration, reference material production, PT providers since they are also understood as conformity assessment activity. The corresponding standards are not referenced in Bibliography.</p> | Supplement Introduction, NOTE in Scope and Bibliography accordingly for clarification. | 34. Not agreed, scope clear enough |
| PL- 019 | | 1 | | te | <p>This document provides general requirements for third-party marks of conformity, including their issue and use. In the standards for CABs (ISO/IEC 17065, ISO/IEC 17021-1), the reference to ISO 17030 is only in the NOTE and according to them it is not a requirement. Other standards</p> | Change status into guidance or make references in relevant standards series 17000. | 35. Not agreed, justification not applicable |

¹ **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | | | | containing general requirements for CABs do not refer nor to conformity assessment marks nor ISO/IEC 17030 (e.g. ISO/IEC 17020, ISO/IEC 17024). | | |
| JP2- 020 | | 1 | | te | <p>It is not clear that the mark in ISO/IEC 17029 is excluded from the scope of this standard.</p> <p>We believe it is necessary to describe this boundary clearly in 17030 to avoid the confusion in the operating V/V programs according to 17029, considering the following.</p> <p>1) 17029 has requirements for the use of marks, and it is indicating that the mark may be used in the V/V programmes based on 17029. It is natural to refer to 17030 for use of marks within the CASCO tool box. It will definitely make confusion if 17030 does not state that the mark in 17029 is not covered in the scope.</p> | Add NOTE 5 as below This document does not cover marks referred to in ISO/IEC 17029. | 36. partially agreed see new wording |
| MU- 032 | | 1 | Scope | Ge | <p>Notes are used for giving additional information intended to assist the understanding or use of the text of the document. The document shall be usable without the notes and notes 2, 3 and 4 are conveying important information on the applicability of the standard. Thus, they should not appear as notes</p> | <p>This document provides general requirements for third-party marks of conformity, including their issue and use.</p> <p>NOTE 1 Third-party marks of conformity according to this document include symbols of recognition such as accreditation symbols. For consistency of terminology in this document they are referred to as accreditation marks.</p> <p>NOTE-2 This document covers third-party marks of conformity issued and used in different forms and media such as employing QR codes, public ledger technology (e.g. blockchain) or other electronic means.</p> | 37. Partially agreed see new text |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | <p>NOTE 3 This document can also be used as guidance in using marks of conformity in other than third-party conformity assessment activity.</p> <p>NOTE 4 This document does not apply to markings, which provide indication of a designation, a code, or a classification only. Furthermore, it does not apply to graphic representations (e.g. of conformity assessment systems or schemes/programmes), logos (e.g. association of accreditation bodies and association of conformity assessment bodies).</p> | |
| DK-3-021 | | 1 | | Ge | It is the conformity assessment scheme which sets the rules and procedures for the form of the statement of conformity. The scope does not reflect that it shall provide requirements for scheme owners. | <p>Clarify that the scope applies to scheme owners:</p> <p>This document provides general requirements for <u>conformity assessment scheme owners that make use of third-party marks of conformity, including their issue and use.</u></p> | 38. Not agreed, this document applies to mark |
| PL-023 | | 1 | Note 1 | te | ISO/IEC 17011 comprehensively regulates the use of accreditation symbols (section 4.3 of the standard). Moreover the draft makes confusion between accreditation symbols and conformity assessment marks issued by accredited bodies. | Exclude accreditation symbols from the scope of the standard | 39. Not agreed, decided by WG at the 1 st meeting |
| IR 6-024 | | 1 | Note 1 Note 2 | Te | Reference is made to environmental aspects in the sub-clause 5.1 and the Bibliography includes a relevant item, i.e. ISO 14024. If there is an intention to include environmental third party conformity marks (Environmental Labels), then a new Note relating to Environmental Labels needs to be added between present Notes 1 and 2 and all the notes shall be re-numbered accordingly as proposed. | <p>Add a new Note relating to Environmental Labels between present Notes 1 and 2 as follows and re-number all the notes accordingly:</p> <p>NOTE 2 This document covers Environmental Labels as third-party marks of conformity described in. ISO 14024. For consistency of terminology in this document these labels are referred to as marks.</p> | 40. Not agreed, current wording adequate |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| DE-025 | | 1 | Note 1 | te | Consider adding either in Note 1 of scope or additional note to definition (3.1) on varying terms for marks: logo, symbol, mark. | Relate these terms or explain the differences. | 41. Agreed |
| DE-026 | | 1 | Note 2 | te | ISO/IEC 22603-1 defines requirements for digital representation of product information. ISO 22739 defines vocabulary for blockchain etc. | Please align with those projects regarding “different forms and media” of marks according to ISO/IEC 17030. Check if alignment with other standards/terminology is required. Consider adding ISO/IEC 22603-1 and ISO 22739 to the bibliography. | 42. agreed see new wording and partially agreed to add ISO/IEC 22603-1 in bibliography |
| US-027 | | 1 | NOTE 2 | te | It is not clear as written that the reference is to first- or second-party CA. | Add to the end of the sentence: NOTE 3 This document can also be used as guidance in using marks of conformity in other than third-party conformity assessment activity (<u>i.e., first- or second-party conformity assessment activity</u>). | 43. Not agreed, current wording sufficient |
| IT-028 | - | 1 | Note 4 | ed | Editorial correction (i.e. missing preposition). | Modify note as follows: <i>"Furthermore, it does not apply to graphic representations (e.g. of conformity assessment systems or schemes/programmes), logos (e.g. of association of accreditation bodies and association of conformity assessment bodies)."</i> | 44. agreed |
| TICC-096 | | 1 Scope | NOTE 4 | Ge | A note indicating only SOME of the items not covered by the document is confusing. The combination of this document and ISO/IEC 17000:2020 would seem to provide enough clarity about the scope of the document without a specific Note about what is NOT covered | Delete NOTE 4 | 45. not agreed, needed |
| CN-029 | 2 | 1 | NOTE 4 | Ge | The term “graphic representation” alone can be misleading and then in conflict with section 3.1. | “graphic representations like those of conformity assessment systems or schemes/programmes (e.g. IEC)” | 46. not agreed, not needed |
| IR 7-030 | | 1 | Note 4 | Te | As per Note 4 of this document, it does not apply to logos of associations of accreditation bodies and associations of conformity assessment bodies. | Read the last part of Note 4 as follows: | 47. Not agreed |

¹ MB = Member body / NC = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | However, as per the last sentence of clause A.3.2 of ISO/IEC 17000:2020, which states: “Thus, a “peer assessment system” is a conformity assessment system that includes peer assessment as the determination activity.”, the exclusion of the logos of the above-mentioned associations, (which are certainly considered as types of 3rd party conformity marks) from the scope of this standard is not correct since the right for their use on certificates and relevant publications is granted in result of 3rd party conformity assessment. Hence, this exclusion needs to be removed from Note 4. |, it does not apply to graphic representations (e.g. of conformity assessment systems or schemes/programmes). | |
| BOBS-033 | Line 1 | 2 | First para | Ge | Reference is to one document. | Delete 's' in the word 'documents' to make the word singular. | 48. editorial |
| MU-034 | | 3 | 03.1 – 3.3 | Ed | To delete the full stop at the end of the definition, as per the rule set in ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2. The definition should replace the term in the text. | To delete the full stop at the end of the definition. | 49. Noted, ISO editor |
| CZ-035 | | 3.1 | | ed | correct format of the Notes (1 and 2). The word “Note” should not be written in capital letters | Note 1 to entry: | 50. Noted, ISO editor |
| TICC-036 | | 3.1 | | Te | For consistency with ISO/IEC 17000:2020 and related concept diagrams in PPT. Only individual activities (like attestation) are first, second and third party per 17000. There are no “types” of conformity assessment – no first, second or third-party conformity assessment. | . . . protected mark issued by a body performing third-party conformity assessment attestation indicating that an object of conformity assessment is in conformity with is covered by a demonstration of fulfilment of specified requirements | 51. Not agreed |
| JP4-037 | | 3.1 | | ed | Simplify and align terminology with 17000. | Change 'is in conformity with' to 'fulfills' “...indicating that an object of conformity assessment fulfills specified requirements.” | 52. agreed |
| JP3-038 | | 3.1 | | te | “Protected” means only legally protected against unauthorized use shown in NOTE or wider including “legally protected”? | Change 'protected mark' to 'legally protected mark against unauthorized use' in definition and delete NOTE 1 | 53. Not agreed, current wording OK |

1 MB = Member body / NC = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | If the former interpretation is correct, the term 'legally protected mark' is more clear. | legally protected mark against unauthorized use, issued by a body performing third-party conformity assessment and indicating that an object of conformity assessment is in conformity with specified requirements. or mark issued by a body performing third-party conformity assessment and legally protected against unauthorized use, indicating that an object of conformity assessment is in conformity with specified requirements. | |
| DE-039 | | 3.1 | | ge | Clause 5.5 refers to the concept of "all specified requirements" as opposed to a selection. Please consider to clarify this concept with the definition. | Read: "...in conformity with the applicable specified requirements" | 54. agreed |
| ID-040 | | 3.1 | | te | The definition of third party mark of conformity: protected mark issued by a body performing third-party conformity assessment , indicating that an object of conformity assessment is in conformity with specified requirements. Need to clarify on the definition of third party mark of conformity, in particular the body who issues the conformity mark. The issuer should not be confined to CAB but may be extended to other types of organization (government, association, NGO, etc.) such as those who own a certification/conformity assessment scheme. | protected mark issued by a body based on the result of third-party conformity assessment , indicating that an object of conformity assessment is in conformity with specified requirements. | 55. Not agreed, redundant |
| CH-1-042 | | 3.1 | Note 2 | Ge | The term "regulation" is not defined and provides a broad range of interpretation. It would be helpful to add a definition or to a reference to a current example in a NOTE. To distinguish the meaning of regulations from standards and technical specifications there is an example for an issuer in Germany complying with regulations for textiles concerning specific social and ecological requirements - "Grüne Knopf" (green button) | Be more specific in the note or add an additional note. | 56. Noted, no suggestion |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | [https://www.dakks.de/content/der-„grüne-knopf“-das-staatliche-siegel-für-nachhaltige-textilien] | | |
| CR- 043 | | 3.2 | | te | Specify examples of person or type of organizations that can be owner of a third-party mark of conformity for more clarity. 3.2 owner of a third-party mark of conformity person or organization that has legal rights to a third-party mark of conformity. | 3.2 <i>owner of a third-party mark of conformity person or organization that has legal rights to a third-party mark of conformity.</i> <i>NOTE 1</i> to entry: eg owner of third-party mark of conformity can be a scheme owners public or private, CAB. | 57. Not agreed |
| JP5- 044 | | 3.3 | NOTE | ed | The sentence can be modified for readability. | “The issuer may not be the owner of the third-party mark of conformity. It may also be authorized to sub-licence other bodies.” | 58. Not agreed |
| TICC- 045 | | 3.4 | NEW | Te | Need a definition for product conformity assessment scheme (term used in 5.6) that is consistent with ISO/IEC 17000:2020 | 3.4 product conformity assessment scheme conformity assessment scheme in which the object(s) of conformity are only products OR a conformity assessment scheme that is applied only to assess the conformity of one or more products against specific requirements. | 59. Not agreed |
| US- 046 | | 3.4 | NEW | | Need a definition for product conformity assessment scheme (term used in 5.6) that is consistent with ISO/IEC 17000:2020 | 3.4 product conformity assessment scheme conformity assessment scheme in which the object(s) of conformity are only products | 60. See 59 |
| BSJ- 048 | | 4.2 c | | ed | Rephrase “take action against their use in a misleading way” | Replace with “take action against their misuse” | 61. Not agreed |
| CH-2- 049 | | 4.2 c) | | Ge | Where simultaneous use of marks of conformity is restricted an informative NOTE should emphasize that criteria might exist based upon applicable national regulations which clearly prohibits the use of, for example a conformity assessment CE-mark next to a third-party mark (GS-mark) in Germany. https://www.zlg.de/index.php?eID=dumpFile&t=f& | Add a corresponding note | 62. Not agreed |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | | | | =485&token=8b54932b80f78a191756e7d51fc04adefa87f5fa] | | |
| BSJ- 050 | | 4.2 e | | ed | Delete the “s” from misuses | “take action to resolve misuse” | 63. agreed |
| FR- 047 | page 9 | 4.2 | Line e) | ge | Further information to be included in the general requirements. | In the event of actual misuse by a mark holder resulting in unfair competition, the owner/issuer can fix stricter rules pertaining to the readmission to the mark with regard to an initial request. For instance: a) auditing the rules of professional ethics. b) staff awareness (more particularly sales departments) as to proper use of the mark... c) making sure that the deceived customers have been informed of the delivery of non-compliant products whereas the said products had been marketed with a certification mark, etc. | 64. not agreed |
| CZ- 051 | | 5 | Third-party marks of conformity and their use | ed | Check numbering of the clauses (5.7...5.9) 5.8 is missing | cl. 5.9 renumber – 5.8 | 65. agreed |
| IR 8- 052 | | 5.1 | | Te | The sub-clause 5.1 giving examples of aspects covered by the third party conformity marks mentions also environmental aspects, while nowhere in this draft other than the Bibliography (ISO 14024, Environmental Labels) there is a single reference to third party conformity marks relating to environmental aspects. Hence, if there is an intention to include environmental third party conformity marks relevant provisions need to be described in the document accordingly, otherwise, the reference to environmental aspects and also the Bibliography item related to ISO 14024 need to be removed from this document/ | Either include relevant provisions regarding environmental third party conformity marks and adequately describe them in the document accordingly, otherwise, remove the reference to environmental aspects in the sub-clause 5.1 as well as the Bibliography item related to ISO 14024. | 66. Not agreed |
| MB- 053 | 5 | 5.1 | Third-party marks of | ed | The sentence is confusing. We proposed for the sentence to be changed | We suggest it to read as Third-party marks of conformity, or any accompanying information and the publicly available be deleted. | 67. Partially agreed see new wording |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | conformity and their use | | | | |
| MB- 054 | 5 | 5.2 | Third-party marks of conformity and their use | ed | Rearrange the information by moving clause | Rearrange the information by moving clause 5.3 to be 5.2 and 5.2 be 5.3 as 5.3 explains what is said in 5.1. so it will be better if it will after 5.1. | 68. Partially agreed, see reordering |
| MB- 055 | 5 | 5.3 | Third-party marks of conformity and their use | ed | Rearrange the information by moving clause | Rearrange the information by moving clause 5.3 to be 5.2 and 5.2 be 5.3 as 5.3 explains what is said in 5.1. so it will be better if it will after 5.1. | 69. See 68 |
| CA- 056 | | 5.4 | | | In many cases it is not possible to relate a 3 rd party mark to the specified requirements without the use of identifiers. | Current Wording: A third-party mark of conformity shall be traceable to the specified requirements to which the object of conformity assessment conforms. Change to: A third-party mark of conformity <u>should</u> shall be traceable to the specified requirements to which the object of conformity assessment conforms. Where this is not possible, consideration should be given to adding additional information to support traceability. | 70. Not agreed |
| CA- 058 | | 5.5 | | | In product certification it is common to certify products as components – requiring installation or combination with other components into a final assembly before the combination of components meets all requirements of a specified standard. It is also common for a CB to evaluate only 1 particular aspect of a product, and another CAB evaluate another aspect. (for example, safety, or EMC) | Current wording: A third-party mark of conformity shall be used only where it relates to all the specified requirements and not to selected sections or characteristics. Delete: A third-party mark of conformity shall be used only where it relates to all the specified requirements and not to selected sections or characteristics. | 71. Partially agreed, see new wording |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| IT-060 | - | 5.5 | - | te | Technical improvement (i.e. the meaning of the sentence is not clear, with specific reference to "sections"). | Modify sub-clause as follows: <i>"A third-party mark of conformity shall be used only where it relates to all the specified requirements and not to selected sections or set of characteristics."</i> | 72. See 71 |
| SE-061 | | 5.6 | | Te | ISO/IEC 17065 does not put different requirements for physical products and services. We cannot see any good reason why you could not mark a service for compliance, except for practical considerations, which there are ways to overcome. | Consider deleting "and services" in the 2 nd sentence. | 73. Not agreed |
| AT-004 | 5.6 | | | te | A third-party mark of conformity shall never be allowed to be fixated to any product, as this would imply, that the specific product has been tested. This is definitively not the case in product conformity assessment schemes, as only samples may have been tested (if at all), hence the users will be misled in the belief, that the spcific product has been tested. | Delete 5.7 in its entirety | 74. Not agreed to deleted 5.6 |
| AT-005 | 5.6 | | | te | A third-party mark of conformity shall never be allowed to be fixated to any product, as this would imply, that the specific product has been tested. This is definitively not the case in product conformity assessment schemes, as only samples may have been tested (if at all), hence the users will be misled in the belief, that the spcific product has been tested. | Amend 5.6 to: No third-party makrs of conformity may be displayed on a product, product packaging or in a way that may be interpretes as denoting product conformity.conformity- | 75. Not agreed, current text is OK |
| CA-062 | | 5.6 | | | In some cases manufacturers may want to demonstrate that the product was manufactured under a registered management system. There may also be cases where conformance of the product is based on management systems certification. With so many product certification schemes in existence, it is unclear why this limitation exists. Example: A product or product packaging may include a product certification mark, as well as a sustainability mark for the manufacturing process. | Delete: Only a third-party mark of conformity issued in accordance with product conformity assessment scheme may be displayed on the product or product packaging. All other third-party marks of conformity, such as those relating to management systems and services, shall not be displayed on a product, product packaging, or in a way that may be interpreted as denoting product conformity. | 76. Not agreed |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
| DE-063 | | 5.6 | | te | Avoid ambiguity with the application of ISO/IEC 17065 which include services. | "All other third-party marks of conformity, such as those relating to management systems and services, ... " | 77. See 73 |
| DE-064 | | 5.6 | | ed | Clarification | Read: "...in accordance with a conformity assessment scheme for products ..." | 78. agreed |
| BSJ-065 | | 5.6 | | ed | Rephrase second sentence: All other third-party marks of conformity, such as those relating to management systems and services, shall not be displayed on a product, product packaging, or in a way that may be interpreted as denoting product conformity. | Replace with: No other third-party marks of conformity, such as those relating to management systems and services, shall be displayed on a product, product packaging, or in a way that may be interpreted as denoting product conformity. | 79. Not agreed current wording OK |
| TICC-067 | | 5.7 | 1 st sentence | Ge | This sentence disallows marks used only on certificates or other media. There is no logical rationale for that restriction. | Delete first sentence | 80. Not agreed, needed, it is on tangible product |
| TICC-069 | | 5.8 | | Ed | 5.8 is missing | Re-number 5.9 to be 5.8 | 81. See 65 |
| IT-070 | - | 5.9 | | te | In the list "company cars" is reductive, we propose to use company vehicles. Vehicles I a more comprehensive term. | Modify sub-clause as follows: <i>"A reference to third-party marks of conformity may also be used on other documentation such as, letter head, business cards, company vehicles, promotional material, Internet website, social media."</i> | 82. agreed |
| CH-3-071 | | 5.9 | | Ed | It should be made obvious that this list is not exhaustive | Add "but are not limited to:" after the first comma so it reads A reference to third-party marks of conformity may also be used on other documentation such as, but not limited to: letter head, business cards, company cars, promotional material, Internet website, social media. | 83. Not agreed, current wording OK |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| IR 9- 072 | | 5.9 | | Ed | The sub-clause 5.8 is missing. As per the secretariat observation in the collated comments on WD draft the sub-clause 5.8 has been removed. Thus, the next sub-clause 5.9 appearing in CD draft needs to be re-numbered as 5.8. | Re-number the sub-clause 5.9 appearing in the current CD draft as 5.8. | 84. See 65 |
| BOBS -073 | Line 1 | 5.9 | First para | Te | The target for the application of marks of conformity may be on other platforms other than documentation. | Add the words 'or other platforms' between the words 'documentation' and 'such' . | 85. Not agreed, current wording OK |
| MB- 074 | 5 | 5.9 | Third-party marks of conformity and their use | ed | We proposed for the sentence to be changed | Clause 5.9 - should read as follows:such as letter heads, business cards, company cars, promotional materials, internet website, social media etc | 86. Partially agreed see new wording |
| CA- 075 | | 6.1 | | | The intent of this document is not to define conformity assessment schemes or scheme requirements – this should be left to the scheme owners. Not all schemes require surveillance or 100% sampling. Including these requirements would impact the neutrality principle. | Delete section 6.1 Issuing third party marks of conformity shall be based on a conformity assessment scheme that contains at least the elements of the functional approach according to ISO/IEC 17000 and the following elements: <input type="checkbox"/> surveillance, systematic iteration of conformity assessment activities as a basis for maintaining the validity of the statement of conformity in order to assure continued confidence in the third party mark of conformity unless covered by 6.2. | 87. Not agreed |
| DE- 076 | | 6.1 | | te | This document specifies third-party marks requiring "surveillance". Similar to the guidance given by this document when used for other applications than that (see Note 3 to the Scope), the document should include some information on marks of schemes associated with periodic CA. | Add informative Annex on marks according to schemes without surveillance but periodic assessment, e.g. according to ISO/IEC 17020, 17067 (Type 1), 17029. | 88. Not agreed |
| BOBS -078 | Line 1 | 6.1 | Bulleted point | Ge | This is an element defined under ISO/IEC 17000. | Add colon after the word 'surveillance' to be consistent with ISO/IEC 17000 definition. | 89. Not agreed, existing text OK |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| SE- 079 | | 6.1 and 6.2 | | Te | We do not agree with the thinking behind these paragraphs. In practice, items inspected by inspection bodies are sometimes marked with something very much alike a mark of conformity. The same is the case for output, e.g. reports, from verifications and validations performed by v&v bodies. We see no reason to prohibit such practises. The key point should rather be that all elements of the functional approach except for surveillance shall be performed for marking to occur. In addition, surveillance shall be performed if required by requirements of the scheme or programme. | Change “at least the elements” to “all elements except surveillance”. Replace the bullet item and clause 6.2 with the following sentence: “In addition, surveillance shall be performed if required by the relevant scheme or programme.” | 90. Not agreed |
| CA- 080 | | 6.2 | | | The intent of this document is not to define conformity assessment schemes or scheme requirements – this should be left to the scheme owners. Not all schemes require surveillance or 100% sampling. Including these requirements would impact the neutrality principle. | Delete: In conformity assessment schemes for products for which the issuer evaluates each product (100% sampling) produced prior to the application of the third-party mark of conformity, licensing and surveillance are not required. | 91. Not agreed, needed |
| CR- 081 | | 6.2 | | te | Some regulated schemes based on the functional approach according to ISO/IEC 17000 do not always require a surveillance or systematic iteration of conformity assessment activities but does required the issuing of third-party marks of conformity. Therefore, it is important to allow the use of third-party marks in regulated schemes, as long as they are based on functional approach, since these type of schemes are also publicly available conformity assessment schemes, shall be covered by 6.2. | In conformity assessment schemes for products for which the issuer evaluates each product (100% sampling) produced prior to the application of the third-party mark of conformity, licensing and surveillance are not required or in regulated conformity assessment scheme for products. | 92. Not agreed |
| ID- 082 | | 6.2 | | te | In conformity assessment schemes for products for which the issuer evaluates each product (100% sampling) produced prior to the application of the third-party mark of conformity, licensing and surveillance are not required. | In conformity assessment schemes for products for which the conformity assessment activity includes evaluation for each product (100% sampling) produced prior to the application of the third-party mark of conformity, licensing and surveillance are not required. | 93. Not agreed, current text better |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Following the reasoning for 3.1, to allow that bodies other than CAB could issue third party mark of conformity whereas evaluation of products shall be done by CAB only, 6.2 needs to be rephrased. | | |
| FR-084 | page 10 | 6.2 | ... licensing and surveillance are not required. | ge | Requirement to be clarified. | Unclear requirement. Surveillance is required in this particular case since the performance of products can deviate over time. E.g.: dysfunctioning of the production process parameters. | 94. Noted no proposal |
| CA-085 | | 6.3 | | | Precludes the use of conformity assessment in possible private schemes (government, utility, etc) – does this not affect the neutrality principle? | Delete: The third-party mark of conformity shall only be applied under the rules laid down in a publicly available conformity assessment scheme. | 95. Not agreed |
| CA-087 | | 6.4 | | | This is a requirement applied to conformity assessment schemes as opposed to marks. In many cases the applicable requirements are set forth by AHJ's, who may chose to continue to recognize obsolete or revised documents until they are satisfied with the new editions or until laws can be changed. This can take many years in some cases. It is unclear how this is intended to be applied – where the CAB is not the scheme owner? | Delete An appropriate maximum period of time for applying a third-party mark of conformity after the specified standard or other normative document is revised or becomes obsolete shall be established by the rules of the conformity assessment scheme. | 96. Not agreed |
| PL-088 | | 6.4 | | te | If according to ISO/IEC 17000 "conformity assessment scheme" means also "accreditation scheme", establishing appropriate maximum period of time for applying a third-party mark of conformity (i.e accreditation symbol) after the specified standard or other normative document is revised or becomes obsolete is not relevant. | Exclude accreditation symbols | 97. Not agreed |
| US-089 | | 6.4 | | | This is a requirement applied to conformity assessment schemes as opposed to marks. In many cases the applicable requirements are set forth by AHJ's, who may chose to continue to recognize obsolete or revised documents until they | Delete An appropriate maximum period of time for applying a third-party mark of conformity after the | 98. See 96 |

¹ **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | are satisfied with the new editions or until laws can be changed. This can take many years in some cases. It is unclear how this is intended to be applied – where the CAB is not the scheme owner? | specified standard or other normative document is revised or becomes obsolete shall be established by the rules of the conformity assessment scheme. | |
| CA-090 | | 7.1.1 | | | Overlap of requirements in 17065 (7.8) – (and possibly other documents) suggest deletion to avoid duplication and divergence. | Delete: The owner or issuer shall provide, upon request, information that explains the meaning of the third party mark of conformity. Specific responses to questions or concerns from interested parties regarding the third party mark of conformity shall be provided. | 99. Not agreed |
| CA-092 | | 7.1.2 | | | Overlap of requirements in 17065 (7.8) – (and possibly other documents) suggest deletion to avoid duplication and divergence. | Delete: The issuer shall maintain and update a list of objects of conformity assessment which have been granted the third party mark of conformity. | 100. Not agreed |
| JP6 - 094 | | 7.3.2 | | ed | The annex shall be referred to in the text. (ISO/IEC directives part 2 20.2) | Add the below as Note to 7.3.2. Annex B provides some examples of possible misuse of third-party marks of conformity. . | 101. Noted, Annex B deleted |
| CZ-001 | | | | ed | Annex A contains only A.1 to A.4 | correct A.5 to A.4 | 102. agreed |
| CZ-008 | | | Annex A | ed | recommendation: word ordering in the first sentence | This Annex provides guidance for the use of third-party marks of conformity issued based on a defined object of conformity through conformity assessment standards such as ISO/IEC 17065 for products, processes and services, ISO/IEC 17021-1 for management systems and ISO/IEC 17024 for certification of persons respectively. | 103. See 113 |
| CZ-009 | | | Annex A | te | object of conformity – based on the new added term in ISO/IEC 17000:2020 (4.2) we recommend to amend this term by adding word “assessment” | object of conformity assessment | 104. agreed |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| IR 13-119 | | Annex A | | Ge | The word “reader” used alongside the word “user” in several clauses and sub-clauses of this Annex. is vague and conveys no specific concept or meaning relevant to the context of this Annex. Hence, it will be necessary to delete this word throughout this Annex for the sake of avoiding ambiguity and to use only the words “product user” or “service user” depending on the context. | Delete the word “reader” throughout this Annex and use only the words “product user” or “service user” depending on the context. | 105. Noted, Annex B deleted |
| IR 12-120 | | Annex A | | Ge | As per the recommendations of CPC Task group on the future of ISO/IEC 17030, ISO Guide 27 and ISO/IEC Guide 23 (ISO-CASCO-WG55_N0001) after the incorporation of some of the “relevant parts” of ISO Guide 27 and ISO/IEC Guide 23 into the new version of ISO/IEC 17030 they would be withdrawn. Furthermore as per the WG55 1st Meeting Report (Nov. 2019), the content of the new annexes A and B would cover elements that were in the Guides 23 and 27 as well as “new elements such as certification of processes and marks and guidance for traceability of marks”. Hence, the last sentence of the leading paragraph of Annex A needs to be modified as proposed. | Read the last sentence of the leading paragraph of Annex A as follows: The content of the clauses of this Annex incorporate some of the relevant parts of ISO/IEC Guide 23. | 106. agreed |
| IR 11-121 | | Annex A | | Te | There are other types of third party conformity assessment besides those examples given in this clause, which result in use of third-party marks of conformity, such as Halal Mark issued for certain products subject to Islamic Codes and the Organic Product Mark issued for agricultural products, which are in fact realized in result of process certification, as well as those related to validation/verification activities described in ISO/IEC 17029:2019. Hence, other significant types of third party marks of conformity besides to the types mentioned in the Annex A of this CD draft need also to be taken into account. Thus, the first sentence of the leading paragraph of this Annex needs to be modified as proposed. | Read the first sentence of the leading paragraph of this Annex as follows: This Annex provides guidance for the use of third-party marks of conformity issued on the basis of a defined object of conformity in accordance with the conformity assessment standards such as ISO/IEC 17065, ISO/IEC 17021-1, ISO/IEC 17024 and ISO/IEC 17029 for products, processes, services, management systems and persons, as well as other third-party marks of conformity issued according to other third-party conformity assessment schemes for organic products and halal products and services. | 107. Not agreed |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| DE-122 | | Annex A | | ge | Annex A uses "standard" as basis for conformity while Clauses 3-7 refer to "specified requirements" or "scheme". | Please align, esp. in accordance with Clauses 3.1 and 6. | 108.agreed |
| DE-123 | | Annex A | | ed | Consistency | Refer to "third-party mark(s) of conformity" throughout the Annex. | 109.agreed |
| IT-124 | - | Annex A | 1st par | te | Technical improvement (i.e. the meaning of the sentence is not clear). | Modify paragraph as follows: "This Annex provides guidance for the use of third party marks of conformity issued based on a defined object of conformity through on a defined object of conformity assessment, carried out according to conformity assessment standards such as ISO/IEC 17065, ISO/IEC 17021, ISO/IEC 17024 for products, processes, services,management systems and persons certification respectively. Content of A.1 to A.5 was taken from ISO/IEC Guide 23." | 110.Agreed but see new text |
| DE-125 | | Annex A | 1st para | ge | Check list of standards for completeness. | Add ISO/IEC 17011 to the first paragraph of Annex A. | 111.agreed |
| CN-126 | | Annex A | Deleted Table 1 | Te | Keep this table "Summary for the use of marks of conformity for certified product(s), process(es), service(s) and management system(s)" in this document | Keep this table "Summary for the use of marks of conformity for certified product(s), process(es), service(s) and management system(s)" in this document | 112.not agreed, no consensus to insert summary table as per N25, consideration to develop a brochure |
| BOBS-127 | - | Annex A | First para | Ed | The opening paragraph is confusing as the list of standards does not clearly relate to the scopes of the respective standards. | We propose the text to read 'This Annex provides guidance for the use of third-party marks of conformity issued based on a defined object of conformity through conformity assessment standards such as ISO/IEC 17065 for products, processes, services, ISO/IEC 17021-1 for management systems and ISO/IEC 17024 for persons certification. Content of A.1 to A.5 was taken from ISO/IEC Guide 23. ' | 113.agreed see new text |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| TICC-128 | | Annex A | INTRO Par | Te | The wording of this paragraph is not understandable. The reference to other ISO/IEC 17xxx standards is unnecessary since the Annex A information is applicable regardless of what 17xxx standard applies to the body that issues the mark per the scheme | Delete | 114.not agreed but see new wording |
| SE-130 | | Annex A | Introductory para | Te | See our comment on clause 6.1 and 6.2. | Include references to ISO/IEC 17020 and ISO/IEC 17029. | 115.Not agreed |
| IR 10-131 | | Annex A | Leading paragraph | Ge | The clause A.5 referred in the leading paragraph of Annex A is missing. Hence, there is a need to either include the clause A.5 with its envisaged text in this Annex, or otherwise, delete the reference to it in this Annex. | Either include the clause A.5 with its envisaged text in this Annex, or otherwise, delete the reference to it in this Annex. | 116.See 102 |
| IR 22-132 | | Annex A A.1 | Note | Ge | As explained above this Note needs to be modified as proposed. | Read this paragraph as follows" NOTE Customers, purchasers, procurers, service receivers/users, consumers, (end) users, etc. can be categorized with two qualifying words as follows: a) Un-Informed; those assumed to have little or no technical knowledge and seldom have access to the relevant standards or other normative documents, such as consumers or (end) users (i.e. the individual member of the general public). b) Informed; Those to have sufficient knowledge to be able to understand relevant standards or other normative documents within their field of work. | 117.Not agreed, NOTE deleted |
| CN-133 | 2&3 | Annex A | Para 1 | Ge | ISO/IEC 17011 should be listed, too | ...such as ISO/IEC 17065, ISO/IEC 17021-1, ISO/IEC 17011, ISO/IEC 17024... | 118.See 111 |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| IR 16-134 | | Annex A A.1 | Para 1 | Ge | As explained above this paragraph needs to be modified as proposed. | Read this paragraph as follows: The responsible manufacturers or service providers may require that it be known that their products or services are in conformity to the relevant standards or other normative documents. | 119.Not agreed |
| IR 17-135 | | Annex A A.1 | Para 2 | Ge | As explained above this paragraph needs to be modified as proposed. | Read this paragraph as follows" The customer, purchaser, procurer or service receiver/user may need to know that the product or service he has received meets the relevant requirements which have been specified. | 120.Not agree see new wording |
| IR 18-136 | | Annex A A.1 | Para 3 | Ge | As explained above this paragraph needs to be modified as proposed. | Read this paragraph as follows" Purchasers, procurers, service receivers/users and other interested parties may want to know that a manufacturer or service provider fulfils requirements of a relevant management system standard. | 121.Partially agreed see new wording |
| IR 19-137 | | Annex A A.1 | Para 4 | Ge | As explained above this paragraph needs to be modified as proposed. | Read this paragraph as follows" The terms "purchasers" or "procurers" do not necessarily imply that they requires information on conformity of the product to be purchased/procured at earlier stages of production, e.g. the steel for steel bars to be machined into fasteners. | 122.Not agree but see 123 |
| IT-098 | - | A.1 | 4th par | te | We deem the specification regarding term "purchaser" is not needed. | Delete paragraph. | 123.agreed |
| IR 20-138 | | Annex A A.1 | Para 5 | Ge | As explained above this paragraph needs to be modified as proposed. | Read this paragraph as follows" Inspection bodies, insurance companies, etc. in order to have confidence in the product or service | 124.Partially agreed see new wording |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | for which they are taking a risk, may need to have information on conformity of the concerned product or service to the relevant requirements. | |
| IR 21-139 | | Annex A A.1 | Para 6 | Ge | As explained above this paragraph needs to be modified as proposed. Meanwhile, regulatory bodies are governmental, by their nature. | Read this paragraph as follows" Regulatory bodies may need evidence that products or services covered by legislation meet the required standards or other relevant normative documents. | 125.Not agreed |
| BSJ-140 | | Annex A | Point A.1 | ed | Commence question with capital "W" | Who requires information on conformity with standards? | 126.agreed |
| IR 15-117 | | Annex A A.1 | | Ge | There are two parties in the transactions related to "third-party conformity marks", On the one side is the "provider" who delivers products or services and on the other side is the receiver of delivered products or services. Conformity assessments are very diverse, thus, those relevant to "third-party conformity marks" are versatile, not just manufacturers and purchasers. These last terms are not adequate and appropriate in the context of this clause. Hence, the term "manufacturers" needs to be replaced with "manufacturers and service providers" and besides the term "purchasers", that can also be replaced with the term "procurers", other relevant terms, such as customers, service receivers/users, consumers, (end) users, etc. need also to be used depending on the context of each paragraph of this clause. | Replace the term "manufacturers" needs to be replaced with "manufacturers and service providers" and use also other relevant terms, such as procurers, customers, service receivers/users, consumers, (end) users, etc. and if appropriate, their combinations besides the term "purchasers" depending the context of each paragraph of this clause. | 127.Not agreed |
| IR 14-118 | | Annex A A.1 Title | | Te | Despite that, "third-party conformity marks" denote conformity of objects of conformity assessment to relevant requirements specified in standards and other normative documents, However, this standard deals with the requirements for "third-party conformity marks" and not directly with | Read the title of this clause as follows: A.1 Who requires information on "what third-party conformity marks denote"? | 128.Not agreed, this clause is not on what marks denote |

¹ **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | | <p>“conformity to standards or other normative documents”.</p> <p>Meanwhile, it should be well noted that the “third-party conformity marks” are also issued by bodies providing services in the various fields of conformity assessment and accreditation.</p> <p>Furthermore, information on conformity with standards is generally conveyed via issuance of certificates and there might be cases where no conformity mark is issued at all.</p> <p>Hence, the title of this clause needs to be modified as propose.</p> | | |
| BOBS -099 | All | A.1, A.2.1, A.2.2 | All paras | Ed | Maintain consistency in the use of the word ‘standard’ as an article. | Use lower case ‘s’ in the word ‘standard’ within the text. | 129.agreed |
| IT- 097 | - | A 2.1 | 1st par | ed | Editorial suggestion. | <p>Modify paragraph as follows:</p> <p>"Whilst it may be desirable to have differing marks of conformity to distinguish the difference between a mark of conformity for products complying with a product Standard from a mark of conformity indicating that a product complies with a standard dealing only with specific properties, it will not be easily understood by the consumer and could lead to misinterpretation."</p> | 130.agreed |
| BOBS -101 | Line2 Line 4 | A.2.1 | Second para | Ge Ge | <p>The use of the word ‘question’ in line 2 is not appropriate to the context.</p> <p>The issue of local working languages is acknowledged however the message is somewhat lost in the manner in which the text has been phrased.</p> | <p>In line 2 replace the word ‘question’ with the word ‘issue’.</p> <p>We propose the second statement reads ‘Whilst the international languages of ISO and IEC are English, French and Russian, the recipients of the products are not necessarily sufficiently versed in these languages to read and interpret the requirements appropriately.’</p> | 131.Agree for 1 st proposal and partially agreed for 2 nd , see new wording |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| CA-102 | | A.2.1, 3rd paragraph | | te | states: "It is suggested the mark of conformity be preferably used for products complying with the requirements of a comprehensive product Standard." we doubt the writers were thinking about everything else in the document when they wrote that statement... | either it is removed or the parts of document that discuss requirements for marking things other than products should be removed. | 132. Agreed, deleted |
| DE-100 | | A.2.1 | 4th para | ed | Separate sentences for better readability | Read: "In cases where the [scheme contains / specified requirements contain] different grades or types, descriptive words should appear in close proximity to the mark of conformity to indicate which grade or type is being certified. Preferable for this indication are symbols, which are universally understandable." | 133. agreed |
| IR 23-116 | | Annex A A.2.1 | | Te | Despite the general nature of the title of this sub-clause, its content indicates that it deals only with the product conformity marks. Hence, either the title needs to be confined to product conformity marks or otherwise, this sub-clause shall include relevant text on other types of conformity marks. | Read the title of this sub-clause as follows A.2.1 Recommended marks of conformity or otherwise, include relevant text on other types of conformity marks. In this sub-clause. | 134. Noted, more examples not product related needed |
| IR 24-115 | | Annex A A.2.2 | | Te | The content of this sub-clause indicates that it deals only with the product conformity marks. Hence, either the title needs to be confined to product conformity marks or otherwise; this sub-clause shall include relevant text on other types of conformity marks. | Read the title of this sub-clause as follows A.2.2 Further considerations on product conformity marks or otherwise, include relevant text on other types of conformity marks. In this sub-clause. | 135. See 134 |
| TICC-103 | | A.2.2 | | Te | This section suggested "the mark of conformity, together with the reference to the Standard and a short indication of the aspects covered by the standard, may be applied to the product." There will be too much text to be affixed to the product. | Suggested not to apply the standard number or a short indication of the aspects covered by the standard. For detail, it is suggested to refer to the report always. | 136. Not agreed, this is a scheme issue |
| MU-007 | | | A.3 3rd bullet | | To be consistent with the first paragraph of Annex A, add 'person' to the list. | The standard under which the product, process, service, person or management system has been certified; | 137. agreed |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| TICC- 104 | | A.3 | | Ge | Some clients (eg. Government bureau) may not prefer to disclose the certificate information to the public. | | 138. Noted no proposal |
| FR- 105 | Page 13 | A.3 | ... are not limited to: | ge | Information to consumers/users (cf. French Consumer Code). | In France, communication is governed by the Consumer Code, Clause R433-2, amended by Order no. 2019-1316 of December 9, 2019, art. 14. The information to be provided to consumers or users differs. 1) The name or corporate name of the certifying body or the certification mark. 2) The designation of the certification reference system referred to. 3) The conditions under which the certification reference system may be consulted or obtained. In the draft standard, lines 1 and 2 correspond to line 1 above. Line 3 corresponds to line 2 above. There is no line 4 in the French Order and line 3 in the French Order is not referred to in the draft standard. | 139. Noted no proposal |
| DE- 106 | | A.3 | 1 st list entry | te | Please reconsider term "logo". | Read: " identification of what is being certified..." | 140. agreed |
| DE- 107 | | A.3 | 2 nd list entry | te | Please reconsider term "certifying entity". | Read: " ...certification body " | 141. agreed |
| DE- 108 | | A.3 | 4 th list entry | te | Please reconsider term "certified entity". | Read: " ...certification client " | 142. not agreed different meaning |
| IR 26- 113 | | Annex A A.3 | | Te | The term "logo" used in this clause is incorrect, as this term is reserved for identification of certification bodies themselves and hence, it is completely different from conformity marks. Therefore, this clause needs to be modified as proposed. | Read the text of this clause as follows: Elements that a third-party conformity mark issued by a certification body may contain but are not limited to: - Conformity mark that identifies what has been certified, for example: management systems, process, product or services; | 143. Partially agreed see new wording |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The name or acronym of the certification body that has issued the Conformity mark; - The designation code of the standard or normative document according to which the product, process, service or management system has been certified; - Certification/Registration number, which is traceable to the certificate issued by the relevant certification body. | |
| IR 25- 114 | | Annex A A.3 Title | | Te | The content of this clause indicates that it deals only with the conformity marks issued by certification bodies. Hence, this needs to be reflected in the title of this clause. | Read the title of this clause as follows: A.3 Elements for third-party marks of conformity issued by certification bodies | 144. Noted, see 134 |
| JP7- 109 | | A.4 | | ge | 17000 used term 'conformity assessment standards' rather than 'ISO/IEC 17000 series'. Also the term 'ISO/IEC 17000 series' may cause confusion in the relation with 17029. | Change 'ISO/IEC 17000 series' to 'conformity assessment standards' "For the application of third-party marks of conformity based on conformity assessment standards , refer to the relevant standard." | 145. See 146 |
| US- 110 | | A.4 | | | The reference to other ISO/IEC 17xxx standards is unnecessary since the Annex A information is applicable regardless of what 17xxx standard applies to the body that issues the mark per the scheme | Delete | 146. agreed |
| IR 27- 112 | | Annex A A.4 | | Ge | This clause seems superfluous and contains no information additional to the already given information, since the mentioned conformity assessment standards containing the requirements that relevant Conformity Assessment Bodies need to follow have been referred in the leading paragraph of Annex A. | Remove the clause A.4. | 147. See 146 |
| SE- 141 | | Annex A.4 | | Te | See our comment on clause 6.1. | Include references to ISO/IEC 17020 and ISO/IEC 17029. | 148. See 146 |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| IR 28- 111 | | Annex A A.5 (missing) | | Ge | The clause A.5 referred in the leading paragraph of Annex A is missing. Hence, there is a need to either include the clause A.5 with its envisaged text in this Annex, or otherwise, delete the reference to it in this Annex. | Either include the clause A.5 with its envisaged text in this Annex, or otherwise, delete the reference to it this Annex. | 149. See 102 |
| CA- 142 | | Annex B | | ed | there are more "examples of misuse" in Annex B than there are "requirements for use" in the main body of the document | that information should be consolidated into a shorter list of general examples | 150. See 159, Annex deleted |
| JP10- 143 | | Annex B | | ge | Abbreviation of Marks (e.g. MSC Mark, PC mark etc.) are not commonly used and thus may be confusing. For example MSC mark could cause confusion with marks used by Marine Stewardship Council. | Delete abbreviation of xx marks to avoid confusion. | 151. See 159, Annex deleted |
| JP9- 144 | | Annex B | | ge | Misuse includes some types such as inappropriate use by authorized issuer or unauthorized use. Explanation could help understanding of readers. | Add the sentence to leading text in Annex B. Misuse includes types such as inappropriate use by authorized issuer or unauthorized use. | 152. See 159, Annex deleted |
| JP8- 145 | | Annex B | | ge | <p>The examples are better to be categorized according to the type of marks.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Category 1: CAB marks <p>The examples of misuse of CAB marks such as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - use of the mark in areas outside of certified scope - use of the mark after expiration of the certification - misleading display cause misinterpretation of scope/object of certification <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Category 2: CAB marks with accreditation symbols <p>The examples of misuse of marks relating to accreditation such as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - use of the mark in areas outside of accredited scope - use of the mark after expiration of the accreditation | <p>Categories and sub-categories such as;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CAB marks <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) management system certification b) persons certification c) product certification d) laboratory e) proficiency testing f) ... 2. CAB marks with accreditation symbols <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) management system certification b) persons certification c) product certification d) laboratory e) proficiency testing f) ... 3. Accreditation symbols <p>...</p> | 153. See 159, Annex deleted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | - misleading display cause misinterpretation of scope/object of accreditation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Category 3: Accreditation symbols The examples of misuse of accreditation symbol itself Also, sub-categories can be considered for the type of schemes or for the type of misuse. | | |
| BOBS -173 | - | B.1 | Title | Ed | Maintain consistency within title | Pluralize 'Mark' in parenthesis | 154. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 46- 146 | | Annex B | | Ge | Taking into account the above-mentioned comments, which are only samples of inaccurate formulation, it seems that the sub-clauses of this Annex are not properly formulated technically according to the relevant standards and editorially in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2. There is a need to modify and re-formulate the sub-clauses of this Annex. | Modify and re-formulate the sub-clauses of this Annex technically according to the relevant standards and editorially in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, taking into account the above-mentioned comments. | 155. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 30- 160 | | Annex B | | Ge | The word "reader" used alongside the word "user" in several clauses and sub-clauses of this Annex. is vague and conveys no specific concept or meaning relevant to the context of this Annex. Hence, it will be necessary to delete this word throughout this Annex for the sake of avoiding ambiguity and to use only the words "product user" or "service user" depending on the context. | Delete the word "reader" throughout this Annex and use only the words "product user". or "service user" depending on the context. | 156. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 29- 161 | | Annex B | | Te | As mentioned in the Comment No. 11 there are other types of third-party conformity assessment besides those examples given in this Annex, which result in use of third-party marks of conformity, such as Halal Mark issued for certain products subject to Islamic Codes and the Organic Product Mark issued for agricultural products, which are in fact realized in result of process certification, as well as those related to validation/verification activities described in ISO/IEC 17029:2019. Hence, examples of possible misuse of other significant types of third-party marks of conformity | Add more sub-clauses giving examples of possible misuse of other significant types of third-party marks of conformity, such as Halal Mark issued for certain products subject to Islamic Codes and the Organic Product Mark issued for agricultural products, as well as those related to validation/verification activities described in ISO/IEC 17029:2019, besides to the already mentioned types in this Annex. Otherwise, include these marks in the examples related to product, process and service certification marks in the expanded clause B.2. | 157. See 159, Annex deleted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | mentioned above need to be added besides to the already mentioned types in the Annex B. | | |
| DE- 162 | | Annex B | | ge | The standard differentiates between the concepts "unauthorized use" and "misuse". | Please clarify the requirements, especially the examples in Annex B, with regard to these distinct concepts. | 158. See 159, Annex deleted |
| US- 164 | | Annex B | | ge | Examples of misuse too vague | Delete | 159. Agreed, |
| BOBS -175 | Line 1 | B.1.2; B.1.11; B.2.2; B.3.2; B.4.2, B.6.2 | All | Ge | The essence of this example at each item is on the continued use of mark of conformity after it has expired. | Replace the words 'Keeping to use or refer' with the words 'Continuing to use or refer | 160. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BOBS -176 | Line 1 | B.1.4 | First para | Ed | Consistency with ISO/IEC 17000 standard | <i>Use lower case 'o' in the word 'Owner'</i> | 161. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BOBS -177 | Line 3 | B.1.7 | First bullet | Ed | Maintain consistency | Use lower case 's' for 'safety shoes' after ISO 45001. | 162. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 31- 159 | | Annex B B.1.9 | | Te | Laboratories do issue test reports and not assessment reports. Furthermore, they are not subject to ISO 9001 QMS Certification. The laboratories are accredited according to ISO/IEC 17025 and thus an accredited laboratory fulfils the relevant QMS requirements of ISO 9001. Hence, the laboratories do not need to be ISO 9001 certified Considering the above points the first example given in this sub-clause is nonsense and does not correspond to the actual situation and hence, needs to be removed. | Remove the first example given in this sub-clause. | 163. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IT- 178 | Annex B | B.1.9 | 2 nd example | te/ed | We deem that the indent is not clear. | Modify indent as follows: "[...]" - e.g. a university/training center certified to ISO 9001, uses or refers to MSC mark on students' final result reports issued to its clients (this misleads the | 164. See 159, Annex deleted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | <i>students as # they could interpret they are certified according to ISO 9001, while some other could interpret that the service they received was in conformity to ISO 9001.</i> [...]" | |
| BOBS -179 | - | B.1.9 | Second Bullet | Te | The statement is not comprehensible. The understanding is that the university/training institution is the one which is certified and rightly so may use the marks of conformity on its documentation and one such documentation being the Result Report. | We propose deletion of this example. | 165. See 159, Annex deleted |
| CZ- 174 | | B.1.11 | Annex A | te | ISO 9001:2008 is not valid anymore | | 166. See 159, Annex deleted |
| JP14- 180 | | B.2 | | te | It is helpful to present examples of misuse or misleading in the operation of conformity assessment schemes. | Add the below case as an example of inappropriate use of the mark. -e.g. A product certification mark is displayed with deficiencies such as; - misprinting (e.g. flip horizontal) - inappropriate size (e.g. too small to read) - lack of information for traceability (e.g. issuer, specified requirements etc.) | 167. See 159, Annex deleted |
| JP13- 181 | | B.2 | | te | It is helpful to present examples of misuse or misleading in the operation of conformity assessment schemes. | Add the below case as an example as use of the mark in vague way. -e.g. On the product label, the mark of the third-party certification body is displayed near the mark which issued by the import company in a way that may mislead that the certification body is mistaken as the import company. | 168. See 159, Annex deleted |
| JP12- 182 | | B.2 | | te | It is helpful to present examples of misuse or misleading in the operation of conformity assessment schemes. | Add the below case as examples of misuse of the mark on the uncertified products. -e.g. The manufacturer modified the software of the product in order to change the design of the | 169. See 159, Annex deleted |

¹ **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | certified product. And then, the product is shipped with the product certification mark without notifying the scheme owner/CAB of the design change. -e.g. Product certification mark is displayed on the attachment product which is not certified, even the product can be used assembling with the certified product with product certification mark. | |
| JP11- 183 | | B.2 | | te | It is helpful to present examples of misuse or misleading in the operation of conformity assessment schemes. | Add the below case as examples of misleading use of the mark. -e.g. The product certification mark is displayed on the catalogue of the product in a way that may mislead as if the mark applies to the entire product, while only AC adapter is certified. -e.g. The product certification mark is used on the top page at the manufacturer's website in a way that may mislead as if all products are certified, while only some products have been certified | 170. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BOBS -184 | Line 2 | B.2.3 | First para | Ge | Statement is ambiguous. | Reword to read 'Using or referring to PC marks in a vague manner, so that the reader or user cannot determine which standard the product has been certified against.' | 171. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 32- 158 | | Annex B B.2.3 | | Ge | The expression "cannot determine for what standard that product has been certified" is inappropriate and needs modification as proposed. | Read this sub-clause as follows: B.2.3 Using or referring to PC marks in a vague manner, so that the product user cannot determine that according to which standard or other normative document the product has been certified | 172. See 159, Annex deleted |
| FR - 185 | page 15 | B.2.7 | e.g. a PC mark... | ge | Further detail to be added to the example pertaining to a mark based on a test report. | Sentence to be completed: "- e.g. a PC mark based only on a test report..." | 173. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BSJ- 168 | | Annex B B3 | Point #2 | ed | Replace "Keeping" with "Continuing" | Continuing to use or refer to LA... | 174. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IT- 186 | Annex B | B.3.1 | Example | ed | Editorial correction. | Replace "poor" with " <i>pour</i> ". | 175. See 159, Annex deleted |

1 MB = Member body / NC = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| FR- 187 | page 16 | B.3.1 | For "oil poor point"... | ed | Misspelling. | Correction "... for "oil pour point" testing... | 176. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IT- 188 | Annex B | B.3.2 | Example | ed | Editorial correction. | Replace "e.g" with "e.g." | 177. See 159, Annex deleted |
| SE- 169 | | Annex B.3.3 | | Te | The scope description "blood tests" is not well chosen, as it is an impossible one. Blood tests can be performed for an almost unlimited range of purposes, and the competence needed for performance varies accordingly. Therefore, it will never be possible for ABs to ensure competence of the lab to perform each and every kind of blood test. The problem can be avoided by making a more pointed reference to a more limited application. | Replace "blood tests" with "haematology blood tests". | 178. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 33- 157 | | Annex B B.3.3 | | Te | Laboratories are subject to accreditation and not to certification. Hence, this sub-clause needs to be corrected as proposed. | Read this sub-clause as follows: B.3.3 Using or referring to LA marks in a vague manner, so that the service user cannot determine that according to which standard the laboratory has been accredited and what is the scope of its accreditation. | 179. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BOBS -189 | Line 1 | B.3.4 | Bulleted point | Ed | Nouns are written using uppercase letters. | Use upper case letters in 'AB alpha' and AB beta' to read 'AB Alpha' and AB Beta' | 180. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IT- 190 | Annex B | B.3.6 | 1 st indent (example) | te/ed | Technical/editorial corrections. | Modify indent as follows: " [...] - e.g. 1: beverage to an ISO/IEC 17025 accredited food laboratory and the test report approves indicates conformity of the sample to the respective product standard. Then the beverage producer start using or referring to LA Marks on the beverage bottle; | 181. See 159, Annex deleted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | [...]" | |
| FR- 191 | page 16 | B.3.6 | 1st line | ed | "s" missing at the end of the verb. | Then the beverage producer starts using... | 182. See 159, Annex deleted |
| CN- 171 | | B 3.7 | | Te | Using or referring to LA marks on the product package without proper clarification. This will cause misunderstanding that LA marks can be used on the product package with proper clarification. | Delete "without proper clarification" | 183. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 34- 156 | | Annex B B.3.7 | | Te | Products are subject to certification and not to accreditation. Hence, the term "accredited" at the end of this example is incorrect and inappropriate. Thus, there is a need to replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | Replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | 184. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 35- 155 | | Annex B B.3.8 | | Te | Services are subject to certification and not to accreditation. Hence, the term "accredited" in this example is incorrect and inappropriate. Thus, there is a need to replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | Replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | 185. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BOBS -192 | Line 4 | B.3.9 | Bulleted point, Last sentence | Ge | The statement in parenthesis is conveying a contrary message to that which is intended. | Add the word 'not' between the words 'are' and 'accredited'. | 186. See 159, Annex deleted |
| SE- 170 | | Annex B.4 | | Te | We cannot see how a PT Mark could satisfy the requirements in clause 6.1. | Consider deleting annex. | 187. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BSJ- 167 | | Annex B B4 | Point #2 | ed | Replace "Keeping" with "Continuing" | Continuing to use or refer to PT... | 188. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 36- 154 | | Annex B B.4 | | Te | The expression "PT Mark" is not correct and needs to be replaced with "PTPA Mark" in all the sub-clauses and examples of this clause. | Replace the expression "PT Mark" with "PTPA Mark" in all the sub-clauses and examples of this clause. | 189. See 159, Annex deleted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| IT- 193 | - | B.4.2 | Example | ed | Editorial correction. | Replace "e.g" with " e.g. ". | 190. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IT- 194 | - | B.4.3 | Example | ed | Editorial correction. | Modify indent as follows: " [...] - e.g.: a PT Provider is accredited for PT service in "water tests" only, but it does not give any clarification on this (this misleads the reader/user for which regarding the scope that it is accredited); [...]" | 191. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 37- 153 | | Annex B B.4.3 | | Te | The expression "what standard or scope has been certified" is not correct, as this sub-clause deals with accreditation of PT Provider and the word "certified" is irrelevant here, as well as the expression "what standard has been certified" is meaningless. Hence, the said expression needs to be modified as proposed. | Replace the expression "what standard or scope has been certified" with "what is the scope of accreditation". | 192. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 38- 152 | | Annex B B.4.5 | | Te | Products are subject to certification and not to accreditation. Hence, the term "accredited" at the end of this example is incorrect and inappropriate. Thus, there is a need to replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | Replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | 193. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IT- 195 | - | B.4.5 | - | ed | Editorial correction and improvement. | Modify indent as follows: " [...] e.g.: a steel cable producer has a laboratory (as an internal department) and participates in a PT program and gets a good result in it (Z score is 0.1). Then the steel producer start using or referring to PT Marks on the cable; | 194. See 159, Annex deleted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | [...]" | |
| BOBS -196 | Line 1 | B.4.5 | Bulleted point | Ed | The example is numbered as if there are more than one. | Delete the number '1' | 195. See 159, Annex deleted |
| CN- 172 | | B 4.6 | | Te | Using or referring to PT marks on the product package without proper clarification which may mislead the reader/user as if the conformity of products inside the package is accredited. This will cause misunderstanding that LA marks can be used on the product package with proper clarification. | Delete "without proper clarification" | 196. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 39- 151 | | Annex B B.4.6 | | Te | Products are subject to certification and not to accreditation. Hence, the term "accredited" at the end of this example is incorrect and inappropriate. Thus, there is a need to replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | Replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | 197. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 40- 150 | | Annex B B.4.7 | | Te | Services are subject to certification and not to accreditation. Hence, the term "accredited" at the end of this example is incorrect and inappropriate. Thus, there is a need to replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | Replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | 198. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 41- 149 | | Annex B B.4.8 Example | | Te | Services are subject to certification and not to accreditation. Hence, the term "accredited" at the end of this example is incorrect and inappropriate. Thus, there is a need to replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | Replace the term "accredited" with "certified". | 199. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BSJ- 166 | | Annex B B5 | Paragraph 1 | ge | Delete "o" at end of statement | | 200. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BOBS -197 | - | B.5 | Title | Ge | Be consistent in the presentation of titles | Add the acronym 'AB' after the title | 201. See 159, Annex deleted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| BSJ-163 | | Annex B B5 | | ge | Insert “technical area B” after “dairy products”, so that use of this reference is clear at the end of the paragraph | Statement reads: A certified fruit juices manufacturer who also produces dairy products (technical area B) uses the CB’s MS mark and accreditation mark when advertising its company’s products yet the dairy products though certified are not yet accredited by the AB for technical area B. | 202. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 42-148 | | Annex B B.5 title | | Ge | The title is too generic and overlaps with other titles in this Annex. Meanwhile, the title does not reflect the very intent and content of the example. The concerned marks are in fact “Management System Certification Body” Accreditation Marks to be abbreviated as “MSCBA Marks”. | Change the current title to “MSCBA Marks”. | 203. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 43-147 | | Annex B B.5.1 | | Ge | As explained above use of the expression “Accreditation Marks” without a qualifying word before it is inappropriate and hence, needs to be modified accordingly. Meanwhile, there is no “MS Marks” in the sense of this standard. These are denoted as “MSC Marks” in this document. Furthermore, for sake of consistency, instead of the term “symbol” the term “mark” is used in this standard as described in the clause 1, scope. Hence, there is a need to modify this sub-clause as proposed. | Read this sub-clause as follows: B.5.1 Using or referring to MSC Marks alongside MSCBA Mark of the relevant accreditation body in areas outside the accredited scope of the relevant certification body. | 204. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IT-198 | - | B.6 | title | ed | Editorial correction | B.6 Persons certification Marks (PeC) Mark) | 205. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BOBS-199 | - | B.6 | Title | Ge | Maintain consistency with nomenclature adopted at Table of content | Delete the word ‘Mark’ after ‘PeC’ | 206. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BSJ-165 | | Annex B B6 | Example #3 | ed | Replace “it” with “he/she” | Example reads: “an auditor claims to be certified to audit “2015 management systems”, while he/she is certified to audit ISO 9001 only;” | 207. See 159, Annex deleted |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| IR 45- 200 | | B.6.3 Example | | Ge | The expression "2015 management systems" does not make sense and is inappropriate. Hence, it needs to be replaced with the expression "year 2015 version of management systems". | Replace the expression "2015 management systems" with the expression "year 2015 version of management systems". | 208. See 159, Annex deleted |
| IR 44- 201 | | B.6.3 | | Te | The expression "for what standard that person has been certified" is incomplete and inappropriate. The certification of persons can also be realized in accordance with the normative documents other than standards, such as technical regulations. Hence, it needs to be modified as proposed. | Read this sub-clause as follows: B.6.3 Using or referring to PeC Marks in a vague manner, so that the service user cannot determine in accordance to which standard or normative document, such as technical regulation that person has been certified. | 209. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BOBS -202 | Line 1 | B.6.3 | Bulleted point | Ge | The words 'while it is' imply an object other than a person. | Rephrase to read '– e.g: an auditor claims to be certified to audit "2015 management systems", while they are certified to audit ISO 9001 only.' | 210. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BOBS -203 | Line 2 | B.6.3 | First para | Ge | Statement is ambiguous. | Reword to read 'Using or referring to PeC Marks in a vague manner, so that the reader or user cannot determine which standard the person has been certified against.' | 211. See 159, Annex deleted |
| BOBS -204 | Line 1 | B.6.4 | First para | Ge | Consistency with ISO/IEC 17000 standard | <i>Use lower case 'o' in the word 'Owner'</i> | 212. See 159, Annex deleted |
| SE- 205 | | Bibliography | | Te | See our comment on clause 6.1. | Include reference to ISO/IEC 17029. | 213. Not agreed |
| CN- 206 | | Bibliography | | Ge | Add ISO/IEC 17025 to the list | Add ISO/IEC 17025 to the list | 214. Not agreed |
| JP15- 207 | | Bibliography | | | 17029 should be listed in Bibliography, as agreed in the last WG meeting. (See WG55/N22 - observation No. 196) | Add the below in the right place in the Bibliography: ISO/IEC 17029:2019 Conformity assessment — General principles and requirements for validation and verification bodies | 215. See 213 |
| IR 50- 208 | | Bibliography | | Ed | The standards in the Bibliography shall be listed in a rationally sorted order. | List the standards in the Bibliography in a rationally sorted order as follows: [1] ISO/IEC 17011 | 216. Noted ISO editor for the reordering, not agreed for the |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:2020-09-26

Document: N31 Collated comments
CD ISO/IEC 17030

Project: CASCO WG55

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | [2] ISO/IEC 17021-1 [3] ISO/IEC 17065 [4] ISO/IEC 17024 [5] ISO/IEC 17029 [6] ISO 14024 | additions see 66, 213 and 214, |
| IR 49- 209 | | Bibliography | | Te | As mentioned in Comment No. 11 validation/verification activities described in ISO/IEC 17029:2019 are also types of third-party conformity assessment which result in use of third-party marks of conformity, Hence, the standard ISO/IEC 17029:2019 needs also to be listed in the right place in the Bibliography. | Add the new list item as follows in the right place in the Bibliography: ISO/IEC 17029:2019 Conformity assessment — General principles and requirements for validation and verification bodies | 217.See 213 |
| IR 48- 210 | | Bibliography | | Ed | The ISO 14024:2018 standard is not correctly listed in the Bibliography. If there is an intention to include environmental third party conformity marks, the ISO 14024:2018 standard shall be listed as a separate item in the Bibliography in its proper place. | List the ISO 14024:2018 standard as a separate item in the Bibliography in its proper place, if there is an intention to include environmental third party conformity marks, | 218.Not agreed see 66 |
| IR 47- 211 | | Bibliography | | Te | The sub-clause 5.1 giving examples of aspects covered by the third party conformity marks mentions also environmental aspects, while nowhere in this draft other than the Bibliography (ISO 14024, Environmental Labels) there is a single reference to third party conformity marks relating to environmental aspects. Hence, if there is an intention to include environmental third party conformity marks relevant provisions need to be described in the document accordingly, otherwise, the reference to environmental aspects and also the Bibliography item related to ISO 14024 need to be removed from this document/ | Either include relevant provisions regarding environmental third party conformity marks and adequately describe them in the document accordingly, otherwise, remove the reference to environmental aspects in the sub-clause 5.1 as well as the Bibliography item related to ISO 14024. | 219.Not agreed see 66, |
| CZ- 010 | | | Bibliography | ed | recommendation: to add also the other standards mentioned in this document (ISO/IEC Guide 23, ISO 9001, ISO 22000, ISO/IEC 27001, ISO 45001, ISO/IEC 17025, ISO 15189, ISO/IEC 17043) | | 220.Not agreed |
| CZ- 011 | | | Bibliography | ed | All titles of the standards should be written in italics | | 221.Noted ISO editor |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

| | | |
|-----------------|---|---------------------|
| Date:2020-09-26 | Document: N31 Collated comments CD ISO/IEC 17030 | Project: CASCO WG55 |
|-----------------|---|---------------------|

| MB/ NC ¹ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ² | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| CZ-012 | | | Bibliography | ed | ISO 14024:2018 | insert below on the separate line | 222. See 66 |

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

